arXiv:1606.04441v2 [math.NT] 7 Mar 2017

COLEMAN MAP IN COLEMAN FAMILIES

by

Filippo A. E. Nuccio Mortarino Majno di Capriglio & Tadashi Ochiai

Abstract. — In this paper, we construct a two-variable Coleman map for a given p-adic family of eigen cuspforms with a fixed non-zero slope (Coleman family). A Coleman map is a machinery which transforms a hypothetical p-adic family of zeta elements to a p-adic L-function. The result obtained in this paper is a non-ordinary generalization of a two-variable Coleman map for a given Hida deformation obtained by the second-named author in the paper [**Och03**].

Contents

1. Introduction 2
2. Families of Galois representations 6
   2.1. Some basics on affinoids and weight spaces 6
   2.2. p-adic families of modular forms 8
   2.3. p-adic family of Galois representations 11
3. Families of crystals 17
   3.1. Preparation on topological tensor products and lattices 17
   3.2. p-adic families of crystals for $\mathscr{T}$ 19
4. Functions of bounded logarithmic order 24
   4.1. Valuations on functions with logarithmic growth 24
   4.2. Topologies on functions with logarithmic growth 28
   4.3. Inverting the operator $1 - \varphi_D \otimes \varphi_H$ 32
   4.4. Interpolation 41
5. Construction of families of points 48

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 11R23(First), 11F33, 11S25
The first named author was supported by Grants-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows: Grant Number 23-01749, for two years (November 2011 to September 2013) during his stay in Japan as a postdoc researcher of JSPS. He was supported by a "décharge d'enseignement" de l'Université Jean Monnet during Fall 2016. The second named author is partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B): Grant Number 26287005).

5.1. Preliminaries on Bloch–Kato exponential 48
5.2. Basic Reduction steps for the proof of Main Theorem 51
5.3. Proof of Theorem 5.7 57
References 62

1. Introduction

In the celebrated papers [Col96] and [Col97b], Coleman constructed p-adic families of cuspforms

$$\mathcal{F} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(\mathcal{F}) q^n \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}}[\![q]\!]$$

with fixed slope on the ring of rigid functions $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}}$ over an affinoid $\mathscr{X}$ defined over a finite extension K of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, the so-called Coleman families. Associated to $\mathcal{F}$ there is a family $\mathscr{V}$ of p-adic Galois representations of the absolute Galois group $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}$. Along the spirit of Iwasawa theory, we believe that it is interesting to study p-adic variations of some arithmetic invariants on such families of Galois representations. One of the most interesting invariants among such p-adic variations, is a p-adic L-function on $\mathscr{X}$. Recall that there is a Zariski-dense subset $\mathscr{Z}$ of $\mathscr{X}$ such that the specialization f_x of $\mathcal{F}$ at x is a classical cuspform for every point $x \in \mathscr{Z}$. A p-adic L-function should interpolate the critical special values $L(f_x, j)$ divided by complex periods when $x \in \mathscr{Z}$ and integers j satisfying $1 \leq j \leq k_x - 1$ vary, where $k_x \in \mathscr{Z}$ is the weight of the cuspform f_x.

Before stating our main result, let us give a very rough sketch of a nearly ordinary situation which motivates this work. In the setting of Hida theory, namely in the ordinary prototype of Coleman families, we have a local ring $\mathbb{I}$ finite flat over $\mathbb{Z}_p[\![1+p\mathbb{Z}_p]\!]$ and we have analogous families of $\mathbb{I}$-linear Galois representations $\mathbb{T}$ of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, isomorphic to $\mathbb{I} \oplus \mathbb{I}^*$ where $\mathbb{I}^*$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_p[\![1+p\mathbb{Z}_p]\!]$-linear dual of $\mathbb{I}$. It is known that there is a Zariski-dense subset $S_{\mathbb{T}}$ of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathbb{I}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$ such that for each $x \in S_{\mathbb{T}}$, the specialization V_x of $\mathbb{T}$ via x is isomorphic to the p-adic Galois representation associated to a certain ordinary cuspform f_x.

Let G_{cyc} be the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and let $\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ be the complete group ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[\![G_{\mathrm{cyc}}]\!]$. Let us denote by $\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}} : G_{\mathrm{cyc}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ the p-adic cyclotomic character. Among all continuous characters $\eta : G_{\mathrm{cyc}} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$, characters of the form $\eta = \chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}^j \phi$ where j is an integer and ϕ is of finite order play an important role and we call them *arithmetic characters* of G_{cyc}. Note that each continuous character $\eta : G_{\mathrm{cyc}} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ induces a continuous ring homomorphism $\eta : \Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.

In the paper [Och03] of the second author, we proved the following theorem:

Theorem. — [Och03, Theorem 3.13] *There exists a free $\mathbb{I}$-module $\mathbb{D}$ of rank one whose specialization at each $x \in S_{\mathbb{T}}$ is canonically isomorphic to $D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_x)/\mathrm{Fil}^1 D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_x)$.*

We have a unique $\mathbb{I}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$*-linear big exponential map*

$$\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbb{T}} : \mathbb{D}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_n H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathbb{T})$$

such that, for each $x \in S_{\mathbb{T}}$ *and for each arithmetic character* $\chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi : G_{\mathrm{cyc}} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\times}$ *with* $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$*, we have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{D}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbb{T}}} & \varprojlim_n H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathbb{T}) \\ {\scriptstyle (x,\chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (x,\chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi)} \\ D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_x \otimes \chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi)/\mathrm{Fil}^0 D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_x \otimes \chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_x \otimes \chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi) \end{array}$$

where the bottom horizontal map is equal to $(-1)^j(j-1)!E_p(f_x, j, \phi)\exp_{V_x\otimes\chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi}$ *with*

$$E_p(f_x, j, \phi) = \begin{cases} 1 - \dfrac{p^{j-1}}{a_p(f_x)} & \phi = 1, \\ \left(\dfrac{p^{j-1}}{a_p(f_x)}\right)^{\mathrm{ord}(\phi)} & \phi \neq 1. \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

In the above, $\exp_{V_x\otimes\chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi}$ *denotes the Bloch–Kato's p-adic exponential map for the Galois representation* $V_x \otimes \chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi$*.*

As a corollary of the above result, we obtain another construction of the two-variable p-adic L-function of Mazur, Kitagawa and Greenberg–Stevens via Beilinson–Kato Euler system (cf. [Och06, Theorem 5.10]). Under some technical conditions, this also implies one of divisibilities of the two-variable Iwasawa Main Conjecture for Hida families combined with the result of [Och05].

In this paper, we would like to discuss a non-ordinary generalization of this theory. That is, we would like to construct an interpolation of Bloch–Kato exponential maps for certain non-ordinary Galois deformations. Let us fix the setting. As explained above, by the theory of Coleman families, we have a family of Galois representations $\mathscr{V}$ which is free of rank two over the ring of rigid functions $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}}$ of an affinoid space $\mathscr{X}$. Moreover, at each $x \in \mathscr{Z}$ of the above-mentioned set $\mathscr{Z} \subset \mathscr{X}$, the specialization $x(\mathscr{V})$ is isomorphic to the Galois representation V_x for f_x. By the construction of the Coleman family $\mathscr{V}$, it is known that the p-adic valuation of $a_p(f_x)$ is constant when $x \in \mathscr{Z}$ varies. We call this valuation the *slope* of the Coleman family $\mathscr{V}$.

Main Theorem **(Theorem 5.4).** — *Let* $\mathscr{X}$*,* $\mathscr{V} \cong \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}}^{\oplus 2}$ *and* $\mathscr{Z}$ *be as above. There exists a free* $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}}$*-module* $\mathscr{D}$ *of rank one whose specialization at each* $x \in \mathscr{Z}$ *is isomorphic to* $D_x := D_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=a_p(f_x)}(V_x)$*. Let* $h \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ *be equal to or greater than the slope of the Coleman family* $\mathscr{V}$*. Assume that the residual representation* $\overline{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ *associated to forms* f_x *is irreducible when restricted to* $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_p)}$*. Let* $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}}$ *be the subring of* $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}}$ *which consist of power bounded functions and let us choose a* $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$*-stable free* $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}}$*-module* $\mathscr{T}$ *of rank two giving an integral structure of* $\mathscr{V}$*, which recovers* $\mathscr{V}$ *by* $\mathscr{V} = \mathscr{T} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}}} \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}}$*.*

Then, we have a unique $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$*-linear big exponental map*

$$\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathscr{V}} : \mathcal{D}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_n H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathscr{T}\big)\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})}\mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$$

such that, for each $x \in \mathscr{Z}$ *and for each arithmetic character* $\chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi : G_{\mathrm{cyc}} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\times}$ *with* $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$*, we have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathscr{V}}} & \varprojlim_n H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathscr{T}\big)\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})}\mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \\ {\scriptstyle (x,\chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (x,\chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi)} \\ D_x \otimes D_{\mathrm{dR}}(\chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_x \otimes \chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi) \end{array}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ *is the module of distribution on* G_{cyc} *of logarithmic order* h *(see §4 for an explanation of* $\mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$*) and the bottom horizontal map is equal to*

$$(-1)^j(j-1)!E_p(f_x, j, \phi)\exp_{V_x \otimes \chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi}$$

with $E_p(f_x, j, \phi)$ *as in (1.1). We remark that* $\varprojlim_n H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathscr{T}\big)\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})}\mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ *and the map* $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathscr{V}}$ *do not depend on the choice of* $\mathscr{T}$*.*

We have several remarks.

Remark 1.1. — 1. The construction of the above rank-one module $\mathcal{D}$ which will be done in Theorem 3.5 is basically based on the theory of Sen and the theory of Kisin [**Kis03**]. We have a free $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}}$-lattice $\mathcal{D}^0$ which will be also given in Theorem 3.5. In Theorem 5.4 which is a finer version of the above Main Theorem, we take care of the denominators of the image of the map $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathscr{V}}$ with respect to an open ball $\mathcal{H}^+_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \subset \mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ for the Banach module structure on $\mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ which we define and study carefully in §4.

2. The technical background of the map $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathscr{V}}$ is based on the work [**PR94**] of Perrin-Riou, but we need a more careful treatment of the integral structure associated to the integral part $\mathcal{H}^+_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ of the Banach module $\mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ since we treat a family of p-adic representations, not the cyclotomic tower of a single p-adic representation. The integral structure $\mathcal{H}^+_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ of the Banach module $\mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ was not necessary in the work [**PR94**] of Perrin-Riou, hence the integral structure $\mathcal{H}^+_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ which we define later does not appear in [**PR94**]. On the way of working with integral structures, we also needed to make some of constants in [**PR94**] explicit, which were left nonexplicit in [**PR94**] (see constants c_1 to c_4, c_φ, $c(h)$ in §4). We also filled some technical details of the previous work [**PR94**] which we could not follow.

3. Recall that the work by Cherbonnier and Colmez [**CC99**] opened another way to approach the result in [**PR94**] by using (φ, Γ)-modules. We could have worked with (φ, Γ)-modules for the construction of the map in the main theorem. But, in fact, period rings of Robba type in the theory of (φ, Γ)-modules do not match well with $\mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ and it seems that we can not recover the statement as good as our Main theorem formulated with $\mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ if we worked purely through the

method of (φ,Γ)-modules. Hence, we have opted for the Perrin-Riou's original approach to keep delicate estimate of denominators and of integral structures when the weights of the modular forms f_x vary.

4. On a very quick glance, it might seem that the formulation and the statement of the main result is a bit similar and parallel to that of Perrin-Riou [**PR94**] except that we consider a family of Galois representations in place of a single Galois representation. However, it is a crucial idea to restrict ourselves to the rank-one subspace $D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_x)^{\varphi=a_p(f_x)}$ of $D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_x)$. In fact, when the weight k_x of f_x tends to infinity, we have unbounded denominators if we consider the whole of $D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_x)$ as in the formulation of the usual Coleman map of Perrin-Riou. We finally have to keep track of all denominators in the construction and we succeeded in showing that such denominators are bounded with respect to $x \in \mathscr{Z}$ when we restrict the exponential map to the rank-one subspace $D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_x)^{\varphi=a_p(f_x)}$. As far as we know, before this work, there was no such construction of a Coleman map using fixed slope subspace of crystals. Also, if we look into the detail of our construction, there are improvements and simplifications compared to [**PR94**] (see the strategy in Section 5.3).
5. The assumption that the residual representation $\overline{\rho}\colon G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ restricted to the decomposition group at p be irreducible is technical. But it is used in a lot of steps of the proof to assure freeness of Galois cohomology and the vanishing of some obstructions. Without this assumption, we might prove only a weaker result with the target space of $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathscr{V}}$ localized at some element of $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}}$.

As a hypothetical application of our Main Theorem, we construct a two-variable p-adic L-function from a hypothetical family of Beilinson–Kato elements. In fact, by taking the Kummer dual of the main theorem above, we have a unique $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$-linear big dual exponental map

$$\mathrm{EXP}^*_{\mathscr{V}} : \varprojlim_n H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathscr{T}^*(1)\big) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^*\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$$

which interpolates dual exponential maps $\exp^* : H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_x^*(1)\otimes\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}^{1-j}\phi) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fil}^0 D_{\mathrm{dR}}(, V_x^*(1)\otimes\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}^{1-j}\phi)$ for any $x \in \mathscr{Z}$, any critical integer j for f_x and any finite character ϕ of G_{cyc}. If we take a hypothetical Beilinson–Kato element $\mathcal{Z} \in \varprojlim_n H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathscr{T}^*(1)\big)$ and if we decompose the image $\mathrm{EXP}^*_{\mathscr{V}}(\mathcal{Z}) \in \mathcal{D}^*\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ as $\mathcal{F}\otimes_{\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}}} L_p(\mathcal{F})$ with $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{D}^*$ a canonical element associated to a Coleman family, the element $L_p(\mathcal{F}) \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ satisfies the desired interpolation properties of a two-variable p-adic L-function for our given Coleman family. This should be a non-ordinary generalization of the construction of two-variable p-adic L-functions associated to a given Hida family obtained in the paper [**Och03**] (see [**Och06**] for an optimization of [**Och03**]). In the case of [**Och03**] (and [**Och06**]), the existence of the Beilinson–Kato element $\mathcal{Z}$ was not hypothetical and we were able to construct Beilinson–Kato Euler system on Hida family. However, in the non-ordinary case of Coleman family, it seems not as straightforward as in the ordinary case of Hida deformation, mainly because the construction of Coleman families in the non-ordinary case is much more "analytic" than the construction of Hida families.

Probably, a veritable proof of the construction of Beilinson–Kato Euler systems on Coleman family requires a serious understanding of the complex and p-adic periods on Coleman family.

Although we started announcing the result of this paper more than three years ago, it took much time to finalize the full detail. Meanwhile, we have learned that David Hansen [Han15] and Shangwen Wang [Wan14] have results which might partially overlap with the statement of our Main Theorem. However, we could not follow their argument in [Han15] and [Wan14]. Also, their statements look different from ours and their techniques relying on (φ,Γ)-modules seem completely different from ours. In a certain sense, we are rather going in a complementary direction.

Notation

Throughout the paper, we fix an odd prime p. We fix embeddings of an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ into an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and into $\mathbb{C}$. We normalize the p-adic absolute value in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ by $|p| = \frac{1}{p}$. We denote by $\mu_{p^k}(R)$ the group of roots of unity of order p^k (for $k \leq \infty$) inside a ring R and we write $\mathbb{C}_p$ for a completion of $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ with respect to the absolute value $\| \ \|$.

Throughout the article, we fix a positive integer N which is not divisible by p. The number N will be the tame conductor of our Coleman family.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to Takashi Hara and Kenichi Namikawa who read carefully the preprint version submitted to the arXiv and pointed out some mistakes and unclear points.

2. Families of Galois representations

2.1. Some basics on affinoids and weight spaces. — We refer the reader to [BGR84] for our conventions and basic results about rigid analytic spaces in the sense of Tate. Let K be a complete subfield of $\mathbb{C}_p$. The field K can be either a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ or an infinite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Let $\mathscr{X}$ be an affinoid space defined over K. We write $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}}$ for the ring of analytic functions on $\mathscr{X}$ and $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}}$ for the subring of power-bounded elements (see [BGR84, 1.2.5]). They will always be endowed with their Gauß semi-norm (which is a norm and coincides with the sup-norm if $\mathscr{X}$ is reduced). When K is a discrete valuation field, the ring of power-bounded elements $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}}$ is noetherian because it is a quotient of $\mathcal{O}_K\langle T_1,\dots,T_n\rangle$ and $\mathcal{O}_K\langle T_1,\dots,T_n\rangle$ is the p-adic completion of a polynomial algebra over $\mathcal{O}_K$. The ring $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}} = \mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}}[\frac{1}{p}]$ is noetherian whether K is a discrete valuation field or not. For every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}}$, $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}}/\mathfrak{m}$ is a finite extension of K. Whereas, for every non-zero prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}}$, the quotient $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}}/\mathfrak{p}$ is finite over $\mathcal{O}_K$ (for these facts, see [BGR84, Cor. 3, 6.1.2/3 and Theorem 5.2.7/7]).

We consider the following definition:

Definition 2.1. — Let K be a complete subfield of $\mathbb{C}_p$ and let $\mathscr{X}$ be an affinoid space over K. A subset $\mathscr{Z}$ of the set of K-valued points $\mathscr{X}(K)$ is a Zariski-dense

subset in $\mathscr{X}$ if we have $U(K) \cap \mathscr{Z} \neq \emptyset$ for every non-empty Zariski-open subspace $U \subseteq \mathscr{X}$.

Given $x_0 \in K$ and $r \in p^{\mathbb{Q}}$, we denote by $\mathscr{B}[x_0, r]_K$ and $\mathscr{B}(x_0, r)_K$, respectively, the closed and open ball of radius r and center x_0, seen as K-rigid analytic spaces (see [deJ95, Sect. 7] for a description of the second space). We note that we normalize the p-adic absolute value $|\ |$ so that $|p| = \frac{1}{p}$. For example, in case $r = 1$ and $x_0 \in K$, $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{B}[x_0,1]_K}$ is isomorphic to the following ring of restricted power series with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_K$:

$$\mathcal{O}_K\langle T - x_0\rangle = \Big\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i (T - x_0)^i \in \mathcal{O}_K[\![T - x_0]\!] \ \Big|\ \lim_{i\to\infty} |c_i| = 0 \Big\}.$$

When $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$, we denote $B[x_0, r]_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (resp. $B(x_0, r)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$) by $B[x_0, r]$ (resp. $B(x_0, r)$) dropping the subscript.

Lemma 2.2. — *Let K be a complete subfield of $\mathbb{C}_p$ which is a discrete valuation field.*

1. *When $\mathscr{X}$ is a reduced affinoid defined over K and $f \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}}$ vanishes on every point of a Zariski-dense subset Z, then $f = 0$.*
2. *Let $x_0 \in K$. Every infinite set inside $\mathscr{B}[x_0, 1]_K(K)$ is Zariski-dense.*

Proof. — For the first assertion, suppose $f \neq 0$ and consider the Zariski-open subset $U_f = \{x \in \mathscr{X}$ such that $f(x) \neq 0\}$ of $\mathscr{X}$. Since $\mathscr{X}$ is reduced and $f \neq 0$, we have $U_f \neq \emptyset$. By the assumption that $Z \subset \mathscr{X}$ is a Zariski-dense subset, we have $Z \cap U_f(K) \neq \emptyset$. For any point $z \in Z \cap U_f(K)$, we have $f(z) = 0$, contradicting the definition of U_f.

We pass to the second assertion. By Weierstraß preparation Theorem ([BGR84, Theorem 5.2.2/1]), every function $f \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{B}[0,1]_K}$ can be factored as $f = P \cdot U$ where $P \in K[T - x_0]$ is a polynomial and $U \in \mathscr{A}^{\times}_{\mathscr{B}[x_0,1]_K}$ is an invertible power series which does not vanish on $\mathscr{B}[x_0, 1]_K$. It follows that every such f has only finitely many zeroes and that $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{B}[x_0,1]_K}$ is a PID, showing that non-trivial Zariski-closed sets in $\mathscr{B}[x_0, 1]_K$ consist of finitely many points. □

One of the main rigid spaces of interest for us is the weight space $\mathscr{W}_N$, which is isomorphic to $\varphi(Np)$ copies of $\mathscr{B}(1, 1)_K$ indexed by

$$\mathfrak{D} = \mathrm{Hom}\big((\mathbb{Z}/Np\mathbb{Z})^{\times}, \mathbb{C}_p^{\times}\big).$$

For generalities about $\mathscr{W}_N$, we refer to [Col97b, Sect. B1], [CM98, Sect. 1.4]. For more detailed accounts, we refer to [Gou88, Chap. I.3, §4 and Appendix] and [Buz07, page 103]. By definition, the weight space satisfies

$$\mathscr{W}_N(\mathbb{C}_p) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cont}}(\varprojlim_n (\mathbb{Z}/Np^n\mathbb{Z})^{\times}, \mathbb{C}_p^{\times}).$$

Following Coleman and Mazur, we give the following definition:

Definition 2.3. — We denote by $\omega : \mu_p(\mathbb{Z}_p) \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ the Teichmüller character and by $\langle\!\langle\ \rangle\!\rangle : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \to 1+p\mathbb{Z}_p$ the projection $x \mapsto x/\omega(x)$. For every integer k and $\chi \in \mathfrak{D}$ of finite order, the point $\chi\langle\!\langle\ \rangle\!\rangle^k \in \mathscr{W}_N(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is called an accessible weight-character with coordinates (χ, k).

As detailed in [CM98, Definition, Section 1.4] the accessible weight-characters are parametrized by the rigid analytic subspace $\mathscr{W}_N^* = \mathfrak{D} \times \mathscr{B}^* \subseteq \mathscr{W}_N$ where

$$\mathscr{B}^* \cong \mathscr{B}(0, p^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}) \tag{2.1}$$

is the subdisk of $\mathscr{B}(1,1)$ which is the image of $\mathscr{B}(0, p^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}})$ via the map $s \mapsto (1+p)^s$. In the notation introduced in Definition 2.3, the character $\chi\langle\!\langle\ \rangle\!\rangle^k$ is represented by the point $(\chi, (1+p)^k) \in \mathscr{W}_N(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ which gets mapped to (χ, k) by the identification in (2.1); we see that the word "coordinates" comes from seeing $\mathscr{W}_N^*$ as $\mathfrak{D}$-copies of $\mathscr{B}(0, p^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}})$. From now on we systematically write points in the weight space through their coordinates. In particular, for every fixed $\chi \in \mathfrak{D}$, the weights of characters with Nebentypus χ will be points in $\mathscr{B}(0, p^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}})$ rather than in $\mathscr{B}(1,1)$.

The assumption $(N,p) = 1$ allows us to look at the group $\mathrm{Hom}\big((\mathbb{Z}/p)^\times, \mathbb{C}_p^\times\big)$ as a subgroup of $\mathfrak{D}$. It thus makes sense, for each $0 \leq j \leq p-2$, to interpret ω^j as an element of $\mathfrak{D}$. The characters $x \mapsto x^k$, which are accessible with coordinates $(1,k)$, are then the elements of $\mathscr{W}_N(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ which belong to the ω^k-th copy of $\mathscr{B}^*$ and we call them *integral weights* (of trivial Nebentypus).

2.2. p-adic families of modular forms. — We start with a classical eigencuspform $f \in S_{k_0}(\Gamma_1(Np), \varepsilon)$ of weight k_0, level Np and Nebentypus ε, which we factor as product $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_N \omega^{k_0 - i}$ for some character ε_N of conductor divisible by N and some $0 \leq i \leq p-1$. Our main reference concerning p-adic modular forms and p-adic families thereof is [Col97b, Part B] as well as [Gou88], in particular Section II.3 for the definition of the U_p-operator.

Definition 2.4. — Let f be a p-adic modular form of level Np which is an eigenvector with respect to the U_p-operator. We define the slope of f to be the p-adic valuation of the U_p-eigenvalue of f. It is a non-negative rational number.

We assume that the slope of f is $0 \leq \alpha \leq k_0 - 1$. In [Col97b], [Col97a] and [CM98] Coleman and Coleman–Mazur have built a theory of families of p-adic modular forms of slope α interpolating f, a part of which is stated in Theorem 2.5 below and will be crucial for us in this paper. Let us introduce some notation to state Theorem 2.5. Given an element $k_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, an integer i and $r < p^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}} \in p^{\mathbb{Q}}$, we denote by $\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]$ the affinoid subspace of the weight space

$$\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r_0] := \{\varepsilon_N \omega^i\} \times \mathscr{B}[k_0, r] \subseteq \mathscr{W}_N^*$$

Let us consider a finite extension $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, which plays a role of the field of coefficients of motives associated to cuspforms f in the given Coleman family. We note that $\mathcal{K}$ has nothing to do with the field of definition K, for which we can choose K to be $\mathbb{Q}_p$ which corresponds to of the field of definition of motives associated to cuspforms f in the

given Coleman family. From now on, we denote by $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]/\mathcal{K}}$ (resp. $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]/\mathcal{K}}$) the extension of coefficients $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{K}$ (resp. $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$) where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the ring of integers of $\mathcal{K}$. We have the following result thanks to [Col97b]:

Theorem 2.5 ([Col97b]). — *Suppose that f is a classical normalized cuspidal eigenform, of weight k_0, level $\Gamma_1(Np)$, slope $\alpha < k_0 - 1$, Nebentypus $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_N \omega^{i-k_0}$ and which is new away from p. In case $i = 0$, suppose moreover that $a^2 \neq \varepsilon_N(p) p^{k_0-1}$, where a is the U_p-eigenvalue of f.*

Then, there is a radius $r_0 < p^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}$ lying in $p^{\mathbb{Q}}$ and rigid analytic functions a_n on $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]/\mathcal{K}}$ with some field of coefficient $\mathcal{K}$ for every natural number n such that the following statements hold:

1. *For every integer $k \in \mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r_0](\mathbb{Q}_p)$ satisfying $k > \alpha + 1$ the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(k) q^n \in \mathcal{K}[\![q]\!]$ coincides with the q-expansion of a classical normalized cuspidal eigenform of level Np, weight k, slope α and character $\varepsilon_N \omega^{i-k}$.*
2. *The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(k_0) q^n \in \mathcal{K}[\![q]\!]$ coincides with the q-expansion of f at $k = k_0$.*
3. *The space $\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ is a_p-small in the sense of* [Kis03, (**5.2**)].

Remark 2.6. — At first glance, it might look better to write $a_n^{(r_0)}$ for the analytic functions appearing in the statement, since the radius r_0 is not uniquely associated to f and these functions might *a priori* depend on its choice. However, we will prove in Corollary 2.8 below that this is not necessary.

Proof. — In [Col97b, pp. 465–467] (and especially along the proof of Corollary B5.7.1 *ibidem*), Coleman attaches to the above f the space $\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ with a radius $r_0 \in p^{\mathbb{Q}}$ small enough. In [Col97b, pp. 465–467], this space is denoted simply by B and a crucial step is to take a finite étale affinoid algebra $R_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ over $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]}$ whose associated affinoid space parametrizes families of p'-new forms of slope α. As in the paper [Col97b], we write $X(R_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]) \to \mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ for the affinoid space associated to $R_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$.

Then the statement is Corollary B5.7.1 in [Col97b] *verbatim*, except for the condition that all a_n be power-bounded and that all forms above be normalized. In Lemma B5.3 *ibidem* it is shown that the Hecke eigenvalues of an overconvergent cuspidal eigenform are bounded by 1 if it is normalized. We thus get the result by observing that $a_1 = 1$, which follows from $a_1 = T(1) = 1$ by the construction given in Theorem B5.7 *ibidem*. As discussed in [Kis03, §5.2] it is always possible to shrink a disk around a point in order to get a smaller one which is a_p-small, and this radius we call r_0. □

Definition 2.7. — Let f be a form as in Theorem 2.5 and let $0 < r \leq r_0$ be smaller than or equal to the radius constructed there. We refer to the rigid functions $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ on $\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ as *a* Coleman family of slope α and radius r passing through the form

f. We refer to the formal power series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n \in \mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]/\mathcal{K}}[\![q]\!]$$

as the Fourier expansion of the Coleman family. For each $x \in \mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]$, we denote by f_x the overconvergent modular form whose expansion is $\sum a_n(x)q^n$. We also refer to the collection of all these forms as the Coleman family of slope α through the form f.

We consider the subset of accessible weight-characters in $\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]$:

$$\mathscr{Z}_{(k_0,i)}[r] = \Big(\{\varepsilon_N \omega^i\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{>\alpha+1}\Big) \cap \mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r] \subseteq \mathscr{W}_N^*(\mathbb{Q}_p).$$

By abuse of notation, we write k for elements $(\varepsilon_N\omega^i, k)$ of $\mathscr{Z}_{(k_0,i)}[r]$ and we denote the p-adic Deligne representation attached to f_k in [Del68] by ρ_k.

Consider a form f satisfying the assumption of Theorem 2.5 and a radius r_0 as constructed there.

Corollary 2.8. — *Recall that $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the weight of the classical form f_0 which is the base of our given Colemen family. Let r_0 be a radius as constructed in Theorem 2.5 and let $r < r_0$ be a smaller radius lying in $p^{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then the functions*

$$\mathrm{res}^{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]}_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]}(a_n) \in \mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]/\mathcal{K}} \qquad \text{for } n \geq 1$$

are the Fourier expansion of a Coleman family of slope α and radius r passing through the form f.

Proof. — Follows from the definitions, since for every $k \in \mathscr{Z}_{(k_0,i)}[r](\mathbb{Q}_p)$ the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathrm{res}^{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]}_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]}(a_n)(k)q^n$$

is the q-expansion of a form of the required type. □

Thanks to the above corollary, we can unambiguously speak about its Fourier coefficients a_n without referring to the radius; observe also that if shrink an a_p-small disk to another one of smaller radius gives maintains a_p-smallness. We recall the following lemma:

Lemma 2.9. — *Recall that $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the weight of the classical form f_0 which is the base of our given Colemen family. Let $r \in p^{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a radius satisfying $r \leq r_0$ and $\mathcal{K}$ a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. If the function $G \in \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]/\mathcal{K}}$ vanishes on $\mathscr{Z}_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$, it is everywhere zero.*

Proof. — The subset $\mathscr{Z}_{(k_0,i)}[r] \subseteq \mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r](\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is Zariski-dense in $\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]$ thanks to the second assertion of Lemma 2.2. Hence, the assertion is an immediate consequence of the first assertion of Lemma 2.2. □

2.3. p-adic family of Galois representations. — The main result of this subsection is Theorem 2.12 which produces an *integral* Galois representation with values in $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$ – for a given Coleman family and a suitable affinoid $\mathscr{X}$ – that specializes to the Deligne representations attached to the classical eigenforms which belong to the given Coleman family. The most important ingredient is to construct pseudo-representations associated to a given Coleman family: once we have a pseudo-representation over our affinoid algebras, it is a standard argument to recover Galois representations from this pseudo-representation.

Since we do not find a standard reference to construct pseudo-representations over affinoid algebras, we construct them by using a formal structure of Coleman families. The formal structure over $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ of a given Coleman family constructed here will also play an important role in the proof of the main theorem given in Section 5.

Let us fix a Coleman family as in Theorem 2.5 and let $r_0 \in p^{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the radius of this Coleman family which appeared there. Let K be a complete subfield of $\mathbb{C}_p$ and take an element $e_0 \in K$ such that $r_0 = |e_0|$. Define

$$\mathbf{A}^0_K = \mathcal{O}_K\Big[\!\Big[\frac{T-k_0}{e_0}\Big]\!\Big] = \Big\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i \left(\frac{T-k_0}{e_0}\right)^i \;\Big|\; c_i \in \mathcal{O}_K \Big\} \tag{2.2}$$

For any $r \in p^{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $r < r_0$, power series in $\mathbf{A}^0_K$ converge on $B[k_0, r]_K$ which is strictly contained in $B(k_0, r_0)_K$ and are there bounded by 1. We consider them as functions on $\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]$.

By restriction, this induces a ring homomorphism $\mathbf{A}^0_K \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]_K}$ for any $r \in p^{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $r < r_0$. For each such radius r, we choose $e_r \in \mathbb{C}_p$ such that $|e_r| = r$. By [BGR84, §6.1.5], there is an isomorphism

$$\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]_{\mathbb{C}_p}} \cong \Big\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i \left(\frac{T-k_0}{e_r}\right)^i \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}\Big[\!\Big[\frac{T-k_0}{e_r}\Big]\!\Big] \;\Big|\; \lim_{i\to\infty} |c_i| = 0 \Big\}.$$

Similarly as above, the inclusion $\{\varepsilon_N \omega^i\} \times \mathscr{B}(k_0, r_0)_K \subset \mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]_K$ induces a homomorphism $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]_K} \to \mathbf{A}^0_K$ where we have a presentation

$$\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]_K} \cong \Big\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i \left(\frac{T-k_0}{e_0}\right)^i \in \mathcal{O}_K\Big[\!\Big[\frac{T-k_0}{e_0}\Big]\!\Big] \;\Big|\; \lim_{i\to\infty} |c_i| = 0 \Big\}.$$

We have:

$$\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]_K} \to \mathbf{A}^0_K \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]_{\mathbb{C}_p}} \tag{2.3}$$

which correspond to the inclusions

$$\mathscr{B}[k_0, r]_{\mathbb{C}_p} \subset \mathscr{B}(k_0, r_0)_K \subset \mathscr{B}[k_0, r_0]_K.$$

In Figure 1, there is a sketch of the radii that occurred so far in our construction: When the radius $r \in p^{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $r < r_0$ tends to r_0, we have

$$\mathbf{A}^0_K \subset \mathbf{A}^0_{\mathbb{C}_p} = \varprojlim_{r\to r_0} \mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]_{\mathbb{C}_p}} = \bigcap_{r<r_0} \mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]_{\mathbb{C}_p}}.$$



FIGURE 1.

Here $\mathbf{A}^0_K$ is characterized to be elements in $\mathbf{A}^0_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ which takes values in K at a dense subset in $\mathscr{B}[k_0, r_0]_K$. From now on, when there is no fear of confusion, we denote $\mathbf{A}^0_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ by $\mathbf{A}^0$ when $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ dropping the subscript K. For a finite extension $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we denote $\mathbf{A}^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{K}$ by ${\mathbf{A}^0}_{/\mathcal{K}}$. As stated previously, $\mathcal{K}$ plays a role of the field of coefficients and $\mathcal{K}$ has nothing to do with the field of definition K. By the above observation, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 2.10. — *Let us take the same assumptions and notations of Theorem 2.5. Then, there exists a finite extension $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ such that, for every natural number n, there is a unique function $A_n \in {\mathbf{A}^0}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ such that the image of A_n via the natural inclusion ${\mathbf{A}^0}_{/\mathcal{K}} \hookrightarrow {\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]}}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ coincides with a_n obtained in Theorem 2.5 on a smaller radius r.*

We remark that $\mathbf{A}^0_{/\mathcal{K}}$ in Proposition 2.10 is isomorphic to the following ring:

$$ {\mathbf{A}^0}_{/\mathcal{K}} \cong \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{K}\left[\!\!\left[\frac{T-k_0}{e_0}\right]\!\!\right] = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i \left(\frac{T-k_0}{e_0}\right)^i \;\middle|\; c_i \in \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{K} \right\}, $$

where $e_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ is an element with $|e_0| = r_0$.

Proof. — In Theorem 2.5, we obtained a Coleman family over $\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$. By shrinking such a Coleman family to $\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]$ for any $r \in p^{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $r < r_0$ and by taking the limit $r \longrightarrow r_0$, we showed that there exists $A_n \in {\mathbf{A}^0}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ such that the image of A_n via

the natural inclusion $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]}{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ coincides with a_n obtained in Theorem 2.5. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Based on the existence of the formal structure $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$, we introduce the notion of 2-dimensional pseudo-representation based on [Wil88]. We remark that other types of pseudo-representations were later introduced by Taylor in [Tay91] and, much more recently, by Chenevier in [Che11] but for our purposes Wiles' approach seems to be the best suited. Given a topological group G and a topological ring R in which 2 is invertible we say that a triple $\pi = (A, D, \Xi)$ of continuous functions

$$A, D : G \longrightarrow R \qquad \Xi : G \times G \longrightarrow R$$

satisfying properties (I)–(IV) in [Wil88, Lemma 2.2.3], is a pseudo-representation.

Given a continuous representation $\rho : G \to \mathrm{GL}_2(R)$, by fixing a basis of R^2 we can write

$$\rho(\sigma) = \begin{pmatrix} a(\sigma) & b(\sigma) \\ c(\sigma) & d(\sigma) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{for } \sigma \in G$$

and the triple $\pi_\rho = (A(\sigma) = a(\sigma), D(\sigma) = d(\sigma), \Xi(\sigma, \tau) = b(\sigma)c(\tau))$ is easily checked to be a pseudo-representation; observe that attaching a pseudo-representation to a representation depends on the choice of a basis. Extending the notation introduced in Definition 2.7, we simply denote by π_k the pseudo-representation π_{ρ_k} attached to ρ_k in some chosen basis. We apply this discussion to the ring $R = \mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ defined in (2.2) to find:

Proposition 2.11. — *Let $Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ be the set of points of the formal rigid space which is defined to be $\mathscr{B}(k_0, r_0) \cap \mathscr{Z}_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$. Let S be the finite set of primes of $\mathbb{Q}$ consisting of the primes $\{\ell : \ell \mid N\}$, p and ∞, $G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$ the Galois group of the maximal extension unramified outside S over $\mathbb{Q}$. Then, there exists a continuous pseudo-representation*

$$\pi = (A, D, \Xi) \colon G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$$

interpolating the pseudo-representations π_k attached to members of the Coleman family of slope α through f. In other words, for each $k \in Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$, the evaluation $\mathrm{ev}_k \circ \pi = (\mathrm{ev}_k \circ A, \mathrm{ev}_k \circ D, \mathrm{ev}_k \circ \Xi)$ coincides with the pseudo representation π_k.

Proof. — The argument of the pseudo representation of rank two à la Wiles is more or less standard and the proof goes in a quite parallel manner as the proof given in the text book [Hid93, §7.5]. So we only give an outline.

Let us choose a complex conjugation $c \in G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$. For each $k \in Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$, we fix a a basis for the representation ρ_k so that c is represented by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Following [Wil88, Lemma 2.3.3], we define functions

$$A_{(k)}, D_{(k)} : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$$

by the matrix representation

$$\rho_k(g) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{(k)}(g) & B_{(k)}(g) \\ C_{(k)}(g) & D_{(k)}(g) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We also set $\Xi_{(k)} : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \times G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$ by $\Xi_{(k)}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = A_{(k)}(\gamma_1\gamma_2) - A_{(k)}(\gamma_1)A_{(k)}(\gamma_2)$. The functions $A_{(k)}, D_{(k)}, \Xi_k$ are continuous for every $k \in Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ thanks to continuity of the Deligne representation ρ_k.

For any $k \in Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$, we denote by $P_k \subset \mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ the kernel of the evaluation map $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$ at k. Let us denote the function $A_{(k)} + D_{(k)}$ by Tr_{P_k}. Since $Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ is a countable set, we give a numbering

$$Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0] = \{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_s, \ldots\}.$$

For $k_1, k_2 \in Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$, we consider the map

(2.4)
$$\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}/P_{k_1} \oplus \mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}/P_{k_2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}/(P_{k_1} + P_{k_2}),\ (x, y) \mapsto (x \bmod P_{k_2}) - (y \bmod P_{k_1})$$

whose kernel is isomorphic to $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}/(P_{k_1} \cap P_{k_2})$. Let ℓ be a prime number outside S. We have $\mathrm{Tr}_{P_k}(\mathrm{Frob}_\ell) = a_\ell(k)$ for every $k \in Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ and the Fourier coefficients $a_\ell(k_i)$ glue together when k_i varies. Hence the values $\mathrm{Tr}_{P_k}(\mathrm{Frob}_\ell)$ glue together when k varies, which is true for any prime number ℓ outside S. By the sequence (2.4), we have a continuous function $\mathrm{Tr}_{P_{k_1}\cap P_{k_2}} : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}/(P_{k_1} \cap P_{k_2})$ whose value $\mathrm{Tr}_{P_{k_1}\cap P_{k_2}}(\mathrm{Frob}_\ell)$ is congruent to $\mathrm{Tr}_{P_{k_1}}(\mathrm{Frob}_\ell)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Tr}_{P_{k_2}}(\mathrm{Frob}_\ell)$) mod P_{k_1} (resp. mod P_{k_2}) for every prime number ℓ outside S. Since the set of Frobenius elements is dense in $G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$, value $\mathrm{Tr}_{P_{k_1}\cap P_{k_2}}(\sigma)$ is congruent to $\mathrm{Tr}_{P_{k_1}}(\sigma)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Tr}_{P_{k_2}}(\sigma)$) mod P_{k_1} (resp. mod P_{k_2}) for every $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$.

By inductive argument, for each natural number s, we have a continuous function

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{P_{k_1}\cap P_{k_2}\cap\ldots\cap P_{k_s}} : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}/(P_{k_1} \cap P_{k_2} \cap \ldots \cap P_{k_s})$$

such that we recover the function $\mathrm{Tr}_{P_{k_i}}(\sigma)$ mod P_{k_i} for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$. Note that we have $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}} = \varprojlim_s \mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}/(P_{k_1} \cap P_{k_2} \cap \ldots \cap P_{k_s})$ since $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ is complete and local. We define the continuous function $\mathrm{Tr} : G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ to be $\varprojlim_s \mathrm{Tr}_{P_{k_1}\cap P_{k_2}\cap\ldots\cap P_{k_s}}$.

By using the function Tr, we define the desired function A and D as follows:

$$A(\sigma) = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\sigma) - \mathrm{Tr}(c \cdot \sigma)}{2}, \quad D(\sigma) = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(\sigma) + \mathrm{Tr}(c \cdot \sigma)}{2}.$$

Since $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ is complete and local, a similar argument is done by first evaluating at pairs $(g_1, g_2) = (\mathrm{Frob}_{\ell_1}, \mathrm{Frob}_{\ell_2})$ the value $b(g_1)c(g_2)$ and then observing that the set of these pairs is dense in $G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \times G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$.

We thus obtain a continuous function

$$\Xi\colon G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \times G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \longrightarrow \mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$$

which recovers the function $\Xi_{(k)}$ taking the reduction modulo P_k of the function Ξ for every $k \in Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$.

Setting $\pi := (A, D, \Xi)$, we need to check that they verify properties (II)-(IV) of [Wil88] by using the fact that $Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ is dense in the reduced $\mathscr{X}$. First, let us verify the property (IV), namely we verify that for each $g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2 \in G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$ it holds

$$\Xi(g_1, g_2)\Xi(h_1, h_2) - \Xi(g_1, h_2)\Xi(h_1, g_2) = 0\,. \tag{2.5}$$

We need to check that the function $\Xi(g_1,g_2)\Xi(h_1,h_2)-\Xi(g_1,h_2)\Xi(h_1,g_2)$ vanishes identically on $\mathscr{X}$. Since the above-mentioned property (IV) holds for the pseudorepresentation $\pi_k=(A_{(k)},D_{(k)},\Xi_k)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\Xi(g_1,g_2)\Xi(h_1,h_2)-\Xi(g_1,h_2)\Xi(h_1,g_2)\big)(k)&\\ =\Xi_k(g_1,g_2)\Xi_k(h_1,h_2)-\Xi_k(g_1,h_2)\Xi_k(h_1,g_2)&\end{aligned}$$

at each point k in the dense subset $Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$. This proves the desired vanishing of (2.5) and the same argument holds for other properties (II) and (III). This completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.12 below, which is the main result of this section, shows that the pseudo-representation just constructed comes from a true representation.

Theorem 2.12. — *Let us take the same assumptions and notations as Proposition 2.11. There exists a free $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$-module $\mathbf{T}$ of rank two with a continuous $G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$-action such that the representation*

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}\colon G_{\mathbb{Q},S}\longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}}(\mathbf{T})$$

satisfies $\pi_{\boldsymbol{\rho}}=\pi$ (in a suitable basis). In particular, $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ modulo P_k is isomorphic to a lattice of ρ_k for all $k\in Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$.

The following proof mainly relies on [Wil88, Lemma 2.2.3], see also [Hid93, Proposition 1, §7.5].

Proof. — Start with a radius r_0 as in Theorem 2.5 and set $r=r_0|\varpi|$. Let $\pi=(A,D,\Xi)$ be the $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$-valued pseudo-representation constructed in Proposition 2.11. There exists a pair of elements $\sigma,\tau\in G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$ such that $\Xi(\sigma,\tau)(k_0)\neq 0$. If not, the diagonal Galois representation $g\mapsto A(g)(k_0)\oplus D(g)(k_0)$ would have the same trace and determinant as the representation ρ_k, which contradicts to Ribet's Theorem 2.3 of [Rib77] saying that ρ_k be irreducible (see in [Wil88, Lemma 2.2.3] or [Hid89, Proposition 1.1]). From now on, let us fix a pair σ,τ such that $\Xi(\sigma,\tau)(k_0)\in\mathcal{K}$ is of minimal valuation, say $\mu\in\mathbb{Q}$. The element $\Xi(\sigma,\tau)$ can be decomposed as $\Xi(\sigma,\tau)=p^{\mu}V^{-1}$ with $V\in(\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}})^{\times}$. As in [Hid93, Proposition 1], we check that the map

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}\colon g\mapsto\begin{pmatrix}A(g) & \Xi(g,\tau)Vp^{-\mu}\\ \Xi(\sigma,g) & D(g)\end{pmatrix}$$

is multiplicative, sends $1\in G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$ to $\mathbf{Id}_2\in M_2(\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}})$ and takes values in $M_2(\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Hence we have a continuous group homomorphism $\boldsymbol{\rho}\colon G_{\mathbb{Q},S}\to\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p)$. We want to produce a finitely generated $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$-submodule of $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p$ which is $\boldsymbol{\rho}$-stable, we follow the proof of continuity of [Hid89, Proposition 1.1]. Namely, define $\mathfrak{J}\subseteq\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ to be the ideal generated by all lower-left entries $\Xi(\sigma,g)$ for $g\in G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$ and let $\mathbf{T}'$ be the $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$-submodule of $(\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}})^{\oplus 2}\subset(\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p)^{\oplus 2}$ generated by all vectors (x,y) with $x\in\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}},y\in\mathfrak{J}$. The $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$-module $\mathbf{T}'$ is finitely generated since

$\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ is noetherian and is p-torsion free since so is $(\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\oplus 2}$. Moreover, given ${}^t(x,y) \in \mathbf{T}'$ and $g \in G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$, we have

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}(g) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A(g)x + \Xi(g,\tau)Vp^{-\mu}y \\ \Xi(\sigma,g)x + D(g)y \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{T}'$$

by the definition of $\mathfrak{J}$ which ensures $\Xi(\sigma,g)x \in \mathfrak{J}$ and by the definition of μ which ensures $\Xi(g,\tau)Vp^{-\mu} \in \mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$. Thus, it follows that $\mathbf{T}'$ is Galois stable. Finally, $\mathbf{T}' \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p = (\mathbf{A}^0 \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\oplus 2}$ because $\mathfrak{J}$ contains the element $\Xi(\sigma,\tau) = p^{-\mu}V$ which becomes a unit after inverting p. Let us define $\mathbf{T}$ to be the double dual $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^0}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}^0}(\mathbf{T}', \mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}), \mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}})$. We have a canonical $G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$-equivariant $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$-linear injection $\mathbf{T}' \hookrightarrow \mathbf{T}$ with finite cokernel. Since $\mathbf{T}$ is a finitely generated reflexive module over a regular local ring $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ of Krull dimension two, $\mathbf{T}$ is a free $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$-module of finite rank. Since $\mathbf{T} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ is free of rank two over $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$, the rank of $\mathbf{T}$ over $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ is two.

As for the last property, recall that an irreducible representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$ with values in a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is uniquely determined by its trace and determinant. Thus $\mathbf{T}/P_k\mathbf{T}$ is isomorphic to a lattice of the Galois drepresentation ρ_k. □

Note that $\iota : \mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]}{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ is continuous. In fact, $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ is local with the maximal ideal $(\frac{T-k_0}{e_0}, \varpi)$ and $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]}{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ is endowed with the ϖ-adic topology. To show that ι is continuous, we need to show that $\iota^{-1}\left(\varpi\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]}{}_{/\mathcal{K}}\right)$ contains the maximal ideal $(\frac{T-k_0}{e_0}, \varpi)$ of $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$. In fact, this implies that $\iota^{-1}(\varpi^n\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]}{}_{/\mathcal{K}})$ contains $(\frac{T-k_0}{e_0}, \varpi)^n$ for every n. The uniformizer ϖ is clearly contained in $\iota^{-1}(\varpi\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]}{}_{/\mathcal{K}})$ and $\frac{T-k_0}{e_0}$ is also contained in $\iota^{-1}(\varpi\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]}{}_{/\mathcal{K}})$ since we have $\frac{T-k_0}{e_0} = \varpi\frac{T-k_0}{e_0\varpi} \in \varpi\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]}{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$. By extending the coefficient of the result obtained over $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ in the above theorem to $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]}{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$, we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 2.13. — *Under the same assumptions and notations as Theorem 2.5 and Proposition 2.11, there exists a free $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]}{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$-module $\mathscr{T}$ of rank two with continuous $G_{\mathbb{Q},S}$-action such that the representation*

$$\boldsymbol{\rho}\colon G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]}{}_{/\mathcal{K}}}(\mathscr{T})$$

satisfies $\pi_{\boldsymbol{\rho}} = \pi$ (in a suitable basis). In particular, $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}_k$ is isomorphic to ρ_k for all $k \in Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ where $\mathfrak{m}_k$ is the unique maximal ideal of $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]}{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ such that $\mathfrak{m}_k \cap \mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}} = P_k$.

We stress that every finitely generated module over an affinoid algebra $\mathscr{A}$ will always implicitly be endowed with the quotient topology coming from any finite presentation (which is independent of the presentation, see [**BGR84**, Proposition 3.7.3/3])

and that every finitely generated, torsion-free module $\mathscr{M}$ over a power-bounded affinoid algebra $\mathscr{A}^0$ will be endowed with the subspace topology coming from its injection into the finitely generated $\mathscr{A}^0[\frac{1}{p}]$-module $\mathscr{M} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$.

3. Families of crystals

In this subsection, we define the main relevant module $\mathcal{D}$ interpolating the crystals $D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_x)$ when V_x varies in our Coleman family, where we write V_x for the Galois representation $\mathscr{V}/\mathfrak{m}_x\mathscr{V}$ at the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_x$ of $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]/\mathcal{K}}$ corresponding to $x \in Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$. This module will serve as domain of definition for our big exponential map which will be constructed in our main theorem.

3.1. Preparation on topological tensor products and lattices. — We need some results from the theory of nonarchimedean functional analysis, for which our basic reference is [Sch02]. In what follows, we only consider $\mathbb{Q}_p$-Banach spaces or, more generally, locally convex topological $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector spaces, and we sometimes simply refer to them as Banach spaces or locally convex spaces, dropping the base-field $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Given a locally convex space V and a lattice M in V, by which we mean a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module such that $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p = V$, we can consider its corresponding *gauge*:

$$p_M : V \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \quad v \longmapsto \inf\Big\{ |a| \;\Big|\; a \in \mathbb{Q}_p,\, v \in aM \Big\}.$$

Observe that in the above definition the choice of the base field is crucial. In particular, for every lattice $M \subseteq V$, the seminorm p_M satisfies $p_M(V) = |\mathbb{Q}_p|$. Given two locally convex spaces V and W, we write $W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W$ for the projective tensor product, as in [Sch02, §17.B], and we endow it with the tensor product topology; similarly, we denote by $V\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}W$ the Hausdorff completion of the projective tensor product. As explained after [Sch02, Proposition 17.6], when V and W are Banach spaces, $V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W$ is still a metric space whose metric can be defined by the tensor product norm $\| \ \|_\otimes$ and its Hausdorff completion $(V\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}W, \| \ \|_{\widehat{\otimes}})$ is again Banach. When we speak of an *isomorpism* for two Banach spaces, we mean an isometric linear bijection.

We use the notation $-\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}-$ to denote the completed tensor product of separated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules with respect to their p-adic topologies as defined in [Gro60, Chap. 0, §7.7.1]. That is, we have

$$M\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}N = \varprojlim_{n,m} M/p^mM \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} N/p^nN$$

for separated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules M, N. We prepare some basics for later use:

Proposition 3.1. — *1. Let $(V, \| \ \|)$ be a normed locally convex space and let V^0 be its unit ball, namely*

$$V^0 = \{v \in V \,|\, \|v\| \leq 1\}\,.$$

Then the gauge p_{V^0} coincides with $\| \ \|$ and we have $V^0 = \{v \in V \,|\, p_{V^0}(v) \leq 1\}$.
2. Let $(V, \| \ \|)$ be a Banach space such that $\|V\| = |\mathbb{Q}_p|$. Then the functor $-\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}V$ on the category of Banach space is exact.

3. Let $(V, \| \ \|_V)$ and $(W, \| \ \|_W)$ be Banach spaces such that $\|V\|_V = \|W\|_W = |\mathbb{Q}_p|$, and let V^0, W^0 be the corresponding unit balls. Then $V^0 \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W^0$ is the unit ball of the Banach space $V \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W$.

Proof. — Let us prove the first assertion. Recall that [Sch02, Lemma 2.2 (ii)] states that there are inequalities

$$c_0 \cdot p_{(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)^0} \leq \| \ \| \leq p_{(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} E)^0}$$

with $c_0 = \sup\left\{ |b| \ \middle| \ b \in E, |b| < 1 \right\}$ for any finite extension of E of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Because of our convention that all locally convex spaces be defined over the discretely-valued $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we find $c_0 = 1$. Since the norms $\| \ \|$ and p_{V^0} are equal, it is obvious that also the relative unit balls coincide.

Let us prove the second assertion. Concerning exactness of $- \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$ for a Banach space V, the fact that $\mathbb{Q}_p$ is discretely valued allows us to combine Remark 10.2 and Proposition 10.5 of [Sch02] to see that every exact sequence of Banach spaces splits isometrically. This implies exactness of the functor $- \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$.

Let us pass to the proof of the third assertion. We first consider the normed locally convex space $(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W, \| \ \|_\otimes)$ and we claim that $V^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W^0$ is the unit ball in it. Let us deduce our conclusion assuming this claim. If a sequence of vectors $x_i \in V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W$ tends to a vector $x \in V \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W$ such that $\|x\|_{\widehat{\otimes}} \leq 1$, then $\|x_i\|_\otimes \leq 1$ for all i large enough, since $\|V \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W\|_{\widehat{\otimes}} = |\mathbb{Q}_p| = p^{\mathbb{Z}} \cup \{0\}$. In other words, a vector in $V \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W$ has norm bounded by 1 if and only if it lies in the closure $V^0 \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W^0$ of $V^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W^0$, which is what we want. Thus, it only remains for us to prove the claim, namely

$$V^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W^0 = (V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W, \| \ \|_\otimes)^0 \ . \tag{3.1}$$

Recall the definition of $\| \ \|_\otimes$ as follows:

$$\|u\|_\otimes = \inf \left\{ \max\{\|v_i\|_V \cdot \|w_i\|_W\}_{i \leq i \leq r} \ \middle| \ u = \sum_{i=1}^r v_i \otimes w_i, v_i \in V, w_i \in W \right\} .$$

Clearly, any vector $u \in V^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W^0$ satisfies $\|u\|_\otimes \leq 1$ and we pass to the non-trivial inclusion in (3.1). So, pick u in $(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W)^0$ and chose an expression $u = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} v_i \otimes w_i$ such that

$$\max\{\|v_i\| \ \|w_i\|\}_{i \leq i \leq r} \leq 1 \ .$$

where we suppress the subscripts V, W from the norms for simplicity. By assumption, we can write $\|v_i\| = p^{a_i}$ and $\|w_i\| = p^{b_i}$ such that $a_i + b_i \leq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then $\|p^{a_i} v_i\| = 1$ and $\|p^{-a_i} w_i\| = p^{a_i + b_i} \leq 1$, namely $p^{a_i} v_i \otimes p^{-a_i} w_i \in V^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W^0$ and

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^r v_i \otimes w_i = \sum_{i=1}^r p^{a_i} v_i \otimes p^{-a_i} w_i \in V^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W^0 \ .$$

establishing (3.1). □

In the end of this subsection, we recall some basic facts on lattices and norms which are needed later. Let A be any p-adically separated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module: we can construct the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space $V_A := A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ and endow it with the topology induced by the

family of lattices $\{p^jA\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ or, equivalently, the topology induced by p_A. This turns V_A into a locally convex space, which is Hausdorff thanks to the second assertion of [Sch02, Proposition 4.6] and p_A is a norm on it thanks to the third assertion of the same proposition. Moreover, V_A is Banach if and only if A is p-adically complete, as follows from [Sch02, Lemma 7.17, iii.]. Finally, A is the unit ball inside (V_A, p_A) by definition of p_A.

3.2. p-adic families of crystals for $\mathscr{T}$. — Write A_{cris} and $B^+_{\mathrm{cris}} = A_{\mathrm{cris}}[\frac{1}{p}]$ for Fontaine's period rings (see [Fon94]). We want to discuss a structure of Banach space on B^+_{cris}. First of all, Fontaine proves in Section **2.3.3** *ibid.* that A_{cris} is $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module which is p-adically complete and separated and defines B^+_{cris} to be the locally convex space $V_{A_{\mathrm{cris}}}$ in the notation of the previous paragraph. It therefore inherits a structure of a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-Banach space for which A_{cris} is the unit ball and we denote the gauge $p_{A_{\mathrm{cris}}}$ by $\|\ \|_{\mathrm{cris}}$.

Remark 3.2. — In the previous paragraph we insist that B^+_{cris} is a Banach space and A_{cris} is its unit ball ignoring the relation of their topology with the ring structure. This is because, as observed in [Col98, Sect. III.2], division by elements in B^+_{cris} is not well-behaved with respect to the natural topology; we could use A_{max} and B^+_{max} instead, but since we do not need this and the substitution would require us to modify some of the arguments in Sections 3 and 4 of [Kis03], we prefer to stick to cris-rings instead of max-rings.

Definition 3.3. — For every $\mathbb{Q}_p$-Banach space V endowed with a continuous action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ we set $D^+_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) = H^0(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, V\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}B^+_{\mathrm{cris}})$. For every complete $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module T endowed with a continuous action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ we set $D^0_{\mathrm{cris}}(T) = H^0(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, T\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}A_{\mathrm{cris}})$. Both $D^+_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ and $D^0_{\mathrm{cris}}(T)$ are endowed with an operator φ coming from the Frobenius acting on B^+_{cris}.

We recall that, when we take a field of definition K, we have the relation of the base algebras

$$\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]_K} \subset \mathbf{A}^0_K \subset \mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]_K}$$

where we have $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]_K} = \mathcal{O}_K\left\langle\frac{T-k_0}{e_0}\right\rangle$, $\mathbf{A}^0_K = \mathcal{O}_K\left[\!\left[\frac{T-k_0}{e_0}\right]\!\right]$ and $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]_K} = \mathcal{O}_K\left\langle\frac{T-k_0}{e_0\varpi}\right\rangle$. As in the previous setting, we choose K to be $\mathbb{Q}_p$ and denote $\mathbf{A}^0_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ by $\mathbf{A}^0$ when there is no fear of confusion. To relate our setting here to the setting of Introduction, we denote $\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ above by $\mathscr{X}$ and $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ by $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}}$. On the other hand, we take a finite extension $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ which has nothing to do with K above and which plays a role of the field of coefficients. We use the notation $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$ (resp. $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$) to mean $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathcal{K}$ (resp. $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$). Since $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{/\mathcal{K}}\langle T\rangle$, the Gauß norm and the p-adic norm coincide on both $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$ and $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$. By [BGR84, Corollary 5.1.4/6] they also coincide with the sup-norm. It follows that, for all $G\in\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$, we have

$$\|G\|_{\mathrm{Gauß}} = \|G\|_{\mathrm{sup}} = p^k \text{ if and only if } p^kG\in\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}} \text{ and } p^{k-1}G\notin\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}. \tag{3.2}$$

For the Galois representation $\mathscr{T}$ obtained in Corollary 2.13, we denote the extension $\mathscr{T} \otimes_{\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}} \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$ by $\mathscr{V}$. We define

$$\mathcal{D} = D^+_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathscr{V})^{\varphi=a_p}, \tag{3.3}$$

which is a $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$-module. We also define

$$\mathcal{D}^0 = D^0_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathscr{T})^{\varphi=a_p} \tag{3.4}$$

Since $\mathscr{T}$ and A_{cris} are submodules of $\mathscr{V}$ and B^+_{cris}, respectively, we regard $\mathcal{D}^0$ as a submodule of $\mathcal{D}$. By (3.2), the Gauß norm on $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$ coincides with the p-adic norm, and $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$ is separated and complete with respect to it. It follows that $\mathscr{T}$, which is finite and free over $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$, can be endowed with the p-adic topology with respect to which it is separated and complete (see also comments after Corollary 2.13). By the discussion after Proposition 3.1 above, $\mathscr{V}$ is a Banach space when endowed with the norm $p_{\mathscr{T}}$ for which $\mathscr{T}$ is the unit ball. From now on, we regard $\mathscr{V}$ as a Banach space with the norm $p_{\mathscr{T}} := \| \ \|_{\mathscr{V}}$.

Lemma 3.4. — *We have the following statements for the module $\mathcal{D}$ defined above.*

1. *The module $\mathcal{D}$ is non-zero.*
2. *With the norm induced as a subspace of $B^+_{\mathrm{cris}} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathscr{V}$, $\mathcal{D}$ is a Banach space whose unit ball is $\mathcal{D}^0$. In particular, the restriction to $\mathcal{D}$ of the tensor product norm coincides with the gauge $p^0_{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\mathcal{D} = V^0_{\mathcal{D}}$.*

Proof. — We start by showing that [**Kis03**, Corollary 5.16] implies $\mathcal{D} \neq 0$. We apply this result by taking X, Y, $\mathcal{R}$ and M in [**Kis03**, Corollary 5.16] to be $X = \mathscr{X}$, $Y = a_p$, $\mathcal{R} = \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}}$ so that $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathcal{R}) = \mathscr{X}$ and $M = \mathscr{V}$. In order to apply the Corollary, we first need to check that $\mathscr{X}_{fs} = \mathscr{X}$. For this, we observe that Kisin proves in [**Kis03**, proof of Theorem 6.4, p. 408] that $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{fs} = \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$. Since we have $\mathscr{X} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ by construction, the final statement of [**Kis03**, Proposition 5.4] applies to ensure $\mathscr{X}_{fs} = \mathscr{X}$.

Now, let us denote by $\mathscr{V}^*$ the $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$-linear dual $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}}(\mathscr{V}, \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}})$. Then, for a fixed natural number k, we define $H \subseteq \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$ to be the smallest ideal such that every Galois-equivariant $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$-linear map

$$h\colon \mathscr{V}^* \to \left(B^+_{\mathrm{dR}}/t^k B^+_{\mathrm{dR}}\right) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}} \tag{3.5}$$

factors through $\left(B^+_{\mathrm{dR}}/t^k B^+_{\mathrm{dR}}\right) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H$. The assertion (2) of [**Kis03**, Corollary 5.16] states that $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}) \setminus V(H)$ is scheme-theoretically dense in $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}})$ if $k \gg 0$. In particular, we have $H \neq 0$ and there are non-zero Galois-equivariant, $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$-linear maps h as above. Now, the assertion (1) of [**Kis03**, Corollary 5.16] states that each map (3.5) factors through $(B^+_{\mathrm{cris}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}})^{\varphi=a_p}$ for k sufficiently large. Now, let us suppose that $H^0\Big(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}}\left(\mathscr{V}^*, (B^+_{\mathrm{cris}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}})^{\varphi=a_p}\right)\Big) = 0$. Then, for k sufficiently large so that each map as in (3.5) factors through $(B^+_{\mathrm{cris}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}})^{\varphi=a_p}$, H must be zero, which contradicts to the scheme-theoretical density of $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}) \setminus V(H)$. Thus we showed that

$$H^0\Big(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}}\left(\mathscr{V}^*, (B^+_{\mathrm{cris}} \widehat{\otimes} \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/K})^{\varphi=a_p}\right)\Big) \neq 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Since $\mathscr{V}$ is free of rank two over $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$ by construction, $\mathscr{V}$ is isomorphic to the double $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$-linear dual $\mathscr{V}^{**}$ of $\mathscr{V}$. Hence we have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D} &= H^0\big(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathscr{V}\widehat{\otimes}B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\big)^{\varphi=a_p}\\
&= H^0\big(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, (\mathscr{V}^{**}\widehat{\otimes}B^+_{\mathrm{cris}})^{\varphi=a_p}\big)\\
&= H^0\Big(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \big(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}}(\mathscr{V}^*, \mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}})\widehat{\otimes}B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\big)^{\varphi=a_p}\Big)\\
&= H^0\Big(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \big(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}}(\mathscr{V}^*, B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\widehat{\otimes}\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}})\big)^{\varphi=a_p}\Big)\\
&= H^0\Big(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}}}\big(\mathscr{V}^*, (B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\widehat{\otimes}\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}})^{\varphi=a_p}\big)\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

For the last equality, observe that since $\mathscr{V}^*$ has no φ-action, φ acts on a homomorphism by a_p precisely when it takes values in $(B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\widehat{\otimes}\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}})^{\varphi=a_p}$. By (3.6), we conclude $\mathcal{D}\neq 0$, which completes the proof of the first assertion.

Now we pass to the second assertion on the Banach properties of $\mathcal{D}$. By the discussion preceding Proposition 3.1, $B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathscr{V}$ is a Banach space when endowed with the tensor product norm. Moreover, the third assertion of Proposition 3.1 shows that $A_{\mathrm{cris}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathscr{T}$ is the unit ball in $B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathscr{V}$. Both taking $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-invariants and restricting to the φ-eigenspace of eigenvalue a_p define closed, and hence complete, subspaces. In particular, $\mathcal{D}$ is Banach and we denote by $\|\ \|_{\mathcal{D}}$ the restriction to $\mathcal{D}$ of the tensor product norm. Note that we have $\|x\|_{\mathcal{D}}\leq 1$ if and only if we have simultaneously, $\|x\|_{\mathscr{V}\otimes B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}}\leq 1$, $g(x)=x$ for all $g\in G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and $\varphi(x)=a_px$. Thus, by third assertion of Proposition 3.1, we have $\|x\|_{\mathcal{D}}\leq 1$ if and only if we have $x\in\mathcal{D}^0$. This shows that $\mathcal{D}^0$ is the unit ball in $\mathcal{D}$. Finally, [Sch02, Proposition 4.11] shows that the topology of $\mathcal{D}$ can be defined by $\mathcal{D}^0$ because the unit ball is open and bounded in a Banach space and the first assertion of Proposition 3.1 implies that $p^0_{\mathcal{D}}=\|\ \|_{\mathcal{D}}$; the final statement $\mathcal{D}=V^0_{\mathcal{D}}$ is simply the definition of the Banach space $V^0_{\mathcal{D}}$ recalled before Proposition 3.1. □

We always endow $\mathcal{D}$ with a Banach structure making $\mathcal{D}^0$ the unit ball in it, as constructed during the proof of the above lemma. We can now state the main result of this section, which heavily relies on the work [Kis03] of Kisin.

Theorem 3.5. — *We have the following statements for the module $\mathcal{D}^0$ and $\mathcal{D}$ defined previously.*

1. *The modules $\mathcal{D}^0$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are free modules of rank one over $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$ and $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$, respectively.*
2. *For all $x\in Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ (except possibly at x where $D^+_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_x)$ is crystalline and the characteristic polynomial for φ has a double root $a_p(x)$), the vector space $\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{m}_x\mathcal{D}$ coincides with $D^+_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_x)^{\varphi=a_p(x)}$.*

Proof. — By [Kis03, Proposition 2.5] (with $K=E=\mathbb{Q}_p$ and $M=\mathscr{V}$), the $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$-module $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, B^+_{\mathrm{dR}}/t^jB^+_{\mathrm{dR}}\widehat{\otimes}\mathscr{V})$ is finitely generated for all $j\geq 0$. Since $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$ is a_p-small, we can invoke [Kis03, Corollary 3.7]. By tensoring the injection (3.7.1) of

[Kis03] with the free $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$-module $\mathscr{V}$, we have a Galois equivariant injection

$$\left(B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\widehat{\otimes}\mathscr{V}\right)^{\varphi=a_p}\hookrightarrow\left(B^+_{\mathrm{dR}}/t^jB^+_{\mathrm{dR}}\right)\widehat{\otimes}\mathscr{V} \tag{3.7}$$

for sufficiently large j thanks to the second assertion of Proposition 3.1. Taking Galois invariants of this injection, $\mathcal{D}$ is a submodule of the finitely generated $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$-module $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, B^+_{\mathrm{dR}}/t^jB^+_{\mathrm{dR}}\widehat{\otimes}\mathscr{V})$. Therefore $\mathcal{D}$ is a finitely generated $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$-module because $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$ is noetherian. We next claim that the module $\mathcal{D}$ is also torsion-free over $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$. In order to check this, we recall that we have an $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$-linear inclusion $\mathcal{D}\hookrightarrow\mathscr{V}\widehat{\otimes}B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}$: we thus need only to check that $\mathscr{V}\widehat{\otimes}B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}$ is $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$-torsion-free. Since flatness implies torsion-freeness over every commutative ring, we would rather check that $\mathscr{V}\widehat{\otimes}B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}$ is $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$-flat. Let

$$0\longrightarrow M'\longrightarrow M\longrightarrow M''\longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.8}$$

be a short exact sequence of $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$-module. Since every $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$-module is an injective limit of finitely generated $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$-modules and the tensor product commutes with injective limits, we can assume that M'' is a finitely generated $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$-module. Taking the tensor product of (3.8) with $\mathscr{V}\widehat{\otimes}B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}$ over $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$, we obtain

$$M'\otimes_{\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}}(\mathscr{V}\widehat{\otimes}B^+_{\mathrm{cris}})\longrightarrow M\otimes_{\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}}(\mathscr{V}\widehat{\otimes}B^+_{\mathrm{cris}})\longrightarrow M''\otimes_{\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}}(\mathscr{V}\widehat{\otimes}B^+_{\mathrm{cris}})\longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathscr{V}$ is free of rank two over $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$, the above sequence is simply

$$M'^{\oplus 2}\widehat{\otimes}B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\longrightarrow M^{\oplus 2}\widehat{\otimes}B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\longrightarrow M''^{\oplus 2}\widehat{\otimes}B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\longrightarrow 0 \tag{3.9}$$

which is the application of the functor $-\widehat{\otimes}B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}$ to the short exact sequence (3.8) of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector spaces. Having assumed that M'' is finitely generated, it follows from [BGR84, §3.7, Proposition 3] that $M''^{\oplus 2}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-Banach space. By the second assertion of Proposition 3.1, the functor $-\widehat{\otimes}B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}$ is exact restricted to $\mathbb{Q}_p$-Banach spaces, so the first arrow in (3.9) must be injective. The flatness of $\mathscr{V}\widehat{\otimes}B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}$ follows.

Hence, $\mathcal{D}$ is a free $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$-module of finite rank. Moreover, the Banach space $(\mathcal{D},\|\ \|_{\mathcal{D}})$ is a cartesian $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$-module. In order to show this, we need to check that we have $p^0_{\mathcal{D}}(Gv)=\|G\|_{\sup}\cdot p^0_{\mathcal{D}}(v)$ for all $v\in\mathcal{D}$ and all $G\in\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$ thanks to Lemma 3.4, which follows from the definition of the gauge $p^0_{\mathcal{D}}$ using (3.2). It follows from the equality $(\mathcal{D},\|\ \|_{\mathcal{D}})^0=\mathcal{D}^0$ of Lemma 3.4 that $\mathcal{D}^0$ is a free $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$-module of rank equal to $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}}(\mathcal{D})$.

Fix now $x\in\mathscr{X}$ and let $\mathfrak{m}_x$ be the corresponding maximal ideal of $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$. By [BGR84, Proposition 7.1.1/3], this ideal is principal and we fix a generator π_x, insisting that it lie in $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$. The $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathscr{K}}$-module $\mathscr{V}$ is free, so multiplication by π_x is injective and by taking complete tensor product of the tautological exact sequence given by multiplication by π_x with the Banach space B^+_{cris}, we find the short exact sequence by the second assertion of Proposition 3.1:

$$0\to B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathscr{V}\xrightarrow{\pi_x\otimes 1}B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathscr{V}\to B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}V_x\to 0\,.$$

Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathscr{V} & \xrightarrow{\pi_x\otimes 1} & B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathscr{V} & \longrightarrow & B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V_x & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi-a_p} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi-a_p} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi-a_p(x)} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathscr{V} & \xrightarrow{\pi_x\otimes 1} & B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathscr{V} & \longrightarrow & B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V_x & \longrightarrow & 0\,. \end{array}$$

By the snake lemma, we deduce a left exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathscr{V})^{\varphi=a_p} \longrightarrow (B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathscr{V})^{\varphi=a_p} \longrightarrow (B^+_{\mathrm{cris}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V_x)^{\varphi=a_p(x)}.$$

By taking $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-invariants of this short exact sequence, we find an exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\pi_x} \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow D^+_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_x)^{\varphi=a_p(x)}$$

hence an injection:

$$\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{m}_x\mathcal{D} \hookrightarrow D^+_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_x)^{\varphi=a_p(x)}. \tag{3.10}$$

By Lemma 3.4, $\mathcal{D}$ is a non-trivial finitely generated module over $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$. Since π_x is not a unit in $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$, the $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}/\mathfrak{m}_x$-vector space $\mathcal{D}/\mathfrak{m}_x\mathcal{D}$ is non-zero. On the other hand, the dimension of the $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}/\mathfrak{m}_x$-vector space $D^+_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_x)$ is at most two and it is equal to two only when V_x is crystalline. If the weight k_x of the overconvergent form f_x is not an integer, the Hodge–Tate weight of the determinant representation $\wedge V_x$ is not an integer. Hence $\wedge V_x$ is not crystalline. Consequently, ρ_x is not crystalline if k_x is not an integer. Using (3.10) for $k_x \notin \mathbb{Z}$, we conclude that the rank of the free $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$-module $\mathcal{D}$ is at most one, which completes the proof of the first assertion.

For $k_x \notin \mathbb{Z}$, $D^+_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_x)^{\varphi=a_p(x)} \subseteq D^+_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_x)$ is of dimension one, which implies that (3.10) is an isomorphism. Now, suppose that the weight k_x of the overconvergent form f_x is an integer. If ρ_x is not crystalline, (3.10) must be an isomorphism by the same reason as above. Even if k_x is an integer and ρ_x is crystalline, $D^+_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_x)^{\varphi=a_p(x)}$ is of dimension one unless the characteristic polynomial for φ has a double root equal to $a_p(x)$. Thus (3.10) is an isomorphism in all cases when $a_p(x)$ is not a double root of the characteristic polynomial of φ acting on $D^+_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_x)$. This completes the proof of the second assertion. □

We have the following corollary of Theorem 3.5:

Corollary 3.6. — *Under the same assumptions as Theorem 3.5, we have a free $\mathbf{A}^0_{/\mathcal{K}}$-module $\mathbf{D}^0$ of rank one which satisfies the following properties.*

(i) *We have a natural $\mathbf{A}^0_{/\mathcal{K}}$-linear injection $\mathbf{D}^0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}^0$ and we recover $\mathcal{D}^0$ from $\mathbf{D}^0$ in the sense that $\mathbf{D}^0 \otimes_{\mathbf{A}^0_{/\mathcal{K}}} \mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}^0$.*

(ii) *At each $x \in Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$, the natural map $\mathbf{D}^0/(\mathfrak{m}_x \cap \mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}})\mathbf{D}^0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^0/(\mathfrak{m}_x \cap \mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}})\mathcal{D}^0$ is an isomorphism and the image of the specialization map*

$$x : \mathcal{D}^0 \longrightarrow D_x = D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_x)^{\varphi=a_p(x)}.$$

gives a lattice of D_x.

Proof. — We apply the same arguments as those employed in §2.3 to construct a formal $\mathbf{A}^0_{/\mathcal{K}}$-structure of a given Coleman family over $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$. For each radius r in (2.3), we have a free crystal over $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]/\mathcal{K}}$ obtained in Theorem 3.5. Then, we obtain a formal $\mathbf{A}^0_{/\mathcal{K}}$-structure of a given family of crystals over $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$ by taking the limit with respect to r. This completes the proof. □

We remark that by [CE98, Theorem 2.1] it is known that $a_p(x)$ is not a double root when $k_x = 2$ and that by [CE98, Corollary 3.2] it is not a double root for general k_x provided φ_D acts semisimply on $D^+_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_x)$. Milne has proven that semisimplicity of this action follows from Tate conjecture on the dimension of Chow groups for smooth, projective varieties over finite fields, as quoted in [CE98, Introduction].

4. Functions of bounded logarithmic order

4.1. Valuations on functions with logarithmic growth. — In this section, we prepare basic materials on certain modules of functions defined on the disk $B(0,1)_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ over $\mathbb{C}_p$.

We normalize the valuation ord on $\mathbb{C}_p$ by $\mathrm{ord}(p) = 1$. We write $\lfloor x \rfloor$ for the largest integer equal to or smaller than x, which is sometimes called the floor function. We denote by ln the natural logarithm in base e. Our main reference for this section is [Col10].

Definition 4.1. — For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we set $\ell(i)$ to be the smallest integer j verifying $p^j > i$. Equivalently, we can define:

$$\ell(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \ell(i) = \left\lfloor \frac{\ln(i)}{\ln(p)} \right\rfloor + 1 \text{ if } i \geq 1.$$

We now introduce an important class of functions in $\mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!]$ as follows:

Definition 4.2. — For $h \geq 0$, we define

$$\mathcal{H}_h = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} a_i X^i \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!] \;\middle|\; \inf\left\{ \mathrm{ord}(a_i) + h\ell(i) \;\middle|\; i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\} > -\infty \right\}$$

and call the elements of $\mathcal{H}_h$ the power series of logarithmic order h.

Since the denominators of the coefficients of elements in $\mathcal{H}_h$ cannot grow faster than $h\ell(i)$, which has logarithmic growth, we see that for every $h \in \mathbb{Z}$, series in $\mathcal{H}_h$ converge on the open unit disk $B(0,1)_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ inside $\mathbb{C}_p$.

Definition 4.3. — Let V be a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space. A function $v : V \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is called a valuation if

(i) $v(x) = +\infty$ if and only if $x = 0$;
(ii) $v(x + y) \geq \min\big(v(x), v(y)\big)$ for every $x, y \in V$;
(iii) $v(\lambda \cdot x) = \mathrm{ord}(\lambda) + v(x)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ and every $x \in V$.

We say that two valuations v, v' are equivalent if there exist constants C, C' such that $C + v' \leq v \leq C' + v'$. Finally, we call a function v as above satisfying only (ii) and (iii), and such that $v(0) = \infty$, a semi-valuation.

Definition 4.4. — Let $F = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} a_i X^i \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!]$ and fix $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. We define the functions v_h and v'_h on $\mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!]$ with values in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ as follows:

$$v_h(F) = \inf\left\{\mathrm{ord}(a_i) + h\ell(i) \;\middle|\; i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\}$$

and

$$v'_h(F) = \inf\left\{ \inf\{\mathrm{ord}(F(z)) + h\nu \mid \mathrm{ord}(z) \geq p^{-\nu}\} \;\middle|\; \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\right\}.$$

By definition, v_h is a valuation on $\mathcal{H}_h$ and we show in Proposition 4.9 below that the same is true for v'_h. The internal inf in the definition of v'_h is nothing but the inf-valuation for analytic functions of order ν, namely those converging on every disk $B(0, p^{-p^{-\nu}})_{\mathbb{C}_p}$. We remark that this definition of v'_h differs slightly from Colmez' in the normalization.

Using the function v_h, we can define an "integral structure" as follows:

Definition 4.5. — Given an integer $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we put

$$\mathcal{H}_h^+ = \left\{F \in \mathcal{H}_h \middle| v_h(F) \geq 0\right\}.$$

By definition, $\mathcal{H}_0$ is the ring of power series with bounded denominators and $\mathcal{H}_0^+$ is the ring of power series all of whose coefficients are integral. Moreover, the function v_0 coincides with the p-adic valuation. In other words,

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathbb{Z}_p[\![X]\!] \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \supset \mathbb{Z}_p[\![X]\!] = \mathcal{H}_0^+ .$$

Remark 4.6. — The above observation shows that $\mathcal{H}_h^+$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_h$) is an $\mathcal{H}_0^+$-module (resp. $\mathcal{H}_0$-module), but it is not a ring unless $h = 0$. See Lemma 4.11 for instances to see that $\mathcal{H}_h^+$ is not a ring for $h > 0$. In particular, the equality $v_h(F \cdot G) = v_h(F) + v_h(G)$ does not hold in general, but we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 4.7. — *Let $h, h' \geq 0$ be two integers. Given two power series $F = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} a_i X^i \in \mathcal{H}_h$ and $G = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} b_j X^j \in \mathcal{H}_{h'}$, we have $F \cdot G \in \mathcal{H}_{h+h'}$. Moreover, if $F \in \mathcal{H}_h^+$ and $G \in \mathcal{H}_{h'}^+$, then $F \cdot G \in \mathcal{H}_{h+h'}^+$.*

Proof. — Writing $F \cdot G = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} c_k X^k$, we have $v_{h+h'}(F \cdot G) = \inf\{\mathrm{ord}(c_k) + (h + h')\ell(k) \mid k \geq 0\}$. For every $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ we have

$$c_k = \sum_{i+j=k} a_i b_j \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \mathrm{ord}(c_k) \geq \min\{\mathrm{ord}(a_i) + \mathrm{ord}(b_j) \mid i + j = k\}$$

and $\mathrm{ord}(c_k) + (h+h')\ell(k) = \mathrm{ord}(c_k) + h\ell(k) + h'\ell(k)$, we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ord}(c_k) + (h+h')\ell(k) &\geq \min\{\mathrm{ord}(a_i) + h\ell(k) + \mathrm{ord}(b_j) + h'\ell(k) \mid i+j=k\} \\
&\geq \min\left\{\mathrm{ord}(a_i) + h\ell(i) + \mathrm{ord}(b_j) + h'\ell(j) \mid i+j=k\right\} \\
&\geq \min\left\{\mathrm{ord}(a_i) + h\ell(i) + \mathrm{ord}(b_j) + h'\ell(j) \mid i,j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\} \\
&= \min\left\{\mathrm{ord}(a_i) + h\ell(i) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\} + \min\left\{\mathrm{ord}(b_j) + h'\ell(j) \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\right\} \\
&= v_h(F) + v_{h'}(G).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have proven that

$$v_{h+h'}(F \cdot G) \geq v_h(F) + v_{h'}(G).$$

The lemma follows. □

Remark 4.8. — The module $\mathcal{H}_h^+$ is not finitely generated as an $\mathcal{H}_0^+$-module when $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let us consider the following module:

$$\mathcal{H}_h^{\geq d} = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n X^n \in \mathcal{H}_h^+ \;\middle|\; \mathrm{ord}(a_i) \geq -h\ell(d) \text{ for all } i \geq d \right\}.$$

Each $\mathcal{H}_h^{\geq d}$ is a sub-$\mathcal{H}_0^+$-module of $\mathcal{H}_h^+$ and they form a strictly increasing sequence. To see this, observe that for every d the element $p^{-\ell(d)h}X^d$ lies in $\mathcal{H}_h^{\geq d}$ but it does not belong to $\mathcal{H}_h^{\geq d_0}$ if $\ell(d_0) < \ell(d)$. It follows that $\mathcal{H}_h^+$ is not a noetherian $\mathcal{H}_0^+$-module, and hence not finitely generated over the noetherian ring $\mathcal{H}_0^+$.

Another interesting, and at first sight strange, feature of $\mathcal{H}_h^+$ is that, when $h > 0$, it is not *factorial* in the sense of [Lu77], by which we mean that there exists elements $F \in p\mathcal{H}_h^+ \cap X\mathcal{H}_h^+$ such that $F \notin pX\mathcal{H}_h^+$. For example, when $h = 1$, then we have $v_1(X) = 1$ and hence $\dfrac{X}{p} \in \mathcal{H}_1^+$. It follows that $X \in p\mathcal{H}_1^+$ and $X \in X\mathcal{H}_1^+$, so $X \in p\mathcal{H}_1^+ \cap X\mathcal{H}_1^+$. Nevertheless, $X \notin pX\mathcal{H}_1^+$ since, by unique factorization inside $\mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!]$ this would force $p^{-1} \in \mathcal{H}_1^+$, which is false. In the same vain, we prove that $\mathcal{H}_1^+/X\mathcal{H}_1^+$ is *not* torsion-free as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module.

Proposition 4.9. — *Let us define non-negative constants c_1 and c_2 depending on h as follows:*

$$c_1 = \min\left\{0, -2h + \frac{h}{\ln(p)}\left(1 + \ln\left(\tfrac{\ln(p)}{h}\right)\right)\right\} \tag{4.1}$$

$$c_2 = \max\{0, p-h\}. \tag{4.2}$$

Then, the function v_h' is a valuation on $\mathcal{H}_h$ and we have the relation:

$$c_1 + v_h(f) \leq v_h'(f) \leq c_2 + v_h(f)$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{H}_h$. In particular, the valuation v_h' is equivalent to v_h on $\mathcal{H}_h$.

Proof. — For the proof, we mimic [Col10, Lemme II.1.1] combined with Proposition I.4.3, *ibid.*. Consider the function $\beta_i(x) = ip^{-x} + hx$ on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Its

derivative vanishes at $x_0 = \dfrac{1}{\ln(p)} \ln\big(\dfrac{i\ln(p)}{h}\big)$ and β_i has the minimal value

$$\beta_i(x_0) = \frac{h\ln(i)}{\ln(p)} + \frac{h}{\ln(p)}\Big(1 + \ln\big(\tfrac{\ln(p)}{h}\big)\Big)$$

at $x = x_0$. By Definition 4.1, we have the following lower bound for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$:

$$h\ell(i) + c_1 \leq \beta_i(x_0). \tag{4.3}$$

On the other hand, since x_0 is the minimal point of the function $\beta_i(x)$, we see that

$$\beta_i(x_0) \leq \inf\{\beta_i(\nu) \mid \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\} \leq \beta_i(\ell(i)-1) \leq p + h(\ell(i)-1)\,, \tag{4.4}$$

where the last inequality is immediate from the definition of β_i and ℓ. Combining (4.3) with (4.4) we find that for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,

$$c_1 + h\ell(i) \leq \beta_i\big(\ell(i)-1\big) \leq h\ell(i) + p - h\,.$$

Adding $\mathrm{ord}(a_i)$ to the above inequalities and replacing the values β_i by its definition, we have

$$c_1 + \mathrm{ord}(a_i) + h\ell(i) \leq \inf\big\{\mathrm{ord}(a_i) + ip^{-\nu} + h\nu \;\big|\; \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}\big\} \leq c_2 + \mathrm{ord}(a_i) + h\ell(i)\,.$$

Since $c_1 \leq 0$ and $c_2 \geq 0$, the above inequality holds true also for $i = 0$. Taking the infimum over $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and applying [Col10, Proposition I.4.3] shows that v_h' is a valuation and that $c_1 + v_h(f) \leq v_h'(f) \leq c_2 + v_h(f)$, as claimed. □

We now gather some results for later use.

Lemma 4.10. — *For all $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ we have $v_h(aX^d) = \mathrm{ord}(a) + \ell(d)h$.*

Proof. — Immediate from Definition 4.4 applied to $f = aX^d$. □

Let us define

$$\log(1+X) = -\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{(-X)^i}{i}.$$

In Definition 4.2 we called elements in $\mathcal{H}_h$ series of "logarithmic order h". We justify this name in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.11. — *The following statements hold:*

1. *For all $h > 0$, we have $v_h\big(\log(1+X)\big) = h$, hence $\log(1+X) \in \mathcal{H}_h^+$.*
2. *Given an integer $d \geq 1$, we have*

$$v_h'\Big(\log^d(1+X)\Big) > -\infty \quad \text{if and only if} \quad d \leq h.$$

Further, if this is the case, we have $v_h'\big(\log^d(1+X)\big) = h$.

Proof. — We prove the first assertion. For $i \geq 1$ the i-th coefficient of $\log(1+X)$ is $(-1)^{-i+1}i^{-1}$. We have $\mathrm{ord}(a_i) = -\mathrm{ord}(i)$, while $\mathrm{ord}(a_0) = +\infty$. Hence, we restrict to $i \geq 1$ from now on. From the inequality $\mathrm{ord}(i) \leq \ell(i) - 1$ discussed in Definition 4.1, we find $\mathrm{ord}(a_i) + h\ell(i) = -\mathrm{ord}(i) + h\ell(i) \geq (h-1)\ell(i) + 1$. Since $h > 0$, the function $(h-1)x + 1$ on $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ is non-decreasing and its minimum over $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ is attained at $x = 1$

where the value is h; as $\mathrm{ord}(a_1)+h\ell(1)=h$, this is the minimum of $i\mapsto \mathrm{ord}(a_i)+h\ell(i)$, as required.

Let us prove the second assertion. Recall that, if z satisfies $\mathrm{ord}(z)=p^{-\nu}$ for some $\nu\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, we have $\mathrm{ord}\big(\log(1+z)\big)=1-\nu$ (see [DGS94, Proposition II.1.1]). Applying the Maximum Principle we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\inf\left\{\mathrm{ord}\left(\log(1+z)\right)\;\middle|\;\mathrm{ord}(z)\geq p^{-\nu}\right\}&=\inf\left\{\mathrm{ord}\left(\log(1+z)\right)\;\middle|\;\mathrm{ord}(z)=p^{-\nu}\right\}\\&=1-\nu\end{aligned}$$

for all $\nu\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Since the map ord is multiplicative, we deduce

$$\inf\left\{\mathrm{ord}\left(\log^d(1+z)\right)\;\middle|\;\mathrm{ord}(z)\geq p^{-\nu}\right\}=d-d\nu. \tag{4.5}$$

By Definition 4.4, we have:

$$v'_h\big(\log^d(1+X)\big)=\inf\left\{d+(h-d)\nu\;\middle|\;\nu\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}\right\}.$$

When $d>h$, the function $x\mapsto(h-d)x+d$ for $x\in\mathbb{R}_{\geq 1}$ is unbounded from below as $x\to+\infty$. When $d\leq h$, the function has its minimum at $x=1$, where it equals h, as required. □

Corollary 4.12. — *Let $h\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The logarithm $\log^d(1+X)$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}_h$ if and only if $d\leq h$. Further, $\log^d(1+X)$ belongs to $p^{h-c_2}\mathcal{H}_h^+=p^{\min\{h,2h-p\}}\mathcal{H}_h^+$ when $d\leq h$.*

The difference between Lemma 4.11 and Corollary 4.12 is that the integral structure $\mathcal{H}_h^+$ inside $\mathcal{H}_h$ is defined in terms of the valuation v_h instead of v'_h which appears in Lemma 4.11.

Proof. — The first statement follows from the second assertion of Lemma 4.11 combined with Proposition 4.9. Let us prove the second assertion. Recall that we have $v_h\geq v'_h-c_2$ by Proposition 4.9 and we have $v'_h\big(\log^d(1+X)\big)=h$ by the second assertion of Lemma 4.11. Hence, when $d\leq h$, we have

$$v_h\big(\log^d(1+X)\big)\geq h-c_2.$$

Since $c_2=\max\{0,p-h\}$, it follows that $h-c_2=\min\{h,2h-p\}$. □

4.2. Topologies on functions with logarithmic growth. — So far we have treated $\mathcal{H}_h$ and $\mathcal{H}_h^+$ simply algebraically and we want to endow them with some topological structure. In fact, there are two choices: one can either use one of the two equivalent valuations v_h or v'_h, or else let $\mathcal{H}_h$ inherit the compact-open topology from $\mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!]$; we are going to discuss both options. Our main source about topological $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector spaces is [Sch02] and what follows below offers a refinement of [PR94, Section 2].

Definition 4.13. — 1. For every $\nu\in\mathbb{Z}$, we define $B_{\mathrm{ord}}(\nu)$ to be:

$$B_{\mathrm{ord}}(\nu):=B(0,p^{-p^{-\nu}})_{\mathbb{C}_p}.$$

We recall that, as defined in Section 2.1, $B(0,p^{-p^{-\nu}})_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ is the open ball in $\mathbb{C}_p$:

$$B(0,p^{-p^{-\nu}})_{\mathbb{C}_p}=\left\{x\in\mathbb{C}_p\;\middle|\;|x|\leq p^{-p^{-\nu}}\right\}=\left\{x\in\mathbb{C}_p\;\middle|\;\mathrm{ord}(x)\geq p^{-\nu}\right\}.$$

2. We define the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-subspace $\mathrm{Conv}\big(B(0,1)_{\mathbb{C}_p}\big)$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!]$ to be:

$$\mathrm{Conv}\big(B(0,1)_{\mathbb{C}_p}\big) := \Big\{ F(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!] \,\Big|\, F(X) \text{ is convergent on } B(0,1)_{\mathbb{C}_p} \Big\}$$

which we endow with the topology defined by the family of semi-valuations

$$q_\nu(F) := \inf \big\{ \mathrm{ord}\big(F(z)\big) \big\}_{z \in B_{\mathrm{ord}}(\nu)}$$

for $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Note that $\mathrm{ord}(z^a) = a \cdot \mathrm{ord}(z)$ implies that

$$q_\nu(F^a) = a \cdot q_\nu(F) \qquad \text{for every } a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \tag{4.6}$$

With the above topology, $\mathrm{Conv}\big(B(0,1)_{\mathbb{C}_p}\big)$ is a Fréchet space, as proven for instance in [Kra46]. When we do not mention the topology on $\mathcal{H}_h$ explicitly, we consider $\mathcal{H}_h \subseteq \mathrm{Conv}\big(B(0,1)_{\mathbb{C}_p}\big)$ endowed with the subspace topology induced from the family of q_ν's.

Otherwise, we consider the topology on $\mathcal{H}_h$ induced by either v_h or v_h': they induce different metric structures but the same topology, thanks to Proposition 4.9. For our purposes, only the metric structure coming from v_h will play a role. When we want to consider $\mathcal{H}_h$ and $\mathcal{H}_h^+$ endowed with the metric topology induced by v_h we write $(\mathcal{H}_h, v_h)$ and $(\mathcal{H}_h^+, v_h)$, respectively.

Theorem 4.14. — *The space $(\mathcal{H}_h, v_h)$ is a Banach space. In other words, $\mathcal{H}_h$ is complete with respect to the topology defined by the valuation v_h. In particular, $(\mathcal{H}_h^+, v_h)$ is complete.*

Proof. — Let us take any Cauchy sequence:

$$\left\{ F^{(m)} = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} a_i^{(m)} X^i \right\}_{m \geq 1}$$

for the topology defined by v_h and fix $N > 0$. By Definition 4.4, there exists a constant $M(N)$ such that the inequality

$$\inf \Big\{ \mathrm{ord}(a_i^{(m)} - a_i^{(m')}) + h\ell(i) \;\Big|\; i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \Big\} > N \tag{4.7}$$

holds for every $m, m' \geq M(N)$. This implies that, for every fixed $i \geq 0$, the inequality

$$\mathrm{ord}\left(a_i^{(m)} - a_i^{(m')} + h\ell(i)\right) > N. \tag{4.8}$$

holds for every $m, m' \geq M(N)$. Thus, for every i, the sequence $\{a_i^{(m)}\}_{m \geq 1}$ is Cauchy in the p-adic topology of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Writing $a_i = \lim\limits_{m \to +\infty} a_i^{(m)}$, we set

$$F = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} a_i X^i \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!]$$

and we claim $F \in \mathcal{H}_h$. Since we have $\mathrm{ord}(a_i) \geq \min\{\mathrm{ord}\left(a_i - a_i^{(m)}\right), \mathrm{ord}(a_i^{(m)})\}$ for every $i \geq 0$, it follows that

$$v_h(F) \geq \min\left\{\inf\left\{\mathrm{ord}(a_i - a_i^{(m)}) + h\ell(i)\right\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}, v_h(F^{(m)})\right\},$$

which obviously implies $v_h(F) > -\infty$ since $\{F^{(m)}\}_{m\geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence. This concludes the proof of the completeness of $\mathcal{H}_h$ by Definition 4.2. The completeness of $(\mathcal{H}_h^+, v_h)$ follows since $(\mathcal{H}_h^+, v_h)$ is closed in $\mathcal{H}_h$. □

Remark 4.15. — If $h \geq 1$, the sequence $F^{(m)} = X^m$ converges in $(\mathcal{H}_h, v_h)$: in particular, the topology induced by v_h is not the p-adic topology. Indeed, checking convergency boils down to showing that a sequence is Cauchy. By Lemma 4.10, we compute that $v_h(F^{(m)} - F^{(m')}) = h \cdot \min\{\ell(m), \ell(m')\}$, which shows that the sequence $F^{(m)} = X^m$ is Cauchy, and hence convergent, although it is not convergent in the p-adic topology.

Moreover, suppose $h = 0$, so $\mathcal{H}_h^+ = \mathbb{Z}_p[\![X]\!]$. Then the v_h-adic topology on $\mathcal{H}_h^+ = \mathbb{Z}_p[\![X]\!]$ is the p-adic topology and it does not coincide with the (p, X)-adic topology with which $\mathbb{Z}_p[\![X]\!]$ is normally endowed in Iwasawa theory. On the contrary, the Fréchet topology of $\mathcal{H}_0^+$ is precisely the (p, X)-adic one, but we will not use this in the sequel.

Proposition 4.16. — *For every $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the Banach topology induced by v_h on $\mathcal{H}_h$ is finer than the compact-open topology induced by the family $\{q_\nu\}$. In other words, the identity map*

$$\mathrm{id}\colon (\mathcal{H}_h, v_h) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_h$$

is continuous.

Proof. — For every $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, we consider the lattice $L_\nu = \{F \in \mathcal{H}_h \mid q_\nu(F) \geq 0\}$. A basis of the open neighbourhoods of 0 in $\mathcal{H}_h$ is given by the collection of $p^a L_\nu$ for $a, \nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, as in [Sch02, Proposition 4.3]. In order to prove continuity of the linear map id, it is enough, by linearity, to show that $\mathrm{id}^{-1}(L_\nu)$ is open in $(\mathcal{H}_h, v_h)$ for every $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since a basis of the topology of $(\mathcal{H}_h, v_h)$ is given by the $p^b\mathcal{H}_h^+$ for $b \in \mathbb{Z}$, this openness is equivalent to the existence of $\{b(\nu) \in \mathbb{Z}\}_{\nu\in\mathbb{Z}}$ such that

$$p^{b(\nu)}\mathcal{H}_h^+ \subseteq L_\nu \tag{4.9}$$

holds for each $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$. By invoking Proposition 4.9, we know that

$$\mathcal{H}_h^+ \subseteq \left\{F \in \mathcal{H}_h \;\middle|\; \inf\left\{q_\nu(F) + h\nu \mid \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\right\} \geq c_1\right\}.$$

It follows that each $F \in \mathcal{H}_h^+$ satisfies $q_\nu(F) \geq c_1 - h\nu$ for all ν. Writing $b(\nu) = 1 - \lfloor c_1 - h\nu \rfloor$, we have $p^{b(\nu)}F \in L_\nu$ for every $F \in \mathcal{H}_h^+$, which completes the proof of the proposition. □

Remark 4.17. — A consequence of the above proposition is that $\mathcal{H}_h$ *is not complete* with respect to the family of valuations $\{q_\nu\}_{\nu>0}$. Indeed, suppose that $\mathcal{H}_h$ were complete. Since it is a subspace of the metrizable space $\mathrm{Conv}\big(B(0,1)\big)$ (see [Sch02, Proposition 8.1]), it is also metrizable (combine [Sch02, §5.A and Proposition 8.1]).

Therefore $\mathcal{H}_h$ would be a Fréchet space. Applying the Open Mapping Theorem [**Sch02**, Corollary 8.7] to the identity map id, we would have a homeomorphism between $(\mathcal{H}_h, v_h)$ and $\mathcal{H}_h$. But this is absurd, since $\mathcal{H}_h$ is a nuclear topological space (see [**deG82**, Corollary 3.6]) and a homeomorphism as above would imply that $(\mathcal{H}_h, v_h)$ is a nuclear Banach space; it would therefore be finite-dimensional by [**Sch02**, §19], which is not the case.

In more explicit terms, if the identity were a homeomorphism between $\mathcal{H}_h$ and $(\mathcal{H}_h, v_h)$, the lattice $\mathcal{H}_h^+$ would be open in $\mathcal{H}_h$ and this would mean that there should exists $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$L_\mu \subseteq \mathcal{H}_h^+$$

where L_μ is the lattice introduced in the proof of Proposition 4.16. The above inclusion cannot hold, since elements F in $\mathcal{H}_h^+$ verify the bound $q_\nu(F) + h\nu \geq c_1$ for every $\nu > 0$, whereas elements F in L_μ verify $q_\mu(F) > 0$ without any restriction concerning q_ν for $\nu \neq \mu$.

In order to state the results below, we fix an integer $d \geq 0$. We can consider the subspace $X^d\mathcal{H}_h$ of all power series in $X^d\mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!] \cap \mathcal{H}_h$ and we can endow it with the subspace topology, which coincides with the topology induced by the family of seminorms $q_\nu|_{X^d\mathcal{H}_h}$ and which turns it into a locally convex space (see [**Sch02**, Section §5 A]). Similarly, we can consider the restriction $v_h|_{X^d\mathcal{H}_h}$, which is again a valuation and we denote the corresponding normed space simply by $(X^d\mathcal{H}_h, v_h)$.

Proposition 4.18. — *For every $d \geq 0$ the space $(X^d\mathcal{H}_h, v_h)$ is complete, and so is $(X^d\mathcal{H}_h^+, v_h)$.*

Proof. — The proposition is equivalent to the statement that $X^d\mathcal{H}_h$ is closed, with the subspace topology, inside $(\mathcal{H}_h, v_h)$. Hence we will show the latter statement.

For each $0 \leq j \leq d$, we define the map

$$\xi_j \colon (\mathcal{H}_h, v_h) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$$

which extracts the j-th coefficient, namely $\xi_j(\sum a_i X^i) = a_j$. We claim that ξ_j is continuous; this would imply that the intersection

$$X^d\mathcal{H}_h = \bigcap_{0 \leq j \leq d} \ker(\xi_j)$$

is closed inside $(\mathcal{H}_h, v_h)$, completing the proof of the proposition. Let us prove the claim in the rest of the proof. Since ξ_j is $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear it is enough to check that $\xi_j^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is open in $(\mathcal{H}_h, v_h)$, and to do this we observe that

$$\xi_j^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} a_i X^i \in \mathcal{H}_h \;\middle|\; \mathrm{ord}(a_j) \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Since every element $F = \sum a_i X^i \in \mathcal{H}_h^+$ satisfies $\mathrm{ord}(a_j) \leq -h\ell(j)$, it follows that

$$p^{h\ell(j)}\mathcal{H}_h^+ \subseteq \xi_j^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p),$$

which means that ξ_j is continuous and we complete the proof. □

4.3. Inverting the operator $1 - \varphi_D \otimes \varphi_H$. — We now introduce an operator on power series which plays a crucial role in our construction. Let us define the endomorphism φ_H of the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebra $\mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!]$ given by

$$\varphi_H(F(X)) = F\big(\omega(X)\big) \tag{4.10}$$

for every $F(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!]$, where $\omega(X)$ is the polynomial defined to be $\omega(X) = (1+X)^p - 1$. In order to study eigenvectors of φ_H we also introduce a differential operator of Coates and Wiles. For every $F \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!]$, we define

$$D_{CW}(F) = (1+X)\frac{dF}{dX}. \tag{4.11}$$

For every $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we let

$$\Delta_j(F) = D_{CW}^j(F)(0). \tag{4.12}$$

Lemma 4.19. — *The following statements hold.*

1. *We have $\varphi_H\big(\log^i(1+X)\big) = p^i \log^i(1+X)$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.*
2. *Given $F \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!]$, we have $\Delta_i(\varphi_H(F)) = p^i \Delta_i(F)$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.*
3. *Given $F \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!]$, the number $(j!)^{-1}\Delta_j(F)$ is equal to the coefficient of Z^j in $F\big(\exp(Z) - 1\big)$. In particular, if $\Delta_i(F) = 0$ for every i, we have $F = 0$.*
4. *For non negative integers i and j, we have*

$$\Delta_i(\log^j(1+X)) = i!\delta_{i,j}$$

where $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker delta function.

Proof. — We start with the first assertion. For $i = 0$, the equality is trivially true. For $i = 1$, we have

$$\varphi_H\big(\log(1+X)\big) = \log\big((1+X)^p\big) = p\log(1+X)$$

which follows from the usual formal properties of the logarithm. Since φ_H is an algebra homomorphism, the case with $i > 1$ follows from the above.

For the second assertion, we proceed by induction on i to prove that

$$D_{CW}^i\big(F(\omega(X))\big) = p^i (D_{CW}^i F)(\omega(X)) \tag{4.13}$$

where we write $(D_{CW}^i F)(\omega(X))$ for the series $D_{CW}^i(F)(X)$ where we replace X by $\omega_1^{[0]}$. By Leibnitz rule, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{CW}\big(F(\omega(X))\big) &= (1+X)\frac{dF}{dX}(\omega(X)) \cdot \frac{d\omega(X)}{dX} \\ &= p\frac{dF}{dX}(\omega(X)) \cdot (\omega(X) + 1) \\ &= p(D_{CW}F)(\omega(X)), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the case $i = 1$ of (4.13). Assuming (4.13) for $i-1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{CW}^i\big(F(\omega(X))\big) &= D_{CW}^{i-1}\Big(D_{CW}\big(F(\omega(X))\big)\Big) \\ &= D_{CW}^{i-1}\big(p(D_{CW}F)(\omega(X))\big) \\ &= pD_{CW}^{i-1}\big((D_{CW}F)(\omega(X))\big) \\ &\overset{(4.13)}{=} p^i\big(D_{CW}^{i-1}D_{CW}F)(\omega(X)\big) \\ &= p^i(D_{CW}^iF)(\omega(X)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (4.13) follows for any i by induction. Since $\omega_1^{[0]}(0) = 0$, evaluating (4.13) at $X = 0$ implies the result.

For the third assertion, setting $Z = \log(1+X)$, we have $\exp(Z) - 1 = X$ and we write $G(Z) = F(\exp(Z) - 1)$. By Leibnitz' rule we have

$$\frac{dG}{dZ}(Z) = \frac{dF}{dX}(\exp(Z)-1)\cdot\exp(Z) = (1+X)\cdot\frac{dF}{dX}(X) = D_{CW}(X)$$

and so $\dfrac{d^jG}{dZ^j}(Z) = D_{CW}^j(F)(X)$. It follows that $j!\Delta_j(F)$ is the value at $Z = 0$ of $\dfrac{d^jG}{dZ^j}(Z)$ and this is the coefficient at Z^j of $G(Z) = F(\exp(Z)-1)$.

The last assertion follows immediately from the third assertion. □

Proposition 4.20. — *Let $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and let us fix $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Set*

$$a(n,\nu) = \begin{cases} n-\nu+1 & \text{if } n \geq \nu, \\ p^{n-\nu} & \text{if } n \leq \nu. \end{cases}$$

For every $d \geq 0$ and each $F \in X^d\mathcal{H}_h$, we have

(4.14)

$$q_\nu\big(\varphi_H^n(F)\big) \geq \begin{cases} v_h(F) + da(n,\nu) - \ell(d)h & \text{if } d \geq \dfrac{h}{a(n,\nu)\ln(p)}, \\ v_h(F) + \dfrac{h}{\ln(p)}\ln\big(a(n,\nu)\big) - \dfrac{h}{\ln(p)}\ln\left(\frac{h}{\ln(p)}\right) & \text{if } d \leq \dfrac{h}{a(n,\nu)\ln(p)}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. — We start by evaluating $q_\nu\big(\varphi_H^n(X)\big)$. Since we have

$$\varphi_H^n(X) = (1+X)^{p^n} - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{p^n}\binom{p^n}{i}X^i,$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} q_\nu\big(\varphi_H^n(X)\big) &= \inf\left\{\operatorname{ord}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p^n}\binom{p^n}{i}z^i\right) \;\middle|\; z \in B_{\operatorname{ord}}(\nu)\right\} \\ &\geq \min\left\{n - \operatorname{ord}(i) + ip^{-\nu} \mid 1 \leq i \leq p^n\right\} \\ &= n - \max\Big\{\max\left\{\operatorname{ord}(i) - ip^{-\nu} \mid \operatorname{ord}(i) = s, i \leq p^n\right\} \;\Big|\; 0 \leq s \leq n\Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the equality $\operatorname{ord}\binom{p^n}{i} = n - \operatorname{ord}(i)$ is deduced by observing that for every $k < p^n$ we have $\operatorname{ord}(p^n - k) = \operatorname{ord}(k)$ and by taking p-adic valuations in the expression

$$i \cdot \binom{p^n}{i} = p^n \cdot \frac{(p^n-1)(p^n-2)\cdots\big(p^n-(i-1)\big)}{1\cdot 2\cdots(i-1)}.$$

The internal max in the above expressions is attained at $i = p^s$, where it takes the value $s - p^{s-\nu}$, hence we have

$$q_\nu\big(\varphi_H^n(X)\big) \geq n - \max\{s - p^{s-\nu} \mid 0 \leq s \leq n\}.$$

In order to compute the maximum, we consider the function $k(x) = x - p^{x-\nu}$, whose derivative $k'(x) = 1 - \ln(p)p^{x-\nu}$ vanishes at $x_0 = \nu - \dfrac{\ln(\ln(p))}{\ln(p)}$. We have

$$k(x_0) = \nu - \frac{\ln(\ln(p)) + 1}{\ln(p)} < \nu.$$

Now, we have $n \geq x_0$ if and only if $n \geq \nu$. It follows that

$$q_\nu\big(\varphi_H^n(X)\big) \geq \begin{cases} n - \nu + 1 & \text{if } n \geq \nu, \\ p^{n-\nu} & \text{if } n < \nu. \end{cases}$$

We use the fact that q_ν is discretely-valued to derive the first inequality from the estimate $k(x_0) < \nu$. Since φ_H^n is an algebra homomorphism verifying $\varphi_H^n(X^i) = \varphi_H^n(X)^i$, we have more generally (see (4.6))

$$q_\nu\big(\varphi_H^n(X^i)\big) \geq \begin{cases} i(n - \nu + 1) & \text{if } n \geq \nu, \\ ip^{n-\nu} & \text{if } n < \nu, \end{cases} \tag{4.15}$$

for all $i \geq 0$. Using the notations introduced in the statement of the proposition, we rewrite this as $q_\nu\big(\varphi_H^n(X^i)\big) \geq ia(n,\nu)$ for all n, ν, i.

Let us take $F = \displaystyle\sum_{i=d}^{+\infty} a_i X^i \in X^d\mathcal{H}_h$. By the definition of v_h, it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{ord}(a_i) \geq v_h(F) - h\ell(i) && \text{for } i \geq d, \\ &\operatorname{ord}(a_i) = +\infty && \text{for } i < d. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} q_\nu\big(\varphi_H^n(F)\big) &\geq \inf\big\{\operatorname{ord}(a_i) + q_\nu\big(\varphi_H^n(X^i)\big) \;\big|\; i \geq d\big\} \\ &\geq v_h(F) + \inf\big\{ia(n,\nu) - h\ell(i) \;\big|\; i \geq d\big\} \end{aligned}$$

and we need to study

$$\inf\{ia(n,\nu) - h\ell(i) \mid i \geq d\}, \tag{4.16}$$

for which we introduce the function $k_1(x) = xa(n,\nu) - \dfrac{h}{\ln(p)}\big(\ln(x)+1\big)$ which verifies $k_1(i) \leq ia(n,\nu) - h\ell(i)$ for every $i \geq 0$. Its derivative vanishes at $x_0 = \dfrac{h}{a(n,\nu)\ln(p)}$

where we have

$$k_1(x_0) = -\frac{h}{\ln(p)} \ln\left(\frac{h}{a(n,\nu)\ln(p)}\right) = \frac{h}{\ln(p)} \ln\big(a(n,\nu)\big) - \frac{h}{\ln(p)} \ln\left(\frac{h}{\ln(p)}\right).$$

If $d \leq x_0 = \dfrac{h}{a(n,\nu)\ln(p)}$, the infimum appearing in (4.16) is at least $k_1(x_0)$. On the other hand, if $d \geq x_0$, the infimum is reached at $i = d$. This completes the proof of the proposition. □

Given a topological $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space V we denote by $\mathcal{B}(V)$ the space of continuous linear endomorphisms of V. When V is normed, it coincides with the space of linear bounded operators, as in [Sch02, Proposition 3.1].

Recall the definition of the valuation v_h' given in 4.4, equivalent to v_h, which is related to the family of semi-norms q_ν via

$$v_h'(F) = \inf\left\{q_\nu(F) + h\nu \;\middle|\; \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\right\} \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\pm\infty\}.$$

We can thus use the Proposition 4.20 to derive information about the action of φ_H on $(\mathcal{H}_h, v_h)$, as shown in the following corollary.

Corollary 4.21. — *For every $d \geq 0$ the operator φ_H belongs to $\mathcal{B}(X^d\mathcal{H}_h, v_h)$.*

Before we prove the corollary, we define the constant c_3 depending only on h as follows:

$$c_3 = h - \frac{h}{\ln p} \ln\left(\frac{h}{\ln p}\right). \tag{4.17}$$

Proof. — We are going to exploit the relation between the family of q_ν and the valuation v_h', and then use the fact that v_h' is equivalent to v_h.

Along the proof, we fix $n = 1$, while ν will run through integers in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Hence we have $a(n,\nu) = p^{1-\nu}$ with the notation of Poposition 4.20. We set

$$s_d := \ln\left(\frac{p\ln(p)d}{h}\right)\frac{1}{\ln(p)}$$

so that for every $\nu > s_d$ we have $d < \dfrac{h}{a(n,\nu)\ln(p)}$. Consequently, the second estimate in Proposition 4.20 applies and we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} v_h'(\varphi_H(F)) &= \inf\left\{q_\nu(\varphi_H F) + h\nu \mid \nu \geq 1\right\} \\ &= \min\Big\{\inf\left\{q_\nu(\varphi_H F) + h\nu \;\middle|\; \nu \leq s_d\right\}, \inf\left\{q_\nu(\varphi_H F) + h\nu \mid \nu \geq s_d\right\}\Big\} \end{aligned}$$

for every $F \in \mathcal{H}_h$. Both inf in the above minimum can be estimated with Proposition 4.20. Since we have $\ln\big(a(n,\nu)\big) = \ln(p) - \nu\ln(p)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \inf\left\{q_\nu(\varphi_H F) + h\nu \;\middle|\; \nu \leq s_d\right\} &\geq \inf\left\{v_h(F) + dp^{1-\nu} - \ell(d)h + h\nu \;\middle|\; \nu \leq s_d\right\} \\ &\geq v_h(F) - \ell(d)h \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\inf\left\{q_\nu(\varphi_H F)+h\nu \;\middle|\; \nu\geq s_d\right\}\\&\geq \inf\left\{v_h(F)+\left[h-\nu h-\frac{h}{\ln p}\ln\left(\frac{h}{\ln p}\right)\right]+\nu h \;\middle|\; \nu\geq s_d\right\}\\&\geq v_h(F)+c_3.\end{aligned}$$

Putting the two last equations together, we have

$$v'_h(\varphi_H(F))=\inf\left\{q_\nu(\varphi_H F)+h\nu \mid \nu\geq 1\right\}\geq v_h(F)+\min\left\{c_3,-\ell(d)h\right\}.$$

For every $F\in\mathcal{H}_h$, we have $v_h(\varphi_H F)\geq v'_h(\varphi_H F)-c_2$ by Proposition 4.9. Thus we have

$$v_h(\varphi_H F)\geq v_h(F)+\min\left\{c_3,-\ell(d)h\right\}-c_2.$$

Recall that the topology of $X^d\mathcal{H}_h$ is defined by the lattice $X^d\mathcal{H}_h^+$. Since the above relation shows that φ_H expands this lattices by a constant ratio (depending on d and h only), the linear map φ_H is continuous. □

We define the constant c_4 depending only on h and p as follows:

$$c_4=\min\left\{-\ell(h+1)h,\frac{h}{\ln p}(1+\ln\tfrac{p}{h})\right\}. \tag{4.18}$$

Proposition 4.22. — *Let $h\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and let us set*

$$c_\varphi=c_4-c_2. \tag{4.19}$$

Then, the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}p^{-nh}\varphi_H^n(F)$$

converges to an element $\widetilde{F}$ of $p^{c_\varphi}\mathcal{H}_h^+$ for every $F\in X^{h+1}\mathcal{H}_h^+$.

To prove the proposition, we prepare the following lemma:

Lemma 4.23. — *Under the same assumptions of Proposition 4.22, the series*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}p^{-nt}\varphi_H^n(F)$$

converges to an element $\widetilde{F}$ in $\mathrm{Conv}\big(B(0,1)\big)$ for every $F\in X^{h+1}\mathcal{H}_h$.

Proof of Lemma 4.23. — Fix any $\nu\geq 0$. By applying Proposition 4.20 with $d=h+1$, we have

$$q_\nu\big(p^{-nh}\varphi_H^n(F)\big)\geq -nh+v_h(F)+\kappa(n,\nu)+c_4 \tag{4.20}$$

for all $n \geq 0$, where $\kappa(n,\nu)$ is defined as

$$\kappa(n,\nu) = \begin{cases} (h+1)(n-\nu+1) & \text{if} \quad h+1 \geq \dfrac{h}{(n-\nu+1)\ln p} \quad \text{and} \quad n \geq \nu, \\ \dfrac{h}{\ln p}\ln(n-\nu+1) & \text{if} \quad h+1 \leq \dfrac{h}{(n-\nu+1)\ln p} \quad \text{and} \quad n \geq \nu, \\ (h+1)p^{n-\nu} & \text{if} \quad h+1 \geq \dfrac{h}{(n-\nu+1)\ln p} \quad \text{and} \quad n \leq \nu, \\ h(n-\nu) & \text{if} \quad h+1 \leq \dfrac{h}{(n-\nu+1)\ln p} \quad \text{and} \quad n \leq \nu. \end{cases} \tag{4.21}$$

As we are interested in the convergency of the series, we can assume $n \geq \nu$ so that the third and fourth options can be omitted in the definition of $\kappa(n,\nu)$. The assumption $n \geq \nu$ ensures that $h+1 \geq \dfrac{h}{(n-\nu+1)\ln p}$, hence the second option in (4.21) can be also omitted in the definition of $\kappa(n,\nu)$. To sum up, for $n \gg_\nu 0$ (with the implicit constant depending on ν), we have

$$\kappa(n,\nu) = (h+1)(n-\nu+1),$$

and therefore we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} -nh + \kappa(n,\nu) = +\infty. \tag{4.22}$$

Combining (4.20) and (4.22) shows that the series in the statement is Cauchy in the q_ν-topology of $\mathrm{Conv}\big(B(0,1)\big)$. As this space is Fréchet with respect to the family of valuations q_ν, this series converges to an element $\widetilde{F}$ and this completes the proof. □

Proof of Proposition 4.22. — Using Proposition 4.9, it is enough to prove that

$$\inf\left\{ q_\nu(\widetilde{F}) + \nu h \;\middle|\; \nu \geq 0 \right\} \geq c_2 + c_\varphi \tag{4.23}$$

where $\widetilde{F}$ is the series whose existence was proven in Lemma 4.23. In order to show (4.23), we recall the definition of κ given in (4.21). We claim that

$$\kappa(n,\nu) \geq nh - \nu h + c_4 \quad \text{for every } n,\nu. \tag{4.24}$$

First, we suppose that $n \geq \nu$. Then, as discussed right after (4.21), $\kappa(n,\nu) = (h+1)(n-\nu+1)$ and the assumptions $n \geq \nu$ ensures $\kappa(n,\nu) \geq nh - \nu h + c_4$. In case $n \leq \nu$, we can omit the first two options in (4.21) and

$$\kappa(n,\nu) \geq \min\big\{(h+1)p^{n-\nu}, h(n-\nu)\big\} \geq nh - h\nu + c_4.$$

It is now easy to derive (4.23). Indeed, we have

$$q_\nu(\widetilde{F}) + \nu h \geq \min\big\{q_\nu(p^{-nh}\varphi_H^n F) + \nu h\big\}_{n\geq 0}$$

and by combining (4.20), (4.24) and the bound $v_h(F) \geq 0$ coming from the assumption $F \in \mathcal{H}_h^+$, we deduce

$$q_\nu(\widetilde{F}) + \nu h \geq c_4$$

for all ν. The constant c_φ makes the desired inequality (4.23) valid and we thus finish the proof of the proposition. □

Remark 4.24. — The above proof shows that for every $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ the series of operators

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} u^n p^{-nh} \varphi_H^n \tag{4.25}$$

converges in the $\mathfrak{B}$-topology of $\mathcal{B}(X^{h+1}\mathcal{H}_h)$ defined by the family of bounded subsets

$$\mathfrak{B} = \{p^b X^{h+1} \mathcal{H}_h^+\}_{b \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

as defined in [Sch02, §6]. The authors ignore if the series in (4.25) is convergent in the strong topology because our estimate in Proposition 4.20 is not enough to prove strong convergency. Observe that a consequence of Remark 4.17 is that the strong topology and the $\mathfrak{B}$-topology do not coincide. We will not use this remark in the sequel.

Let us now fix an element $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ and let $\mathcal{K}$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ containing λ, with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{K}$. We set

$$\mathcal{H}_{h/\mathcal{K}}^+ = \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{H}_h^+ \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{H}_{h/\mathcal{K}} = \mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_h = \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes \mathcal{H}_{h/\mathcal{K}}^+.$$

Given $F \in \mathcal{H}_{h/\mathcal{K}}$, we discuss solutions $\widetilde{F} \in \mathcal{H}_{h/\mathcal{K}}$ of the equation

$$(E_{F;\lambda}^h) \qquad\qquad (1 - \lambda\varphi_H)\widetilde{F} = F$$

as well as the denominators of the solution $\widetilde{F}$.

Theorem 4.25. — *Let $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be an integer which is equal to or greater than $-\mathrm{ord}_p(\lambda)$. Then we have the following statements for solutions of the equation $(E_{F;\lambda}^h)$ for a given $F \in \mathcal{H}_{h/\mathcal{K}}$.*

1. *The equation $(E_{F;\lambda}^h)$ has a solution $\widetilde{F}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{h/\mathcal{K}}$ if one of the following conditions holds:*
   (a) *We have $\lambda p^i \neq 1$ for every integer i in $[0, h]$.*
   (b) *There exists an integer j in $[0, h]$ such that we have $\lambda p^j = 1$ and $\Delta_j(F) = 0$.*
2. *In the case (a) of the first assertion, the solution $\widetilde{F}$ is unique.*
3. *In the case (b) of the first assertion, the solution $\widetilde{F}$ is unique modulo $\mathcal{K} \cdot \log^j(1+X)$.*
4. *Assume further that F is contained in $\mathcal{H}_{h/\mathcal{K}}^+$. Let us define a constant $c(h) \in \mathbb{Z}$ as follows:*

$$c(h) = h(2 - \ell(h)) - p - \mathrm{ord}_p(h!) + \min\{0, c_\varphi\} \tag{4.26}$$

Then, the unique solution $\widetilde{F}$ of the equation $(E^h_{F;\lambda})$ in the case (a) lies in $p^{c(h)}\mathcal{H}^+_{h/\mathcal{K}}$. In the case (b), there exists a solution $\widetilde{F}$ of the equation $(E^h_{F;\lambda})$ which lies in $p^{c(h)}\mathcal{H}^+_{h/\mathcal{K}}$, and all other solutions that belong to $p^{c(h)}\mathcal{H}^+_{h/\mathcal{K}}$ are of the form

$$\widetilde{F} + a \cdot p^{2h-p}\log^j(1+X)$$

with $a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Proof. — Since $\mathcal{H}_{h/\mathcal{K}} = \mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{H}^+_{h/\mathcal{K}}$, we may assume that $F \in \mathcal{H}^+_{h/\mathcal{K}}$. We define F_0 to be

$$F_0 = F - \sum_{i=0}^{h}(i!)^{-1}\Delta_i(F)\log^i(1+X).$$

We have $F_0 \in X^{h+1}\mathcal{H}_{h/\mathcal{K}}$ by the third and fourth assertions of Lemma 4.19. By Corollary 4.12, $\log^i(1+X), \dots, \log^h(1+X)$ are contained in $p^{2h-p}\mathcal{H}^+_{h/\mathcal{K}}$. Since F is contained in $\mathcal{H}^+_{h/\mathcal{K}}$, the p-adic order of the coefficient $(i!)^{-1}\Delta_i(F)$ of F at X^i is equal to or larger than $-h\ell(h)$. Hence, we have $F_0 \in \dfrac{p^{h(2-\ell(h))-p}}{h!}\mathcal{H}^+_{h/\mathcal{K}}$. We set

$$\widetilde{F_0} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\lambda^n\varphi_H^n(F_0)$$

and we claim that the series is convergent to an element in $p^{c(h)}\mathcal{H}^+_{h/\mathcal{K}}$ for the constant $c(h)$ given in the statement of the theorem. To check the convergence, we note that $\mathrm{ord}(\lambda^n) = n\,\mathrm{ord}(\lambda) = n\big(\mathrm{ord}(\lambda)+h\big) - nh \geq -nh$ since we have $\mathrm{ord}(\lambda)+h \geq 0$ by definition. By Proposition 4.22, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{-nh}\varphi_H^n(F_0) \in p^{c_\varphi + h(2-\ell(h))-p-\mathrm{ord}_p(h!)}\mathcal{H}^+_{h/\mathcal{K}}$$

and we obtain $\widetilde{F_0} \in p^{c(h)}\mathcal{H}^+_{h/\mathcal{K}}$. By the construction of $\widetilde{F_0}$ and by the continuity of φ_H proven in Corollary 4.21, $\widetilde{F_0}$ satisfies

$$(1-\lambda\varphi_H)\widetilde{F_0} = F_0.$$

In order to complete the proof of the existence of a solution to $(E^h_{F;\lambda})$, we need to prove that there exists $\widetilde{F_{\log}} \in p^{c(h)}\mathcal{H}^+_{h/\mathcal{K}}$ such that

$$(1-\lambda\varphi_H)\widetilde{F_{\log}} = \sum_{i=0}^{h}(i!)^{-1}\Delta_i(F)\log^i(1+X), \tag{4.27}$$

which will allow us to set $\widetilde{F} = \widetilde{F_0} + \widetilde{F_{\log}}$. We define

$$\widetilde{F_{\log}} = \sum_{i=0}^{h}\frac{(i!)^{-1}\Delta_i(F)}{1-\lambda p^i}\log^i(1+X) \in p^{h(2-\ell(h))-p}\mathcal{H}^+_{h/\mathcal{K}} \subseteq p^{c(h)}\mathcal{H}^+_{h/\mathcal{K}}.$$

For each integer $0 \leq i \leq h$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-\lambda\varphi_H)\left(\frac{(i!)^{-1}\Delta_i(F)}{1-\lambda p^i}\log^i(1+X)\right)\\
&=\frac{(i!)^{-1}\Delta_i(F)}{1-\lambda p^i}\log^i(1+X)-\frac{(i!)^{-1}\Delta_i(F)}{1-\lambda p^i}\lambda p^i\log^i(1+X)\\
&=(i!)^{-1}\Delta_i(F)\log^i(1+X),
\end{aligned}$$

thanks to Lemma 4.19. Now, (4.27) follows by linearity. This proves the first assertion.

For the second assertion, we remark that the solution to the equation $(E^h_{F;\lambda})$ is unique if and only if $E=0$ is the only solution to the equation $(1-\lambda\varphi_H)(E)=0$ with $E \in \mathcal{H}_{h/\mathcal{K}}$. In fact, if we have two solutions $\widetilde{F}, \widetilde{F}'$ to $(E^h_{F;\lambda})$, $E=\widetilde{F}-\widetilde{F}'$ is a solution of the equation $(1-\lambda\varphi_H)(E)=0$.

Suppose that we have $(1-\lambda\varphi_H)(E)=0$. By the second assertion of Lemma 4.19, we have $\Delta_j(E)=\lambda p^j\Delta_j(E)$. Hence if $\lambda p^j \neq 1$ for every $j \geq 1$, we have $E=0$ by the third assertion of Lemma 4.19, which implies the uniqueness of the solution for the second assertion.

For the third assertion, let $\lambda = p^{-j}$ for some integer $j \in [0,h]$ and let us choose a solution $\widetilde{F}$. By the same argument as the proof of the second assertion, given two solutions $\widetilde{F}$ and $\widetilde{F}'$, the difference $E=\widetilde{F}-\widetilde{F}'$ satisfies $\Delta_i(E)=0$ for every integer i which is not equal to j. Hence we have $E \in \mathcal{K}\log^j(1+X)$ by Lemma 4.19, which implies the third assertion.

The final assertion also follows immediately by combining three assertions proved above. □

Let us consider a one-dimensional $\mathcal{K}$-vector space D endowed with a $\mathcal{K}$-linear endomorphism φ_D which coincides with multiplication by λ. We write $\varphi_D \otimes \varphi_H$ for the tensor product of the endomorphisms φ_D and φ_H acting on $D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_h$. Given $\Xi \in D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_h$, we discuss solutions $\widetilde{\Xi} \in D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_h$ of the equation:

$$(E^h_{\Xi;\lambda}) \qquad\qquad (1-\varphi_D\otimes\varphi_H)\widetilde{\Xi}=\Xi$$

as well as the denominators of the solution $\widetilde{\Xi} \in D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_h$.

Corollary 4.26. — *Let $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be an integer which is equal to or greater than $-\mathrm{ord}_p(\lambda)$. Then we have the following statements for solutions of the equation $(E^h_{\Xi;\lambda})$ for a given $\Xi \in D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_h$.*

1. *The equation $(E^h_{\Xi;\lambda})$ has a solution $\widetilde{\Xi} \in D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_h$ if one of the following conditions holds:*
   (a) *We have $\lambda p^i \neq 1$ for every integer i in $[0,h]$.*
   (b) *There exists an integer j in $[0,h]$ such that we have $\lambda p^j = 1$ and $1 \otimes \Delta_j(\Xi)=0$.*
2. *In the case (a) of the first assertion, the solution $\widetilde{\Xi}$ is unique.*
3. *In the case (b) of the first assertion, the solution $\widetilde{\Xi}$ is unique modulo $\mathcal{K}\cdot e \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \log(1+X)^j$ where e is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$-basis of D^0.*
4. *Assume further that Ξ is contained in $D^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{H}_h^+$. Then we have the followings:*

(a) *In the case (a) of the first assertion, then the unique solution* $\widetilde{\Xi}$ *of the equation* $(E^h_{\Xi;\lambda})$ *lies in* $D^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} p^{c(h)}\mathcal{H}_h^+$.

(b) *In the case (b) of the first assertion, there exists a solution to equation* $(E^h_{\Xi;\lambda})$ *that lies in* $D^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} p^{c(h)}\mathcal{H}_h^+$, *and all other solutions that belong to* $D^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} p^{c(h)}\mathcal{H}_h^+$ *are of the form*

$$\widetilde{\Xi} + ae \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} p^{2h-p}\log^j(1+X)$$

with $a \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Proof. — Fix a $\mathcal{K}$-basis e of D, so that we obtain an isomorphism of $\mathcal{K}$-vector spaces endowed with a linear operator:

$$(D \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_h, \varphi_D \otimes \varphi_H) \cong (\mathcal{H}_{h/\mathcal{K}}, \lambda\varphi_H). \tag{4.28}$$

Through the isomorphism (4.28), Ξ corresponds to an element $F \in \mathcal{H}_{h/\mathcal{K}}$ for which the condition (a) (resp. the condition (b)) corresponds to the condition (a) (resp. the condition (b)) of Theorem 4.25. It follows that we can solve equation $(E^h_{F;\lambda})$; let $\widetilde{F}$ be a solution and put $e \otimes \widetilde{\Xi} = \widetilde{F}$. Then

$$(1 - \varphi_D \otimes \varphi_H)\widetilde{\Xi} = \Xi$$

by inspection, and likewise for the unicity statement. Moreover, if $\Xi \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}} \cdot e \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{H}_h^+$, then $F \in \mathcal{H}^+_{h/\mathcal{K}}$ and we can assume $\widetilde{F} \in p^{c(h)}\mathcal{H}^+_{h/\mathcal{K}}$, whence the last claim of the corollary. □

4.4. Interpolation. — We now present another interpretation of functions of logarithmic order h in terms of their "interpolating polynomials", as concisely described in [**AV75**]. We are grateful to Daniel Barsky for many communications and explanations regarding Amice-Vélu's work: we also thank him for providing us in [**Bar13**] with a detailed proof of [**AV75**, Proposition IV.4], which seems missing in the original work.

Recall that Perrin-Riou [**PR90**, Lemme 1.2] showed that there exists a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear operator $\psi : \mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!]$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \psi \circ \varphi_H = 1, \\ \varphi_H \circ \psi(f)(X) = \frac{1}{p}\sum\limits_{\zeta^p=1} f\big(\zeta(1+X)-1\big) \quad \text{for every } f(X) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!], \end{cases} \tag{4.29}$$

where φ_H is the Frobenius operator $\varphi_H : \mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!], (1+X) \mapsto (1+X)^p$ introduced in (4.10). Note that our ψ is not the same as ψ in [**PR90**, Lemme 1.2] and it differs by multiplication by p^{-1}.

We let G_{cyc} be the Galois group $G_{\mathrm{cyc}} = \mathrm{Gal}\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}_p\big)$ and we denote by $\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ be the Iwasawa algebra $\mathbb{Z}_p[\![G_{\mathrm{cyc}}]\!]$, namely

$$\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}_p[G_{\mathrm{cyc}}/(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})^{p^n(p-1)}].$$

Recall that $\mathbb{Z}_p[\![X]\!]$ can be endowed with a structure of $\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$-module in such a way that that $g \in G_{\mathrm{cyc}}$ acts by $g \cdot X = (1+X)^{\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}(g)} - 1$. Perrin-Riou shows in [**PR90**,

Lemme 1.5] that we have the equality

$$\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \cdot (1+X) = \mathbb{Z}_p[\![X]\!]^{\psi=0} \tag{4.30}$$

between $\mathbb{Z}_p$-submodules of $\mathbb{Z}_p[\![X]\!]$. We thus know that $\mathbb{Z}_p[\![X]\!]^{\psi=0}$ has a structure of a free $\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$-module of rank one. In other words, $\mathbb{Z}_p[\![X]\!]^{\psi=0}$ is regarded as the module of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-valued measures on G_{cyc}.

We consider also the subgroup $\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}} = \mathrm{Gal}\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)\big) \subset G_{\mathrm{cyc}}$ and the Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}/\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}^{p^n}]$. We have a natural inclusion $\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}} \hookrightarrow G_{\mathrm{cyc}}$ such that $G_{\mathrm{cyc}}/\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}$ is canonically isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$. This induces a canonical isomorphism $\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \cong \Lambda(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p[(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times]$, which makes $\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ a finite $\Lambda(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$-algebra.

Recall that we introduced the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-submodule $\mathcal{H}_h$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!]$ and a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-lattice $\mathcal{H}_h^+$ of $\mathcal{H}_h$ in Section 4.1.

Definition 4.27. —

1. We define $\mathcal{H}_0^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ to be $\Lambda(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$. Choosing a topological generator γ_0 of Γ_{cyc}, we have a non-canonical isomorphism of topological $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebras $\Lambda(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_p[\![Y]\!]$ defined by sending $\gamma_0 - 1$ to Y. For any $h \geq 0$, we define $\mathcal{H}_h(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ as follows as in Definition 4.2:
$$\mathcal{H}_h(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) = \Big\{ \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} a_i Y^i \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\![Y]\!] \;\Big|\; \inf\big\{ \mathrm{ord}(a_i) + h\ell(i) \;\big|\; i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \big\} > -\infty \Big\}$$
and likewise for the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module $\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$. For any $h \geq 0$, they are $\Lambda(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$-modules and the subsets $\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ and $\mathcal{H}_h(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p[\![Y]\!]$ are independent of the choice of γ_0 of Γ_{cyc}.
2. We consider Banach structures of $\mathcal{H}_h(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ and $\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ as we did for $\mathcal{H}_h$ and $\mathcal{H}_h^+$. By a slight abuse of notation, we denote by v_h and v_h' the valuations on $\mathcal{H}_h(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ defined as in Definition 4.4.
3. Note that $\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ and $\mathcal{H}_h(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ contain $\Lambda(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$. We regard $\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ and $\mathcal{H}_h(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ as $\Lambda(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$-modules. We define $\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$) to be $\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \otimes_{\Lambda(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})} \Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_h(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \otimes_{\Lambda(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})} \Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$). The isomorphism $\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \cong \Lambda(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p[(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times]$ induces therefore an isomorphism
$$\mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \cong \mathcal{H}_h(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p[(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times]$$
and similarly
$$\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \cong \mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p[(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times].$$
4. For a finite extension $\mathcal{K}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we denote by $\mathcal{H}_{h/\mathcal{K}}(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_{h/\mathcal{K}}^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$) the $\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$-module $\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$).

Let $(\mathcal{H}_h)^{\psi=0}$ be $\mathcal{H}_h \cap \mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!]^{\psi=0}$. Perrin-Riou [**PR94**, Proposition 1.2.7] proves the following identification in $\mathbb{Q}_p[\![X]\!]$

$$\mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \cdot (1+X) = (\mathcal{H}_h)^{\psi=0} \tag{4.31}$$

which extends (4.30).

Let $u = \chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}(\gamma_0)$ be a generator of $1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$. For every $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we set

$$\omega_n^{[j]} = \omega_n^{[j]}(Y) = \left(u^{-j}(1+Y)\right)^{p^n} - 1$$

For $l, l' \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $l \leq l'$, we define

$$\Omega_n^{[l,l']} = \Omega_n^{[l,l']}(Y) = \prod_{j=l}^{l'} \omega_n^{[j]}.$$

Via the non-canonical identification $\mathbb{Z}_p[\![Y]\!] \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ fixed above, we regard $\omega_n^{[j]}(Y)$ and $\Omega_n^{[l,l']}(Y)$ as elements of $\mathcal{H}_0^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$. For later use, we remark that for a fixed n and for different $j \neq j'$ the polynomials $\omega_n^{[j]}(Y)$ and $\omega_n^{[j']}(Y)$ have no common factor in $\mathbb{Z}_p[\![Y]\!]$.

Lemma 4.28. — *For every $n \geq 0$, the v_h-valuation of $\omega_n^{[j]}$ equals*

$$v_h(\omega_n^{[j]}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \quad h = 0, \\ n + \mathrm{ord}(j) & \text{if} \quad h \geq 1 \text{ and } \mathrm{ord}(j) \leq h, \\ n + h & \text{if} \quad h \geq 1 \text{ and } \mathrm{ord}(j) \geq h. \end{cases}$$

In particular, for $l, l' \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $l \leq l'$ and for each $h \geq 1$,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} v_h(\Omega_n^{[l,l']}) = +\infty.$$

Proof. — Recall that $\Omega_n^{[l,l']}$ was defined as product of $(l' - l + 1)$ polynomials in $\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$. Since we have

$$v_h(F \cdot G) \geq \min\{v_h(F), v_h(G)\} \qquad \text{for all } F, G \in \mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}),$$

as proven in Remark 4.6, the second statement follows readily from the first one.

Hence we shall prove the first statement in the rest of the proof. We start by observing that, almost by definition, $\ell(i) \geq \mathrm{ord}(i) + 1$ and

$$\ell(i) = \mathrm{ord}(i) + 1 \iff i = p^s i_0 \text{ for some } s,\ i_0 \text{ with } s \geq 0 \text{ and } 1 \leq i_0 \leq p-1. \tag{4.32}$$

Indeed, writing $i = p^s i_0$ with $p \nmid i_0$ we find

$$\ell(i) = \left\lfloor \frac{\ln(p^s)}{\ln(p)} + \frac{\ln(i_0)}{\ln(p)} \right\rfloor + 1 = s + \left\lfloor \frac{\ln(i_0)}{\ln(p)} \right\rfloor + 1$$

and therefore this equals $\mathrm{ord}(i) + 1 = s + 1$ if and only if $i_0 \leq p - 1$.

Since we have

$$\omega_n^{[j]} = \left(u^{-j}(1+Y)\right)^{p^n} - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{p^n} u^{-jp^n} \binom{p^n}{i} Y^i + (u^{-jp^n} - 1),$$

we recall the following estimation for each $1 \leq i \leq p^n$ in order to compute $v_h(\omega_n^{[j]})$:

$$\mathrm{ord}\left(u^{-jp^n}\binom{p^n}{i}\right) + h\ell(i) = \mathrm{ord}\binom{p^n}{i} + h\ell(i) = n - \mathrm{ord}(i) + h\ell(i).$$

Here, the equality $\operatorname{ord}\binom{p^n}{i} = n - \operatorname{ord}(i)$ is deduced as discussed in the proof of Proposition 4.14.

To compute $v_h(\omega_n^{[j]})$, we first treat the case $h \leq 0$. In this case, the v_h-adic valuation is simply the p-adic one as discussed after Definition 4.5. Hence we have $v_h(\omega_n^{[j]}) = 0$ since the coefficient of Y^{p^n} is a unit.

Assume now $h \geq 1$ and set $\varsigma = \operatorname{ord}(a_0)$. We can write

$$v_h(\omega_n^{[j]}) = \min\{\varsigma, \min\{n - \operatorname{ord}(i) + h\ell(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq p^n\}\}$$

and we begin by analyzing the internal min. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\min\{n - \operatorname{ord}(i) + h\ell(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq p^n\} \\
&= n + \min\{h\ell(i) - \operatorname{ord}(i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq p^n\} \\
&= n + \min\Big\{\min\{h\ell(i) - \operatorname{ord}(i) \mid \operatorname{ord}(i) = s, i \leq p^n\} \,\Big|\, 0 \leq s \leq n\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The set of integers equal to or smaller than p^n and valuation exactly s contains the element p^s for each $0 \leq s \leq n$. Hence, we can apply (4.32) to find that the internal min equals $h\ell(p^s) - \operatorname{ord}(p^s) = s(h-1) + h$, hence (using that $h \geq 1$)

$$v_h(\omega_n^{[j]}) = \min\Big\{\varsigma, n + \min\{s(h-1) + h \mid 0 \leq s \leq n\}\Big\} = \min\{\varsigma, n + h\}.$$

Now, if $j = 0$ we have $\varsigma = +\infty$ and the min above equals $n + h$, while if $j \neq 0$ we write it as $j = j_0 p^{\operatorname{ord} j}$ with $p \nmid j_0$ so the constant term a_0 of $\omega_n^{[j]}$ is

$$a_0 = u^{j_0} u^{p^{n+\operatorname{ord}(j)}} - 1$$

which has valuation $\varsigma = n + \operatorname{ord}(j)$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Remark 4.29. — The polynomials $\omega_n^{[j]}$ and $\Omega_n^{[l,l']}$ appear in [**AV75**, §IV], although they are not called in this way. In the following we will use some result from Amice-Vélu's paper and we stress that our space $\mathcal{H}_h(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ is closely related to the space B_{h+1} in [**AV75**], in the sense that $\mathcal{H}_h(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_p[\![Y]\!]$ are power series whose coefficients (in the variable Y) are $O(\log^h)$ whereas B_{h+1} are power series in the variable $Y-1$ whose coefficients are $o(\log^{h+1})$. The change of variables $Y \mapsto Y - 1$ induces inclusions

$$\ldots \subseteq \mathcal{H}_h(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \subseteq B_{h+1} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{h+1}(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \subseteq B_{h+2} \subseteq \ldots.$$

(see Lemme IV.2 on [**AV75**, page 128])

Since the $\Omega_n^{[l,l']}$ are a family of distinguished polynomials of increasing degree, completeness of $\mathcal{H}_0^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[\![Y]\!]$ in the (p, Y)-adic topology shows that for $l, l' \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $l \leq l'$ there is a ring isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}_0^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \cong \varprojlim_n \mathcal{H}_0^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})/(\Omega_n^{[l,l']}).$$

We would like to generalize this isomorphism from $\mathcal{H}_0^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ to $\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ with arbitrary $h \geq 0$. The main technical problem is that $\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ is not finitely generated as

$\mathcal{H}_0^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$-module (see Remark 4.8). We need the following generalization of a classical result of Palamodov (see [**Pal71**]) which weakens the Mittag-Leffler condition in the setting of complete metric spaces.

Lemma 4.30. — *Let $\{(V_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}, (\pi_{n,m})_{m\leq n}\}$ be a projective system of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-Banach spaces with continuous linear transition maps $\pi_{n,m}\colon V_n \to V_m$ for $m \leq n$. Suppose that we are given a set of complete $\mathbb{Z}_p$-lattices $\{\Lambda_n \subseteq V_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ such that the following conditions hold:*

(i) *We have $\pi_{n,m}(\Lambda_n) \subseteq \Lambda_m$ for any integers m, n satisfying $0 \leq m \leq n$.*
(ii) *For any N and for any open neighbourhood U of the identity in V_N, there exists a constant $M = M(U, N) \geq N$ such that we have $\pi_{n,N}(\Lambda_n) \subseteq U$ for any integer n with $M \leq n$.*

Then, we have $\varprojlim^1(\Lambda_n) = 0$.

Proof. — By [**Wei94**, Corollary 3.5.4], the vanishing of the first derived functor $\varprojlim^1$ of the projective limit is equivalent to the surjectivity of the map

$$\mathrm{id} - \Pi\colon \prod_n \Lambda_n \longrightarrow \prod_n \Lambda_n \tag{4.33}$$

where Π is the map sending a vector $x = (x_n)$ to $\Pi(x) = \big(\pi_{n+1,n}(x_{n+1})\big)$. We start by fixing, for each $n \geq 0$, a lattice U_n of V_n such that $\pi_{n+1,n}(U_{n+1}) \subseteq pU_n$. The existence of such a families of lattices can be proven by induction. Since the topology of V_1 can be defined by a single norm, [**Sch02**, Proposition 4.11] ensures that we can find a bounded open lattice L_1 defining the topology of V_1. We set $U_1 = L_1 \cap \Lambda_1$ and, by induction, $U_n := \pi_{n,n-1}^{-1}(pU_{n-1}) \cap \Lambda_n$, Then, continuity and linearity of $\pi_{n+1,n}$ gives the required property.

Consider the subset $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ formed by indexes $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots\}$ inductively defined by $i_1 = M(U_1, 1)$ and $i_n = M(U_{i_{n-1}}, i_{n-1})$. By [**ML98**, dual of Theorem IX.3/1], the subsystem $(\Lambda_{i_n}, \pi_{i_{n+1},i_n})$ satisfies:

$$\varprojlim_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}{}^1\ (\Lambda_n, \pi_{n,m}) = \varprojlim_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}{}^1\ (\Lambda_{i_n}, \pi_{i_n,i_m})\,.$$

Therefore we may assume, without loss of generality, that property (ii) is verified with $M(U_n, n) = n + 1$ for every $n \geq 0$.

In order to show the surjectivity of $(\mathrm{id} - \Pi)$ in (4.33), pick $x = (x_n) \in \prod \Lambda_n$ and define $y_n = \pi_{n+1,n}(x_{n+1})$: by the condition (ii), we know $y_n \in U_n$ and, by construction, $\pi_{m,n}(y_m) \in p^{m-n}U_n$ for any integers m, n satisfying $0 \leq m \leq n$. By completeness of Λ_n, the series

$$r_n := \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \pi_{m,n}(y_m)$$

is convergent and belongs to U_n, for every $n \geq 0$. It satisfies $\pi_{n+1,n}(r_{n+1}) = r_n - y_n$ thanks to continuity and linearity of $\pi_{n+1,n}$.

For $w = (w_n)$ with $w_n = x_n - r_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_{n+1}(w_{n+1}) =& \pi_{n+1,n}(x_{n+1}) - \pi_{n+1,n}(r_{n+1})\\ =& y_n - r_n + y_n\\ =& -r_n\\ =& w_n - x_n.\end{aligned}$$

This means that $(\mathrm{id} - \Pi)(w) = x$. Thus (4.33) is surjective and we complete the proof of the lemma. □

Proposition 4.31. — *For $l, l' \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $l' - l \geq h$, we have an isomorphism of $\mathcal{H}_0^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$-modules*

$$\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \cong \varprojlim_n \mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})/\Omega_n^{[l,l']}\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}).$$

Also, by taking the base extension $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathbb{Z}_p[(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times]$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \cong \varprojlim_n \mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})/\Omega_n^{[l,l']}\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}).$$

Proof. — For each $n \geq m \geq 0$, the following diagram $\mathcal{H}_0^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$-modules is commutative and its lines are exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_n^{[l,l']}\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})/\Omega_n^{[l,l']}\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) & \longrightarrow & 0\\
 & & \downarrow & & \| & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_m^{[l,l']}\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})/\Omega_m^{[l,l']}\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

The projective limit of these diagrams give an exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}(4.34)\quad 0 \to \bigcap_n \Omega_n^{[l,l']}\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})\\ &\xrightarrow{\varkappa} \varprojlim_n \mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})/\Omega_n^{[l,l']}\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_n{}^1\big(\Omega_n^{[l,l']}\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})\big)\end{aligned}$$

and we want to show that the third arrow $\varkappa$ is bijective.

First, we prove the injectivity. Let G lie in the intersection of all $\big(\Omega_n^{[l,l']}\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})\big)$ so that

$$G\big(u^j\zeta - 1\big) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in \mu_{p^\infty} \text{ and for all } l \leq j \leq l'.$$

Equivalently, setting $\widetilde{G}(Y) = G(Y - 1)$, we have

$$\widetilde{G}(u^j\zeta) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in \mu_{p^\infty} \text{ and for all } l \leq j \leq l'.$$

By a result of Amice and Vélu [**AV75**, Proposition IV.1], a power series of logarithmic order h vanishing at all points $u^j\zeta$ for ζ running through all p-power roots of unity and j running in an interval of size at least h, is identically 0. Since, as discussed in Remark 4.29, $\widetilde{G} \in B_h$, we deduce $\widetilde{G} = 0$ and hence $G = 0$, proving injectivity.

Next, we prove the surjectivity of $\varkappa$. We need to show that the $\varprojlim^1$-term is trivial. Along this proof, we endow $\mathcal{H}_h(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ with the Banach topology induced by

v_h and thus write $(\mathcal{H}_h(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}), v_h), (\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}), v_h)$ according to our convention. The space $(\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}), v_h)$ is a complete lattice thanks to Theorem 4.14. We apply Lemma 4.30 with

$$\begin{aligned} V_n &= (\mathcal{H}_h(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}), v_h) && \text{for every } n,\\ \pi_{n,m} &= \text{inclusion of } \Omega_n^{[l,l']}\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \text{ into } \Omega_m^{[l,l']}\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}) && \text{for } n \geq m,\\ \Lambda_n &= \Omega_n^{[l,l']}(\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}), v_h) && \text{for every } n. \end{aligned}$$

We need to check conditions (i) and (ii) of Lemma 4.30, but condition (i) follows immediately from the definition. Thus we shall check condition (ii) of Lemma 4.30, which translates as follows:

> For every $N \geq 0$ and for every open neighborhood U of 0 inside $\Omega_N^{[l,l']}(\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}), v_h)$, there exists a constant $M = M(U, N)$ such that $\Omega_n^{[l,l']}(\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}), v_h) \subseteq U$ for all $M \leq n$.

For a given neighborhood U of 0, we define $\delta(U)$ as

$$\delta(U) = \min\big\{d \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid \forall\, x \in (\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}), v_h), v_h(x) \geq d \Rightarrow x \in U\big\};$$

the existence of a ball contained inside every open neighbourhood of 0 follows from [**Sch02**, Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 4.11], and so the min in the definition of δ makes sense. Put $s_m := v_h(\Omega_m^{[l,l']})$: then condition (ii) of Lemma 4.30 can be restated as

> For every $N \geq 0$ and for every open neighborhood U of 0 inside $\Omega_N^{[l,l']}(\mathcal{H}_h^+(\Gamma_{\mathrm{cyc}}), v_h)$, there exists $M = M(U, N)$ such that $s_n \geq \delta(U)$ for all $M \leq n$.

That this condition is satisfied follows from the fact that $\lim_{n \to +\infty} s_n = +\infty$ proven in Lemma 4.28, which completes the proof of the surjectivity of $\varkappa$. Finally, since $\mathbb{Z}_p[(\mathbb{Z}/(p))^\times]$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p$-flat, we find

$$\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \cong \varprojlim_n \mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})/\Omega_n^{[l,l']}\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}).$$

□

Corollary 4.32. — *Let h be a non-negative integer and let $l, l' \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $l' - l \geq h$. Let $\{\zeta_{p^m}\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ be a fixed norm compatible system of p-power roots of unity. Let Z be a subset of $\prod_{j=l}^{l'} \prod_{\zeta} (\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p[\zeta])$ where ζ runs through p-power roots of unity such that $z = (x_\zeta^{[j]})_{j,\zeta} \in Z$ are characterized by the following conditions:*

(i) *We have $(x_\zeta^{[j]})^\sigma = x_{\zeta^\sigma}^{[j]}$ for any $\sigma \in G_{\mathrm{cyc}}$.*

(ii) *There exists an integer δ depending only on z such that we have $p^{n(\zeta)h+\delta} x_\zeta^{[j]} \in \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{K} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta]$ for any $j \in [l, l']$ and for any p-power root of unity ζ (which has nothing to do with the system of p-power roots of unity $\{\zeta_{p^m}\}$ which we fixed above). Here $n(\zeta)$ is the smallest integer satisfying $\zeta^{p^{n(\zeta)}} = 1$.*

(iii) *For any $j, j' \in [l, l']$, we have the congruence*

$$p^{n(\zeta)h+\delta} x_\zeta^{[j']} \equiv p^{n(\zeta)h+\delta} x_\zeta^{[j]} \otimes \{\zeta_{p^m}^{\otimes(j'-j)}\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \mod p^{n(\zeta)}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta].$$

Then the natural injective $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear map $\mathcal{H}_{h/\mathcal{K}}(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \longrightarrow \prod_{j=l}^{l'} \prod_{\zeta} \mathcal{K}[\zeta]$ induced by sending $\sigma \in G_{\mathrm{cyc}}$ to $\zeta_{p^m}^{\sigma}$ is an isomorphism onto Z.

5. Construction of families of points

In this section, we will prove our Main Theorem. First, in §5.1, we prepare basic properties of Bloch–Kato exponentials. Then, in §5.2, we will reduce our main theorem to Theorem 5.7 through several reduction steps. Finally, in §5.3, we integrate what we established in §4 and we give a proof of Theorem 5.7 and thus we finalize the proof of the main theorem.

We recall the basic results and the setting of Coleman families and associated Galois representations obtained in §2. Starting with a classical p-stabilized cuspform f of weight k_0 and a finite slope $\alpha < k_0 - 1$, we constructed a Coleman family $\mathscr{V}$ of slope α passing through f over $\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ with certain radius r_0 in Theorem 2.5 (see also Definition 2.7). In Proposition 2.10, we showed that this Coleman family has a formal structure over the ring of a formal power series over $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ for a field of coefficients $\mathcal{K}$. Thanks to the formal structure over $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$, we associate a Galois representation $\boldsymbol{\rho}\colon G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2\left(\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}\right)$ to our fixed Coleman family which interpolates Galois representations for classical forms at the weights $k \in Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$. Shrinking the radius r_0 to $r = r_0|\varpi|$ with a uniformizer ϖ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$, we can base change the Galois representation to an affinoid algebra $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]/\mathcal{K}}$. We thus also obtain $\boldsymbol{\rho}\colon G_{\mathbb{Q},S} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2\left(\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]/\mathcal{K}}\right)$ in Corollary 2.13.

We recall that we denoted $\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ above by $\mathscr{X}$ and $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}_{(k_0,i)}[r]_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ by $\mathscr{A}_{\mathscr{X}}$ in Introduction.

5.1. Preliminaries on Bloch–Kato exponential. — Recall the fundamental exact sequence from [BK90, Proposition 1.17]

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p \xrightarrow{i} B_{\mathrm{cris}} \xrightarrow[x\mapsto\left((1-\varphi)x,\overline{x}\right)]{b} B_{\mathrm{cris}} \oplus B_{\mathrm{dR}}/B_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5.1}$$

on which the absolute Galois group $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ acts. As explained in [BK90, Remark 1.18], given any finite dimensional representation V, the sequence (5.1) $\otimes V$:

$$0 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow (B_{\mathrm{cris}} \otimes V) \xrightarrow{b\otimes\mathrm{id}} \left(B_{\mathrm{cris}} \otimes V\right) \oplus \left(B_{\mathrm{dR}}/B_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \otimes V\right) \longrightarrow 0, \tag{5.2}$$

of complete $\mathbb{Q}_p$-modules admits a continuous section of the surjection $b\otimes\mathrm{id}$. Therefore, it induces a long exact sequence of Galois cohomology.

Definition 5.1. — Let V be a finite dimensional $\mathcal{K}$-vector space endowed with a continuous $\mathcal{K}$-linear action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Write $D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V) = (B_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ and $D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) =$

$(B_{\mathrm{cris}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ for the de Rham filtered module of V. For each n, we call the $\mathcal{K}$-linear homomorphism:

(5.3)
$$\exp_{V,\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})} : D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V) \oplus \left(D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)/\mathrm{Fil}^0 D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), V)$$

obtained as the connecting homomorphism of the short exact sequence (5.2) the Bloch–Kato exponential map for V over $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})$. Sometimes, we also define by abuse of notation:

$$\exp_{V,\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})} : \left(D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)/\mathrm{Fil}^0 D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), V) \tag{5.4}$$

the $\mathcal{K}$-linear homomorphism obtained by restricting the map (5.3) to the direct summand $\left(D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)/\mathrm{Fil}^0 D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})$. For any $\mathcal{K}$-subspace D of $D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)$, we define by abuse of notation:

$$\exp_{V,\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})} : D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), V) \tag{5.5}$$

the $\mathcal{K}$-linear homomorphism obtained by composing the map $D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}) \hookrightarrow D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}) \twoheadrightarrow \left(D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)/\mathrm{Fil}^0 D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)\right) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})$ and (5.4). We often denote $\exp_{V,\mathbb{Q}_p}$ by $\exp_V$ when $n = 0$.

Let us recall the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. — *Let V be a finite dimentional $\mathcal{K}$-vector space with continuous $\mathcal{K}$-linear action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. Then, we have the following commutative diagram for every $n \geq 1$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)/\mathrm{Fil}^0 D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^{n+1}}) & \xrightarrow{\exp_{V,\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^{n+1}})}} & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^{n+1}}), V) \\
\Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}\otimes \mathrm{Tr}_n^{n+1}} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{Cores}_n^{n+1}} \\
\left(D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)/\mathrm{Fil}^0 D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V)\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}) & \xrightarrow{\exp_{V,\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})}} & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), V).
\end{array}$$

We omit the proof of the lemma. But it follows from a general fact that the corestriction map is defined to be a cohomological functor which extends the effacable functor Tr_n^{n+1} on H^0 to higher degree parts of cohomology.

We fix a choice of a basis $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_p(1)$. Let V be a finite dimentional $\mathcal{K}$-vector space with continuous $\mathcal{K}$-linear action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. We take a $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-stable $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$-lattice T of V and we set

$$\widetilde{H^1}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T) := \mathrm{Im}\left[H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), V)\right], \tag{5.6}$$

which is isomorphic to $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T)/H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T)_{\mathrm{tors}}$. We prepare the following lemma to discuss a congruence of Bloch–Kato exponential between different Tate twists.

Lemma 5.3. — *Let m and n be integers satisfying $0 \leq m \leq n$. Then, we have a Tate twist:*

$$\widetilde{H^1}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T)/p^m\widetilde{H^1}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T) \xrightarrow[\otimes\zeta_{p^m}]{\sim} \widetilde{H^1}\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T(1)\big)/p^m\widetilde{H^1}\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T(1)\big), \tag{5.7}$$

where ζ_{p^m} is the primitive p^m-th root of unity occurring as m-th coordinate of $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$.

Proof. — The group μ_{p^m} has trivial action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})}$-modules. Thus, when we have $n \geq m$, we have a Tate twist:

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T/p^mT) \xrightarrow[\otimes\zeta_{p^m}]{\sim} H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T(1)/p^mT(1)\big). \tag{5.8}$$

Note that $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T)/p^mH^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T\big)$ injects into $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T/p^mT)$. Hence, when we have $n \geq m$, (5.8) induces a Tate twist as follows:

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T)/p^mH^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T) \xrightarrow[\otimes\zeta_{p^m}]{\sim} H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T(1)\big)/p^mH^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T(1)\big). \tag{5.9}$$

Let us consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}, T)_{\mathrm{tors}} & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}, T) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{H^1}(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}, T) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \times p^m} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \times p^m} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \times p^m} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}, T)_{\mathrm{tors}} & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}, T) & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{H^1}(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}, T) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where we denoted $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n})$ by $\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}$ for short. Since the multiplication by p^m on $\widetilde{H^1}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T)$ is injective, applying the snake lemma to the above diagram, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}, T)_{\mathrm{tors}}/p^mH^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}, T)_{\mathrm{tors}} \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}, T)/p^mH^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}, T) \\ \longrightarrow \widetilde{H^1}(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}, T)/p^m\widetilde{H^1}(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}, T) \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Let $A := T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$. By [Tat76, Proposition 2.3], $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), A)$ divided by the maximal divisible subgroup $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), A)_{\mathrm{div}}$ is isomorphic to $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), T)_{\mathrm{tors}}$ via the connection map of the Galois cohomology. Since, $\otimes\zeta_{p^m}$ is well defined on the cokernel of the multiplication by p^m on $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), A)/H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), A)_{\mathrm{div}}$ when $n \geq m$, we have a natural Tate twist:

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}, T)_{\mathrm{tors}}/p^mH^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}, T)_{\mathrm{tors}} \xrightarrow[\otimes\zeta_{p^m}]{\sim} H^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}, T(1))_{\mathrm{tors}}/p^mH^1(\mathbb{Q}_{p,n}, T(1))_{\mathrm{tors}}. \tag{5.11}$$

By combining (5.9), (5.10) and (5.11), we complete the proof of the lemma. □

5.2. Basic Reduction steps for the proof of Main Theorem. — First, we restate our Main Theorem stated in Introduction in a more precise statement with the integral structure, as follows:

***Theorem 5.4* (Main Theorem).** — *Let $\mathscr{X}$, $\mathscr{T} \cong (\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}})^{\oplus 2}$ and $\mathscr{Z}$ be as before. Let $\mathcal{D}^0$ be a free $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}}$-module of rank one given in Theorem 3.5, whose specialization $\mathcal{D}^0/(\mathfrak{m}_x \cap \mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}})\mathcal{D}^0$ at each $x \in \mathscr{Z}$ is isomorphic to a lattice of $D_x = D_{\mathrm{cris}}^{\varphi=a_p(f_x)}(V_x)$. Let $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be equal to or greater than the slope of the Coleman family $\mathscr{V}$ and let $c(h)$ be the constant introduced in Theorem 4.25. Assume that the residual representation $\overline{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ associated to forms f_x is irreducible when restricted to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_p)}$.*

Then, we have a unique $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$-linear big exponental map

$$\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathscr{V}} : \mathcal{D}^0\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \longrightarrow \varprojlim_n H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathscr{T}\big)\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} p^{c(h)}\mathcal{H}^+_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$$

such that, for each $x \in \mathscr{Z}$ and for each arithmetic character $\chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi : G_{\mathrm{cyc}} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\times}$ with $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{D}^0\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathscr{V}}} & \varprojlim_n H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathscr{T}\big)\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} p^{c(h)}\mathcal{H}^+_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \\ {\scriptstyle (x,\chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (x,\chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi)} \\ D_x \otimes D_{\mathrm{dR}}(\chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_x \otimes \chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi) \end{array}$$

where the bottom horizontal map is equal to

$$(-1)^j(j-1)!E_p(f_x, j, \phi)\exp_{V_x \otimes \chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi}$$

with $E_p(f_x, j, \phi)$ as in (1.1).

In this section, we gradually reduce our Main Theorem (Theorem 5.4) to more basic situations.

(Reduction Step I)

Let us recall that we defined a formal ${\mathbf{A}^0}_{/\mathcal{K}}$-module structure $\mathbf{D}^0$ in $\mathcal{D}^0$ in Corollary 3.6. We denote the specialization $\mathbf{D}^0/(\mathfrak{m}_x \cap {\mathbf{A}^0}_{/\mathcal{K}})\mathbf{D}^0$ of $\mathbf{D}^0$ at $x \in Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ by D^0_x for short. We denote by d_x the image of a fixed ${\mathbf{A}^0}_{/\mathcal{K}}$-basis d via $x \in Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$. We will also denote $D^0_x \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} D_{\mathrm{cris}}(\mathbb{Z}_p(j))$ by $D^0_x(j)$. Let us reduce Theorem 5.4 to the following theorem.

Theorem 5.5. — *Let ${\mathbf{A}^0}_{/\mathcal{K}}$, $\mathbf{T} \cong ({\mathbf{A}^0}_{/\mathcal{K}})^{\oplus 2}$ and $Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ be as before. Let $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be equal to or greater than the slope of our Coleman family $\mathscr{V}$ and let us denote by $\mathcal{H}^+_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ the integral structure of the $\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$-module of distribution of order h. Assume that the residual representation $\overline{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ associated to forms f_x is irreducible when restricted to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_p)}$.*

Then, we have a unique ${\mathbf{A}^0}_{/\mathcal{K}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$-linear big exponential map

$$\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}} : \mathbf{D}^0\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \longrightarrow \left(\varprojlim_n H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathbf{T}\big)\right)\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} p^{c(h)}\mathcal{H}^+_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$$

such that, for each $x \in Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ *and for each arithmetic character* $\chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi\colon G_{\mathrm{cyc}} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\times}$ *with* $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$*, we have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}} & \left(\varprojlim_n H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathbf{T}\big)\right) \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} p^{c(h)} \mathcal{H}^+_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \\ {\scriptstyle (x, \chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi)}\downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle (x, \chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi)} \\ D_x \otimes D_{\mathrm{dR}}(\chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_x \otimes \chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi) \end{array}$$

where the bottom horizontal map is equal to $(-1)^j(j-1)!E_p(f_x, j, \phi)\exp_{V_x \otimes \chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi}$ *with* $E_p(f_x, j, \phi)$ *as defined in* (1.1).

Proof of Theorem 5.4. — By definition, we recover the $\mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$-module $\mathcal{D}^0$ by taking the base extension $- \otimes_{\mathbf{A}^0/\mathcal{K}} \mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$ of $\mathbf{D}^0$. To obtain Theorem 5.4 from Theorem 5.5 is straight forward by taking the base extension $- \otimes_{\mathbf{A}^0/\mathcal{K}} \mathscr{A}^0_{\mathscr{X}/\mathcal{K}}$. □

(Reduction Step II)

Let us reduce Theorem 5.5 to the following theorem.

Theorem 5.6. — *Let* $\mathbf{A}^0/\mathcal{K}$, $\mathbf{T} \cong (\mathbf{A}^0/\mathcal{K})^{\oplus 2}$. *Let* $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ *be equal to or greater than the slope of our Coleman family* $\mathscr{V}$ *and let us denote by* $\mathcal{H}^+_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ *the integral structure of the* $\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$*-module of distribution of order* h*. Assume that the residual representation* $\overline{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ *associated to forms* f_x *is irreducible when restricted to* $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_p)}$*. We fix integers* $l, l' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ *with* $l' - l \geq h$*.*

Then, we have a unique $\mathbf{A}^0/\mathcal{K}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$*-linear big exponential map*

$$\mathrm{EXP}^{[l,l']}_{\mathbf{T}} : \mathbf{D}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \longrightarrow \left(\varprojlim_n H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathbf{T}\big)\right) \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} p^{c(h)} \mathcal{H}^+_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$$

such that, for each $x \in Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ *and for each arithmetic character* $\chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi\colon G_{\mathrm{cyc}} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^{\times}$ *with* $j \in [l, l']$*, we have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}^0 \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) & \longrightarrow & \left(\varprojlim_n H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathbf{T}\big)\right) \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} p^{c(h)} \mathcal{H}^+_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \\ {\scriptstyle (x, \chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi)}\downarrow & & \downarrow {\scriptstyle (x, \chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi)} \\ D_x \otimes D_{\mathrm{dR}}(\chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_x \otimes \chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi) \end{array}$$

where the bottom horizontal map is equal to $(-1)^j(j-1)!E_p(f_x, j, \phi)\exp_{V_x \otimes \chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi}$ *with* $E_p(f_x, j, \phi)$ *as defined in* (1.1).

Proof of Theorem 5.5. — Theorem 5.5 is deduced from Theorem 5.6 by the following argument. When $[l_1, l'_1]$ and $[l_2, l'_2]$ are intervals with $l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $l'_1 - l_1 \geq h$, $l'_2 - l_2 \geq h$ and $[l_1, l'_1] \cap [l_2, l'_2] \neq \emptyset$, we put $l_3 := \min(l_1, l_2)$ and $l'_3 := \max(l'_1, l'_2)$. Then, the interpolation range $[l_3, l'_3]$ of the second factor of $(x, \chi^j_{\mathrm{cyc}}\phi)$ for $\mathrm{EXP}^{[l_3,l'_3]}_{\mathbf{T}}$ contains

both the interpolation range $[l_1, l_1']$ of $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}^{[l_1,l_1']}$ and the interpolation range $[l_2, l_2']$ of $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}^{[l_2,l_2']}$. Moreover, the interpolation property of $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}^{[l_3,l_3']}$ restricted to the interpolation range of $(x, \chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}^j\phi)$ for $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}^{[l_1,l_1']}$ (*resp.* with $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}^{[l_2,l_2']}$) coincides with $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}^{[l_1,l_1']}$ (*resp.* with $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}^{[l_2,l_2']}$). Since $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}^{[l_3,l_3']}$ is uniquely characterized by its interpolation property, $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}^{[l_3,l_3']}$ must coincide with $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}^{[l_1,l_1']}$ (resp. $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}^{[l_2,l_2']}$).

Up to now, we showed that the set of all $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}^{[l,l']}$ satisfying $l' - l \geq h$ forms a directed set by the inclusion relation of the interpolation range. The desired map $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}$ of Theorem 5.5 is constructed as the inductive limit

$$\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}} = \varinjlim_{[l,l']} \mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}^{[l,l']}$$

with respect to the order of the directed set explained above. By construction, the map $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}$ constructed in this way clearly satisfies the desired interpolation property. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.5. □

Note that the denominator of $p^{r([l,l'])}$ which appears in Theorem 5.6 is not bounded independently of $[l, l']$ since we are excluding the ordinary case $\alpha = 0$ (The residual representation of ordinary Hida deformation is always reducible as $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-module and thus our actual technical assumption of the residual representation as $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mu_p)$-module is excluding the ordinary case).

(Reduction Step III)

From now on, we fix integers $l, l' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with $l' - l \geq h$. Let $\mathfrak{I}$ be the set of height-one ideals in $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{I} = \left\{ \cap_{i=1}^s \mathrm{Ker}\, x_i \mid x_1, \ldots, x_s \in Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0] \text{ all different }, \ k(x_i) - 2 \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, s \right\}.$$

For each ideal $I \subset \mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ in $\mathfrak{I}$, we denote $\mathbf{T}/I\mathbf{T}$ (resp. $\mathbf{D}^0/I\mathbf{D}^0$) by $\mathbf{T}_I$ (resp. $\mathbf{D}_I^0$) for short.

Let us reduce Theorem 5.6 to the following theorem.

Theorem 5.7. — *Let $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ and $\mathbf{T} \cong (\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}})^{\oplus 2}$ be as above. Let $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ equal to or greater than the slope of our Coleman family $\mathscr{V}$ and let us denote by $\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ the integral structure of the $\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$-module of distribution of order h. Assume that the residual representation $\overline{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ associated to forms f_x is irreducible when restricted to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_p)}$. We fix integers $l, l' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ with $l' - l \geq h$.*

Then, for every ideal $I \subset \mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ in $\mathfrak{I}$, we have a unique $(\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}/I) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$-linear big exponential map

$$\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}_I}^{[l,l']} : \mathbf{D}_I^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \longrightarrow \left(\varprojlim_n H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathbf{T}_I\big) \right) \otimes_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} p^{c(h)} \mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$$

such that, for each $x \in Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ satisfying $I \subset \mathrm{Ker}\, x$ and for each arithmetic character $\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}^j\phi : \Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ with $j \in [l, l']$, we have the following commutative

diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{D}_I^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) & \longrightarrow & \left(\varprojlim_n H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathbf{T}_I\big)\right) \otimes_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} p^{c(h)}\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \\ {\scriptstyle (x,\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}^j\phi)}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (x,\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}^j\phi)} \\ D_x \otimes D_{\mathrm{dR}}(\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}^j\phi) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_x \otimes \chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}^j\phi) \end{array}$$

where the bottom horizontal map is equal to $(-1)^j(j-1)!E_p(f_x,j,\phi)\exp_{V_x\otimes\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}^j\phi}$ *with* $E_p(f_x,j,\phi)$ *the same as the one given in Introduction.*

Before proving Theorem 5.6, we prepare the following lemma:

Lemma 5.8. — *Let* M *be a module over a ring* $R := \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}[\![X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_m]\!]$ *for some non-negative integer* m *which is free of finite rank* s *over* R *with continuous action of* $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. *Here* $\mathcal{K}$ *is a finite extension of* $\mathbb{Q}_p$ *whose residue field is denoted by* $\mathbb{F}$. *Assume that* $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, \overline{M}) = H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, \overline{M}^*(1)) = 0$ *for* $\overline{M} := M/\mathfrak{M}_R M \cong \mathbb{F}^{\oplus 2}$ *where* $\mathfrak{M}_R$ *is the maximal ideal of* R. *Then,* $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, M)$ *is free of rank* s *over* R.

Proof. — We prove the lemma by induction with respect to m.

When $m = 0$, we have $R = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$. By [Tat76, Proposition (2.3)] and by the assumption $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, \overline{M}) = 0$, $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, M)$ is a free R-module. Also, by local Tate duality theorem and by the assumption $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, \overline{M}^*(1)) = 0$, we have $H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, \overline{M}) = 0$. Then, since $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, \overline{M}) = H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, \overline{M}) = 0$, local Euler–Poincaré characteristic formula combined with Nakayama lemma implies that the rank of $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, M)$ over R is equal to s. Hence the case $m = 0$ of the lemma holds.

Let us prove the lemma for general m, assuming that the lemma is valid when the number of variables is less than m. Choose a uniformizer ϖ of $\mathcal{K}$ and put $P_i = X_m - (1+\varpi)^i$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, the set of principal ideals $\{(P_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is Zariski-dense in $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ and $R/(P_i)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}[\![X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{m-1}]\!]$ for every i. Let us take a free resolution of $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, M)$ with the minimal possible numbers of generators q as follows:

$$R^r \longrightarrow R^q \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, M) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{5.12}$$

Note that the cokernel of the injection

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, M)/(P_i)H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, M) \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, M/(P_i)M)$$

obtained by taking the Galois cohomology of

$$0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/(P_i)M \longrightarrow 0$$

is a submodule of $H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, M)$. Since we have $H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, \overline{M}) = 0$ as discussed above, Nakayama lemma implies $H^2(\mathbb{Q}_p, M) = 0$. Hence we obtain

$$H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, M)/(P_i)H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, M) \cong H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, M/(P_i)M) \tag{5.13}$$

for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus by the induction hypothesis, (5.12) $\otimes_R R/(P_i)$ yields the isomorphism $(R/(P_i))^s \cong H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, M/(P_i)M)$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\{(P_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is

Zariski-dense in $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$, we must have $q = s$ and $r = 0$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Thanks to the preparation above, we go back to the proof of Theorem 5.6.

Proof of Theorem 5.6. — We now show how to deduce Theorem 5.6 from Theorem 5.7. We start by proving the uniqueness assertion of the map $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}^{[l,l']}$ in Theorem 5.6. In fact, we have to check that an element of the target module

$$\left(\varprojlim_{n} H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathbf{T}\big)\right) \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} p^{c(h)}\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \tag{5.14}$$

of the map $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}^{[l,l']}$ is uniquely characterized only by the image of the specialization at $x \in Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ and at $\chi_{\mathrm{cyc}}^j\phi$ where j moves in $[l,l']$ and ϕ runs through all finite characters of G_{cyc}.

Let us endow $\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ with the structure of a $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-module via the surjection $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \twoheadrightarrow G_{\mathrm{cyc}}$. The module $\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ equipped with this $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-action is denoted by $\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})^\sharp$. Note that the module in (5.14) is isomorphic to

$$H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})^\sharp\big)\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} p^{r([l,l'])}\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \tag{5.15}$$

by Shapiro's lemma on Galois cohomology. We abbreviate the notation by

$$\mathbf{T}^\sharp := \mathbf{T}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})^\sharp.$$

First, we prove the injectivity of the following natural map for every n:

$$\begin{aligned} &H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}^\sharp\big)\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})}\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})\Big/\Omega_n^{[l,l']}H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}^\sharp\big)\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})}\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \\ &\quad\hookrightarrow \prod_{\eta} H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}^\sharp\big)\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})}\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})\Big/\mathrm{Ker}(\eta)H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}^\sharp\big)\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})}\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}), \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

where η moves through arithmetic characters satisfying $(\Omega_n^{[l,l']}) \subset \mathrm{Ker}(\eta)$ as ideals of $\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$.

Recall that ${\mathbf{A}^0}_{/\mathcal{K}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ is a semi-local ring isomorphic to $p-1$ copies of $\mathcal{O}_{/\mathcal{K}}[\![X_1, X_2]\!]$. Since we assume the irreducibility of the residual representation $\overline{\rho}$ as $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_p)}$-module, we have $H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}/\mathbb{M}\mathbf{T}) = H^0(\mathbb{Q}_p, (\mathbf{T}/\mathbb{M}\mathbf{T})^*(1)) = 0$. Here, $\mathbb{M}$ means the maximal ideal of ${\mathbf{A}^0}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ and $(\ \ )^*$ means the ${\mathbf{A}^0}_{/\mathcal{K}}/\mathbb{M}$-linear dual. By applyng Lemma 5.8 with $R = {\mathbf{A}^0}_{/\mathcal{K}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}[\![X_1, X_2]\!]$ we deduce that $H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}^\sharp\big)$ is free of rank two over ${\mathbf{A}^0}_{/\mathcal{K}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$. Since we have an injection

$$\begin{aligned} &{\mathbf{A}^0}_{/\mathcal{K}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})/\Omega_n^{[l,l']}{\mathbf{A}^0}_{/\mathcal{K}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \\ &\qquad\hookrightarrow \prod_{\eta}{\mathbf{A}^0}_{/\mathcal{K}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})/\mathrm{Ker}(\eta){\mathbf{A}^0}_{/\mathcal{K}}\widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \end{aligned}$$

where η moves through the same arithmetic characters as (5.16), the injectivity in (5.16) has been proved.

Let us note that we have $\mathbb{Z}_p[\eta] \subset \eta(\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})) \subset \mathbb{Q}_p[\eta]$. By taking Galois cohomology, the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{T}^\sharp \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} \mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{T}^\sharp \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} \mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \longrightarrow \left(\mathbf{T}^\sharp/\mathrm{Ker}(\eta)\mathbf{T}^\sharp\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\eta]} \eta(\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})) \longrightarrow 0$$

induces a natural isomorphism for each arithmetic character η with $(\Omega_n^{[l,l']}) \subset \mathrm{Ker}(\eta)$:

$$H^1\left(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}^\sharp\right) \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} \mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \Big/ \mathrm{Ker}(\eta) H^1\left(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}^\sharp\right) \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} \mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1\left(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}^\sharp/\mathrm{Ker}(\eta)\mathbf{T}^\sharp\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\eta]} \eta(\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})). \tag{5.17}$$

Here, we use the same argument of local Tate duality as the one given in the proof of Lemma 5.8.

Now, by combining (5.16) and (5.17), we have

$$H^1\left(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}^\sharp\right) \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} \mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \Big/ \Omega_n^{[l,l']} H^1\left(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}^\sharp\right) \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} \mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \hookrightarrow \prod_\eta H^1\left(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T} \otimes \eta\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\eta]} \eta(\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})), \tag{5.18}$$

where η moves through the same arithmetic characters as in (5.16). By Proposition 4.31, we have

$$H^1\left(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}^\sharp\right) \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} \mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \cong \varprojlim_n H^1\left(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}^\sharp\right) \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} \mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \Big/ \Omega_n^{[l,l']} H^1\left(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}^\sharp\right) \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} \mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}).$$

Hence, the inverse limit of (5.18) with respect to n gives:

$$H^1\left(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}^\sharp\right) \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} \mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \hookrightarrow \prod_\eta H^1\left(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T} \otimes \eta\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\eta]} \eta(\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})) \tag{5.19}$$

where η moves through arithmetic characters such that there is a natural number n (depending on η) for which $(\Omega_n^{[l,l']}) \subset \mathrm{Ker}(\eta)$ holds as ideals of $\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$.

When $x_1, \ldots, x_m$ are points on $Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ which are all different, we have the following maps:

$$H^1\left(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T} \otimes \eta\right) \Big/ \cap_{i=1}^m \mathrm{Ker}(x_i) H^1\left(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T} \otimes \eta\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1\left(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T} \otimes \eta / \cap_{i=1}^m \mathrm{Ker}(x_i)\mathbf{T} \otimes \eta\right) \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^m H^1\left(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T} \otimes \eta / \mathrm{Ker}(x_i)\mathbf{T} \otimes \eta\right). \tag{5.20}$$

In fact, the bijectivity of the first map in (5.20) is explained in the same way as in (5.13) and (5.17) thanks to the assumption of the residual irreducibility and likewise for the injectivity of the second map.

Since we have $\lim_{m\to\infty}\bigcap_{i=1}^{m}\mathrm{Ker}(x_i)=0$ in the complete local ring $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ and $H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p,\mathbf{T}\otimes\eta\big)$ is a finitely generated $\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$-module, we have the following injection:

$$H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p,\mathbf{T}\otimes\eta\big)\hookrightarrow\prod_{x\in Z}H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p,(\mathbf{T}/\mathrm{Ker}(x)\mathbf{T})\otimes\eta\big) \tag{5.21}$$

by taking the inverse limit of (5.20) with respect to m. By combining (5.19) and (5.21) and by recalling the comments around (5.14) (5.15), an element in the target module of (5.14) is uniquely recovered by knowing its specialization at (x,η) where x moves in $Z_{(k_0,i)}[r_0]$ and η moves through arithmetic characters such that there is a natural number n (depending on η) for which $(\Omega_n^{[l,l']})\subset\mathrm{Ker}(\eta)$ holds as ideal of $\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$. Thus, we complete the proof of the uniqueness assertion in Theorem 5.6.

As for the existence of the map $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}^{[l,l']}$, it follows immediately from Theorem 5.7. In fact, when $I,I'\in\mathcal{I}$, we have $I\cap I'\in\mathcal{I}$. Thus, we can take the projective limit of the maps of Theorem 5.7 for I varying in $\mathcal{I}$ and the desired map $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}^{[l,l']}$ of Theorem 5.6 is constructed to be

$$\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}}^{[l,l']}=\varprojlim_{I\in\mathcal{I}}\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}_I}^{[l,l']}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.6. □

5.3. Proof of Theorem 5.7. — In the previous section, we reduced our Main Theorem (Theorem 5.4) to Theorem 5.7 through reduction steps I, II and III. We shall prove Theorem 5.7 in this section and we thus complete the proof of Theorem 5.4.

Throughout the section, we fix $h\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ which is equal to or greater than the slope of our Coleman family $\mathscr{V}$, integers $l,l'\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ satisfying $l'-l\geq h$ and a height-one ideal $I\subset\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ in $\mathcal{I}$.

We fix an integer j in $[l,l']$. We start by defining the following homomorphism

$$\mathbf{D}_I^0\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})\longrightarrow\mathbf{D}_I^0\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}D_{\mathrm{dR}}\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(j)\big)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta), \tag{5.22}$$

for any p-power root of unity ζ (which has nothing to do with the system of p-power roots of unity $\{\zeta_{p^m}\}$ which we fixed earlier). For any element

$$d_I\otimes F(X)\in\mathbf{D}_I^0\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})=\mathbf{D}_I^0\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathbb{Z}_p[\![X]\!]^{\psi=0},$$

there exists an element $\widetilde{F}_{d_I}^{(j)}(X)\in\mathbf{D}_I^0(j)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}p^{c(h)}\mathcal{H}_h^+$ such that

$$(1-\varphi_D\otimes\varphi_H)\widetilde{F}_{d_I}^{(j)}(X)=d_I\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}D_{CW}^{-j}(F(X)) \tag{5.23}$$

thanks to Corollary 4.26. Here we note that the operator D_{CW} on $\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ is bijective and thus the inverse D_{CW}^{-1} of the operator D_{CW} on $\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$ makes sense. We denote by $\rho_\zeta:\mathcal{H}_{h/\mathcal{K}}\longrightarrow\mathcal{K}\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)$ the evaluation map defined by:

$$\rho_\zeta(G(X))=G(X)|_{X=\zeta-1}\ \text{for}\ G(X)\in\mathcal{H}_{h/\mathcal{K}}. \tag{5.24}$$

The homomorphism in (5.22) is defined to be

$$d_I\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p}F(X)\mapsto(\varphi_D\otimes\mathrm{id})^{-n(\zeta)}\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbf{D}_I^0}\otimes\rho_\zeta\left(\widetilde{F}_{d_I}^{(j)}(1+X)\right), \tag{5.25}$$

where $n(\zeta)$ is the smallest integer n satisfying $\zeta^{p^n}=1$. It follows from Corollary 4.26 that the right-hand side is independent of the choice of the solution $\widetilde{F}^{(j)}_{d_I}(X)$ in (5.23) because $\log(1+X)$ vanishes at $X=\zeta-1$.

We consider the map

$$\mathbf{D}_I^0\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} D_{\mathrm{dR}}\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(j)\big)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)\hookrightarrow D_{\mathrm{dR}}\big(V_I(j)\big)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta) \tag{5.26}$$

where $V_I=\mathbf{T}_I\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathbb{Q}_p$. Recall that we have the Bloch–Kato exponential map:

$$\exp_{V_I(j),\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)}:\mathbf{D}_I^0\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} D_{\mathrm{dR}}\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(j)\big)\otimes\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)\longrightarrow H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta),V_I(j)\big),$$

as defined in (5.5) of Definition 5.1 via the map (5.26).

Definition 5.9. — Let j be an integer in $[l,l']$. For every p-power root of unity ζ, we define:

$$\mathrm{EXP}^{[j]}_{\mathbf{T}_I,\zeta}:\mathbf{D}_I^0\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})\longrightarrow H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta),V_I(j)\big) \tag{5.27}$$

to be the composite of the maps (5.22), (5.26) and $(-1)^j(j-1)!\exp_{V_I(j),\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)}$.

Proposition 5.10. — *Let j be an integer in $[l,l']$. Let $q(j)$ and $r(j)$ be the non-negative integers satisfying $j=(p-1)q(j)+r(j)$ and $0\leq r(j)\leq p-1$. Assume that the residual representation $\overline{\rho}:G_{\mathbb{Q}}\longrightarrow\mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ associated to forms f_x is irreducible when restricted to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_p)}$.*

Then, for any p-power root of unity $\zeta\in\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, the image of $\mathrm{EXP}^{[j]}_{\mathbf{T}_I,\zeta}$ lies in the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-lattice $H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta),p^{-[q(j)]+c(h)-hn(\zeta)}\mathbf{T}_I(j)\big)$ of $H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta),V_I(j)\big)$.

Before proving the proposition, we note that the natural $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear map

$$H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta),p^{-[q(j)]+c(h)-hn(\zeta)}\mathbf{T}_I(j)\big)\longrightarrow H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta),V_I(j)\big)$$

induced by $p^{-[q(j)]+c(h)-hn(\zeta)}\mathbf{T}_I(j)\hookrightarrow V_I$ is injective thanks to the assumption of the irreducibility of $\overline{\rho}$ restricted to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_p)}$.

Let R be the perfect ring of characteristic p defined to be the projective limit

$$R:=(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}/(p))_{i=0}^{\infty}=[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}/(p)\leftarrow\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}/(p)\leftarrow\cdots],$$

where the transition maps for $\underline{r}=(\underline{r}_i)\in R$ are given by $\underline{r}_{i+1}^p=\underline{r}_i$. We take a system of elements $x_i\in\mathbb{C}_p$ so that $x_0=p$ and $x_{i+1}^p=x_i$ and we define $\underline{p}\in R$ to be an element $\underline{x}=(\underline{x}_i)\in R$ such that $\underline{x}_i\equiv x_i$ modulo p for each $i\geq 0$. We put $\xi:=p-[-\underline{p}]\subset W(R)$, where $W(R)$ is the ring of Witt vectors and we denote by square brackets the Teichmüller representative of an element of R inside $W(R)$. Recall that the ring of p-adic periods A_{cris} is defined to be the p-adic completion of the ring $W(R)\left[\left(\frac{\xi^k}{k!}\right)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\right]$. Let $\{\zeta_{p^m}\}$ be a norm compatible system of primitive p^m-th roots of unity which was fixed earlier. We set $\epsilon\in R$ to be an element $(\zeta_{p^i}\bmod p)_{i=0}^{\infty}$ and we denote the value of $\log(1+X)$ at $X=[\epsilon]-1$ by t.

Proof of Proposition 5.10. — There exists an integral version of the fundamental sequence of the ring of p-adic periods as follows:

$$0\longrightarrow\mathbb{Z}_p t^{\{j\}}\longrightarrow\mathrm{Fil}^j A_{\mathrm{cris}}\overset{1-\frac{\varphi}{p^j}}{\longrightarrow}A_{\mathrm{cris}}\longrightarrow 0 \tag{5.28}$$

where $t^{\{j\}} = t^{r(j)}(\frac{t^{p-1}}{p})^{[q(j)]}$ (see [Tsu99, Theorem 1.2.4]). If we take the Galois cohomology of the exact sequence (5.28) $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V_I$ for the Galois group $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, the connection map $D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_I(j)) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_I(j))$ coincides with the restriction to $D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_I(j)) \subset D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_I(j))$ of the Bloch-Kato exponential map (5.4) for $V = V_I(j)$ and $n = 0$ given in Definition 5.1. Hence the image of $(A_{\mathrm{cris}} \otimes \mathbf{T}_I)^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \subset D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_I)$ via the connecting homomorphism of the Galois cohomology of the exact sequence (5.28) $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbf{T}_I$ for $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ lands in the module $H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p, p^{-[q(j)]}\mathbf{T}_I(j)\big)$. The Galois cohomology of the exact sequence (5.28) $\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V_I$ for the Galois group $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)}$ does not recover the Bloch-Kato exponential map restricted to $D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_I(j)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)$ when $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta) \neq \mathbb{Q}_p$. However, knowing the denominator over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, the exponent over $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)$ expressed by $c(h)$ and $-n(\zeta)h$ is obtained from the definition of the maps (5.22) and (5.26) and the calculation of denominators for the solution of $(E^h_{F;\lambda})$ done in Theorem 4.25. □

The following proposition is a consequence of the definition of $\mathrm{EXP}^{[j]}_{\mathbf{T}_I,\zeta}$:

Proposition 5.11. — *For any p-power roots of unity ζ, for any positive integer j and for any $\sigma \in G_{\mathrm{cyc}}$, we have*

$$(\mathrm{EXP}^{[j]}_{\mathbf{T}_I,\zeta})^{\sigma} = \mathrm{EXP}^{[j]}_{\mathbf{T}_I,\zeta^{\sigma}}.$$

Proof. — In fact, the map $\mathrm{EXP}^{[j]}_{\mathbf{T}_I,\zeta}$ is a composition of the maps (5.22), (5.26) and $(-1)^j(j-1)!\exp_{V_I(j),\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)}$ as defined in Definition 5.27. The first map (5.22) is an evaluation of a power series in $\mathcal{H}_{h/\mathcal{K}}$ at $\zeta - 1$. For a given power series in $\mathcal{H}_{h/\mathcal{K}}$, it is clear that the evaluation at $\zeta - 1$ which is an element of $\mathcal{K} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)$ acted by an element $\sigma \in G_{\mathrm{cyc}}$ through the action on the second factor of the tensor product, is nothing but the evaluation at $\zeta^{\sigma} - 1$. Since the injection of the second map (5.26) and the Bloch–Kato exponential map over $\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)$ are functorial with respect to the action of G_{cyc}, the proof of the proposition is complete. □

We have the congruence between the maps $\mathrm{EXP}^{[j]}_{\mathbf{T}_I,\zeta}$ for different Tate twists j as follows:

Proposition 5.12. — *For any p-power roots of unity ζ and for any positive integer j, we have*

$$(-1)^j(j-1)!\mathrm{res}^{\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})}_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)} \circ \mathrm{EXP}^{[j]}_{\mathbf{T}_I,\zeta} \equiv \otimes\{\zeta_{p^m}^{\otimes j-1}\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} \circ \mathrm{res}^{\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})}_{\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta)} \circ \mathrm{EXP}^{[1]}_{\mathbf{T}_I,\zeta},$$

modulo $\dfrac{p^{n(\zeta)}}{p^{n(\zeta)h+\delta}} H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty}), \mathbf{T}_I(j))$, *where* $\{\zeta_{p^m} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}}$ *is the same norm compatible system of primitive p^i-th roots of unity as the one fixed above in $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$ and $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a certain constant which is independent of $n(\zeta)$.*

Proposition 5.12 for each I and ζ is already proved in [PR94, Prop. 2.4.3]. Hence we will skip the proof of Proposition 5.12 in order to avoid a copy of the long and the same argument of [PR94].

Remark 5.13. — We remark that, in order to prove Proposition 5.12, we use an alternative definition of $\mathrm{EXP}^{[j]}_{\mathbf{T}_I,\zeta}$ as is done in [PR94, Proposition 2.3.6]. In the alternative definition $\mathrm{EXP}^{[j]}_{T,\zeta}$ of [PR94] for a lattice T of a cristalline representation V, the following 5-term exact sequence in [PR94, page 106] plays an important role:

$$\begin{aligned}(5.29)\quad D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)^{\varphi=1} &\longrightarrow \left(\sum_{i=0}^{i=h}\log^j(1+X)\otimes D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\right)\times D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\\ &\longrightarrow \mathcal{H}'(V)\longrightarrow D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\otimes\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})\longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{i=h} D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)/(1-p^j\varphi)D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V),\end{aligned}$$

where the first map is injective and the last map is surjective. Here, we do not recall the definition of each map, but we recall that, in the article [PR94], $\mathcal{H}'(V)$ is defined to be the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-subspace of $D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\otimes\mathcal{H}_h\times D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)$ as follows:

(5.30)
$$\mathcal{H}'(V)=\left\{\ (\widetilde{F},a)\in D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\otimes\mathcal{H}_h\times D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\ \middle|\ (1-\varphi)\widetilde{F}-a\in D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V)\otimes\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})\right\}$$

We apply this for $V=V_I(j)$. Though the representation $V_I(j)$ is not necesarily cristalline, we consider the exact sequence (5.29) for V_I and we restrict the sequence to the rank-one subspace $\mathbf{D}^0_I\subset D_{\mathrm{cris}}(V_I)$. Starting from $d_I\otimes F\in\mathbf{D}^0_I\otimes\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})\subset\mathbf{D}^0_I\otimes\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$, we have an element of the following subspace of $\mathcal{H}'(V_I)$:

$$(5.31)\qquad \left\{\ (\widetilde{F},a)\in\mathbf{D}^0_I\otimes\mathcal{H}_h\times\mathbf{D}^0_I\ \middle|\ (1-\varphi)\widetilde{F}-a\in\mathbf{D}^0_I\otimes\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})\right\},$$

which will be used in the omitted alternative definition of $\mathrm{EXP}^{[j]}_{\mathbf{T}_I,\zeta}$.

Now, we define a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-submodule $\mathcal{H}_h(\mathbf{T}^\sharp_I)$ of $\prod_{j=l}^{l'}\prod_{\zeta}H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta),V_I(j))$ to be

$$(5.32)\qquad \mathcal{H}_h(\mathbf{T}^\sharp_I)=\left\{(c^{[j]}_\zeta)_{j,\zeta}\in\prod_{j=l}^{l'}\prod_{\zeta}H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta),V_I(j)\big)\right\}$$

satisfying the following conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) where ζ runs through p-power roots of unity:

(i) We have $(c^{[j]}_\zeta)^\sigma=c^{[j]}_{\zeta^\sigma}$ for any $\sigma\in G_{\mathrm{cyc}}$.

(ii) There exists an integer δ depending only on $(c^{[j]}_\zeta)_{j,\zeta}$ such that we have

$$p^{n(\zeta)h+\delta}c^{[j]}_\zeta\in H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta),T_I(j)\big)$$

for any $j\in[l,l']$ and for any p-power root of unity ζ.

(iii) For any $j,j'\in[l,l']$, we have the congruence

$$p^{n(\zeta)h+\delta}c^{[j']}_\zeta\equiv p^{n(\zeta)h+\delta}c^{[j]}_\zeta\otimes\{\zeta_{p^m}^{\otimes(j'-j)}\}_{m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq1}}\mod p^{n(\zeta)}H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta),T_I(j)\big).$$

We have the following results:

Proposition 5.14. — *Under the assumption that the residual representation* $\overline{\rho} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ *associated to our Coleman family is irreducible when restricted to the decomposition group at* p*, we have an injective* $\mathbb{Q}_p$*-linear map*

$$H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}_I^\sharp\big)\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})}\mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \longrightarrow \prod_{j=l}^{l'}\prod_{\zeta} H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta), V_I(j)\big) \tag{5.33}$$

which is an isomorphism onto $\mathcal{H}_h(\mathbf{T}_I^\sharp)$.

Proof. — We start from the first assertion on the definition of the map (5.33). Let us consider the following map

$$\begin{aligned} &H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}_I^\sharp\big)\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})}\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \\ &\qquad\xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_n H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}_I^\sharp\big)\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})}\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})/\Omega_n^{[l,l']}\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim_n H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}_I^\sharp/\Omega_n^{[l,l']}\mathbf{T}_I^\sharp\big)\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})/(\Omega_n^{[l,l']})}\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})/\Omega_n^{[l,l']}\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}). \end{aligned}$$

By Shapiro's lemma on Galois cohomology, we have a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear isomorphism

$$H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}_I^\sharp/\Omega_n^{[l,l']}\mathbf{T}_I^\sharp\big)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\mathbb{Q}_p \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{j=l}^{l'}\prod_{\zeta^{p^n}=1} H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta), V_I(j)\big).$$

By composing these maps, we obtain an injective $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear map

$$H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbf{T}_I^\sharp\big)\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})}\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \longrightarrow \prod_{j=l}^{l'}\prod_{\zeta} H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta), V_I(j)\big)$$

whose $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear extension gives the construction of the map (5.33). The image of the map (5.33) satisfies properties (i) and (iii) thanks to the functoriality of Galois cohomology. Finally, the image of the map (5.33) is characterized by the property (ii) thanks to Corollary 4.32. This completes the proof. □

By Proposition 5.11, Proposition 5.12 and Proposition 5.14, we obtained the following proposition.

Proposition 5.15. — *For every height-one ideal* $I \subset \mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}$ *in* $\mathcal{I}$*, we have a unique* $(\mathbf{A}^0{}_{/\mathcal{K}}/I)\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$*-linear big exponential map*

$$\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}_I}^{[l,l']} : \mathbf{D}_I^0\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}}) \longrightarrow \left(\varprojlim_n H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}), \mathbf{T}_I\big)\right)\widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})}\mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$$

which has the same interpolation property as in the statement of Theorem 5.7.

The above proposition completes the proof of Theorem 5.7 except the explicit bound of the denominator of the image of $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}_I}^{[l,l']}$ in $\mathcal{H}_h(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$. This Proposition 5.15 combined with Proposition 5.10 immediately implies the following result:

Corollary 5.16. — *The image of the map* $\mathrm{EXP}_{\mathbf{T}_I}^{[l,l']}$ *in Proposition 5.15 falls in the submodule* $\left(\varprojlim_n H^1\big(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^n}),\mathbf{T}_I\big)\right)\otimes_{\Lambda(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})} p^{c(h)}\mathcal{H}_h^+(G_{\mathrm{cyc}})$.

The proof of Theorem 5.7 is thus complete.

References

[AV75] Yvette Amice and Jacques Vélu. Distributions p-adiques associées aux séries de Hecke. In *Journées Arithmétiques de Bordeaux (Conf., Univ. Bordeaux, Bordeaux, 1974)*, pages 119–131. Astérisque, Nos. 24–25. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1975.

[Bar13] Daniel Barsky. Interpolation des fonctions analytiques à croissance logarithmiques. private communication, March 25$^{\mathrm{th}}$ 2013.

[BGR84] S. Bosch, U. Güntzer, and R. Remmert. *Non-Archimedean analysis*, volume 261 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984. A systematic approach to rigid analytic geometry.

[BK90] Spencer Bloch and Kazuya Kato. L-functions and Tamagawa numbers of motives. In *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. I*, volume 86 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 333–400. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1990.

[Buz07] Kevin Buzzard. Eigenvarieties. In *L-functions and Galois representations*, volume 320 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 59–120. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007.

[CC99] Frédéric Cherbonnier and Pierre Colmez. Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations p-adiques d'un corps local. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12(1):241–268, 1999.

[CE98] Robert F. Coleman and Bas Edixhoven. On the semi-simplicity of the U_p-operator on modular forms. *Math. Ann.*, 310(1):119–127, 1998.

[Che04] Gaëtan Chenevier. Familles p-adiques de formes automorphes pour GL_n. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 570:143–217, 2004.

[Che11] Gaëtan Chenevier. The p-adic analytic space of pseudocharacters of a profinite group, and pseudorepresentations over arbitrary rings. In London Mathematical Society, editor, *Automorphic Forms and Galois Representations*, pages 1–56. EPSRC Durham Symposium, London Mathematical Society, 2011.

[CM98] Robert F. Coleman and B. Mazur. The eigencurve. In *Galois representations in arithmetic algebraic geometry (Durham, 1996)*, volume 254 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 1–113. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[Col96] Robert F Coleman. Classical and overconvergent modular forms. *Invent. Math.*, 124(1-3):215–241, 1996.

[Col97a] Robert F. Coleman. On the coefficients of the characteristic series of the U-operator. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 94(21):11129–11132, 1997.

[Col97b] Robert F. Coleman. p-adic Banach spaces and families of modular forms. *Invent. Math.*, 127(3):417–479, 1997.

[Col98] Pierre Colmez. Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations de de Rham d'un corps local. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 148(2):485–571, 1998.

[Col10] Pierre Colmez. Fonctions d'une variable p-adique. *Astérisque*, (330):13–59, 2010.

[Del68] Pierre Deligne. Formes modulaires et représentations l-adiques. Number 355, pages 139–172. Springer-Verlag, 1968.

[DGS94] Bernard Dwork, Giovanni Gerotto, and Francis J. Sullivan. *An introduction to G-functions*, volume 133 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994.

[deG82] Nicole de Grande-de Kimpe. Non-Archimedean Fréchet spaces generalizing spaces of analytic functions, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.*, 44(4):423–439, 1982.

[deJ95] Aise J de Jong. Crystalline dieudonné module theory via formal and rigid geometry. *Publications mathématiques*, 82:5–95, 1995.

[Fon94] Jean-Marc Fontaine. Le corps des périodes p-adiques. *Astérisque*, (223):59–111, 1994. With an appendix by Pierre Colmez, Périodes p-adiques (Bures-sur-Yvette, 1988).

[Gou88] Fernando Q. Gouvêa. *Arithmetic of p-adic modular forms*, volume 1304 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

[Gro60] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique. I. Le langage des schémas. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (4):228, 1960.

[Han15] David Hansen, Iwasawa theory of overconvergent modular forms, I: Critical p-adic L-functions, preprint, arXiv:1508.03982 [math.NT]

[Hid89] Haruzo Hida. Nearly ordinary Hecke algebras and Galois representations of several variables. In *Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory (Baltimore, MD, 1988)*, pages 115–134. Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 1989.

[Hid93] Haruzo Hida. *Elementary theory of L-functions and Eisenstein series*, volume 26 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.

[Kis03] Mark Kisin. Overconvergent modular forms and the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture. *Invent. Math.*, 153(2):373–454, 2003.

[Kra46] Marc Krasner. Essai d'une théorie des fonctions analytiques dans les corps valués complets: fonctions holomorphes et méromorphes. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 222:165–167, 1946.

[Lu77] Chin Pi Lu. Factorial modules. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 7(1):125–139, 1977.

[ML98] Saunders Mac Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.

[Och03] Tadashi Ochiai. A generalization of the Coleman map for Hida deformations. *Amer. J. Math.*, 125(4):849–892, 2003.

[Och05] Tadashi Ochiai. Euler system for Galois deformations. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 55(1):113–146, 2005.

[Och06] Tadashi Ochiai. On the two-variable Iwasawa main conjecture. *Compos. Math.*, 142(5):1157–1200, 2006.

[Pal71] V. P. Palamodov. Homological methods in the theory of locally convex spaces. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 26(1(157)):3–65, 1971.

[PR90] Bernadette Perrin-Riou. Théorie d'Iwasawa p-adique locale et globale. *Invent. Math.*, 99(2):247–292, 1990.

[PR94] Bernardette Perrin-Riou. Théorie d'Iwasawa des représentations p-adiques sur un corps local. *Invent. Math.*, 115(1):81–161, 1994. With an appendix by Jean-Marc Fontaine.

[Rib77] Kenneth A. Ribet. Galois representations attached to eigenforms with Nebentypus. In *Modular functions of one variable, V (Proc. Second Internat. Conf., Univ. Bonn, Bonn, 1976)*, pages 17–51. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 601. Springer, Berlin, 1977.

[Sch02] Peter Schneider. *Nonarchimedean functional analysis*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[Tat76] John Tate. Relations between K_2 and Galois cohomology. *Invent. Math.*, 36:257–274, 1976.

[Tay91] Richard Taylor. Galois representations associated to Siegel modular forms of low weight. *Duke Math. J.*, 63(2):281–332, 1991.

[Tsu99] Takeshi Tsuji. pp-adic étale cohomology and crystalline cohomology in the semi-stable reduction case. *Invent. Math.*, 137, no. 2, 233–411, 1999.

[Wan14] Shanwen Wang. Le système d'Euler de Kato en famille (I). Comment. Math. Helv. 89, no. 4, 819–865, 2014.

[Wei94] Charles A. Weibel. *An introduction to homological algebra*, volume 38 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[Wil88] Andrew Wiles. On ordinary λ-adic representations associated to modular forms. *Invent. Math.*, 94(3):529–573, 1988.

F. A. E. Nuccio, IUT et Institut Camille Jordan, Université Jean Monnet, 23, rue du Docteur Paul Michelon 42023 Saint-Étienne - France • *E-mail :* filippo.nuccio@univ-st-etienne.fr

T. Ochiai, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, 1-1 Machikaneyama-cho, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan • *E-mail :* ochiai@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp